

Differences in flower and leaf bud responses to the environment drive shifts in spring phenological sequences of temperate woody plants

D.M. Buonaiuto ^{1,2,a}, E.M. Wolkovich³

Introduction

One of the most widely documented biological effects of anthropogenic climate change is shifts in phenology, the timing of life cycle events, in plants (). While phenology is generally advancing with climate change, the strength of these phenological shifts can vary substantially both among specific phases (). These differences alter the timing of phenological phases relative to each other, changing the duration of inter-phase periods that make up phenological sequences (). Phenological sequences are a major driver of plant fitness that impact plant life history, resource allocation, demography and ecosystem processes (). Shifts in phenological sequences will likely alter many of these processes, but impacts these shifts depend both on the direction (whether distinct phases are shifting closer together or farther apart) and magnitude (how much they are shifting relative to each other).

Among deciduous woody plants, the relative timing of flower and leaf development, or flower-leaf sequences (FLSs), may be particularly consequential to fitness in temperate regions where flowering prior to leaf development is common (??). Long-term phenological observations over the last several decades indicate that, like other phenological sequences, FLSs are shifting due to anthropogenic climate change (?) suggesting that some of the critical functions of FLSs may become

compromised. However, observed FLS shifts vary among species, which may put some species at greater risk while benefiting others (?).

For example, in wind-pollinated taxa, flowering before leaf development may be a critical adaptation for pollination efficiency by eliminating pollen interception by the forest canopy (?). In insect-pollinated taxa, flowering-first may increase the visibility of flowers to pollinators (??). Species with decreasing FLS interphases with climate change may experience increases pollen limitation as more wind pollen is intercepted by vegetative structures and flowers are obscured by developing leaves. Conversely, pollination efficiency could improve for species with lengthening FLS interphases (direction). A change in the FLS interphase of just a few days would likely have little impact on these processes, but if shifts were on the order of weeks, the impact on the pollination biology of a species could be highly significant (magnitude).

Predicting the direction and magnitude of any FLS shifts requires identifying the underlying mechanisms that drive the difference responses to climate change among these phenophases for a diversity of woody plant species. While decades of research suggests that for woody plants in temperate regions, cool winter temperatures (chilling), warm spring temperatures (forcing) and day-length (photoperiod) are the primary drivers of both reproductive and vegetative phenology (??), observed FLS shifts indicate that there must be differences in how these cues influence phenological activity in floral and leaf buds. Identifying these differences is a necessary step for predicting the direction and magnitude, and ultimately fitness impacts of FLS shifts with climate change.

There are two major hypotheses regarding the underlying physiology that structures FLS variation:

The precocity hierarchy hypothesis suggests that reproductive and vegetative buds respond similarly to most environmental cues, but have consistently different forcing requirements for the commencement of phenological activity (?).

50 **The differential sensitivity hypothesis** suggests that flower and leaf buds differ in the strength
 51 of their phenological responses to the multiple environmental cues. For example, ? found that
 52 in peach cultivars, vegetative buds responded more strongly to chilling exposure and had lower
 53 heating requirements than reproductive buds.

54

55 While these mechanisms may produce similar phenological patterns under historic climate condi-
 56 tions, they have different implications regarding the potential for FLS shifts with climate change.
 57 The precocity hierarchy suggests that FLS variation is largely a product of climate variation during
 58 the interphase. If spring temperatures increase with climate change, the second phenophase of the
 59 FLS will be accelerated relative to the first and the FLS interphases will decrease, but given the
 60 relative auto-correlation of spring temperatures (), these shifts should be relatively muted.

61

62 The differential sensitivity hypothesis suggests that with significant cue use differences among bud
 63 types, there will be strongly localized effects of climate change on FLSs. While on average the
 64 climate is warming, chilling and forcing may increase or decrease at different locations and on dif-
 65 ferent time scales (?). Shifts in FLS variation will depend on the direction and rate of change in
 66 cues at specific locations and the differential sensitivity of reproductive and vegetative phenology
 67 to cue combinations. This hypothesis allows not only for larger magnitude shift in FLS, it also
 68 suggest that the magnitude of shifts may be highly divergent among populations of the same species.

69

70 Simulations suggest that each mechanism will produce different, recognizable signatures for phe-
 71 nological patterns under experimental conditions. I'm going to redo the simulations and then talk
 72 about them here.

73

74 In this study we test these hypotheses by comparing the phenological response to changing environ-
 75 mental conditions between flower and leaf buds for a suite of temperate shrubs and trees. We then

76 leverage these data to make generalized projections for how FLSs may shift with future climate
77 change. Finally, we interpret these predictions in the context of the functional hypotheses of FLS
78 variation to assess how FLS shifts may impact the performance of some woody plants.

79