



Dear Dr. Fox,

Please consider our revised manuscript “Experimental designs for testing the interactive effects of temperature and light in ecology: the problem of periodicity” as a “Commentary” in *Functional Ecology*.

Experiments in controlled environments have been used for decades to quantify the effects of environmental stimuli on biological processes to the benefit of fundamental ecology and applied forecasting. Our submission details how a commonly used experimental design to estimate the effects of temperature and photoperiod on spring phenology results in the incorrect estimation of cue effects when the periodicity of light and temperature treatments are unintentionally covaried. We find that this problem is widespread in the ecological literature, and we use simulations, an algebraic solution and a comparative analysis of published studies to describe the scope of the problem. Importantly, we also provide guidance for dealing with this issue statistically, and offer alternative experimental designs to improve inference in studies that include periodicity of multiple variables.

Comments from the Associate Editor and two reviewers suggested our manuscript was timely and clear, and our analyses thorough and robust, but pointed out several areas for improvement regarding our proposed solutions to the issues we described. One reviewer felt our proposed modifications were too complex to be practically adopted, and the other indicated more complex examples and solutions may be necessary for biological realism. We believe there are a number of solutions to address the problem of periodicity that range from simple statistical corrections to elaborate experimental redesigns. Reconciling the contrasting feedback of the two reviewers has driven us to more comprehensively layout these options.

Based on their comments, we have revised the structure of our “Paths Forward” section to highlight the range of options, and emphasize that the exact choice a researcher makes in this area will depend on their study system, research question and resources. Additionally, we have created a new figure for the Supporting Information, made changes to the Abstract and main text to more clearly relate the issues presented in our simple examples to larger, increasingly complex experiments. We feel that the editor’s and reviewers’ input has helped shape a new submission that is much improved, and we detail our specific changes in the following pages with reviewer comments in *italics* and our responses in regular text.

The main text of this manuscript is 4,002 words in length and it contains four figures. It is co-authored by M. Donahue and E.M. Wolkovich, and is not under consideration elsewhere. We hope that you will find it suitable for publication in *Functional Ecology*, and look forward to hearing from you.

Best,

Daniel Buonaiuto