

```

1  /* Dhruv Makwana, CST IB, Trinity Collge
2  * Prolog Tick.
3  */
4
5  % 2.1 Piece generation: Prolog attempts to unify a variable with one of the
6  %     pieces below. If the variable is unbound, Prolog will return true and
7  %     the variable will be bound with the first piece below, whilst also
8  %     creating a choice-point to allow backtracking to the rest of the pieces.
9  %     If the variable is bound, it will attempt to unify the variable with one
10 %     of the lists and return true if it does and return false if it doesn't.
11
12 % piece(?A).
13 piece(['74', [[1,1,0,0,1,0], [0,1,0,1,0,0], [0,1,0,0,1,0], [0,1,0,0,1,1]]]).
14 piece(['65', [[1,1,0,0,1,1], [1,0,1,1,0,0], [0,0,1,1,0,0], [0,1,0,1,0,1]]]).
15 piece(['13', [[0,1,0,1,0,1], [1,1,0,1,0,1], [1,1,0,0,1,1], [1,1,0,0,1,0]]]).
16 piece(['Cc', [[0,0,1,1,0,0], [0,0,1,1,0,0], [0,1,0,0,1,0], [0,0,1,1,0,0]]]).
17 piece(['98', [[1,1,0,0,1,0], [0,1,0,0,1,0], [0,0,1,1,0,0], [0,0,1,1,0,1]]]).
18 piece(['02', [[0,0,1,1,0,0], [0,1,0,0,1,1], [1,0,1,1,0,0], [0,0,1,1,0,0]]]).
19
20 % 2.2 Rotating lists: This predicate unifies B with a rotation of list A (putting
21 %     N items from the front of the list at the back). Although not
22 %     necessary, I made it so reverse rotations (with negative numbers) and
23 %     multiple full rotations (where N > length of list A) are possible.
24 %
25 %     Since we can assume A is bound, M will be unified with the length
26 %     of the list. Since we can assume N is also bound, N1 will be unified
27 %     with the N mod M (bringing N into range). Furthermore, since N1 is
28 %     bound, AH will be unified with a list of variables of length N1.
29 %     We then, using append, unify AH with the first N1 elements of A and AT
30 %     with the rest since we know A is bound. Lastly, using the now
31 %     bound AH and AT, append unifies B with AH @ AT in ML notation.
32 %
33 %     At each stage, since the correct number of arguments are bound
34 %     there is no backtracking. For completeness, append can be implemented as
35 %     follows -
36 %
37 %         append([], L, L).
38 %         append([H|T], L, [H|R]) :- append(T, L, R).
39 %
40 %     and length as -
41 %
42 %         length([], 0).
43 %         length([_|T], N) :- length(T, N1), N is N+1.
44
45 % rotate(+A, +N, ?B) -- Counter-clockwise for positive N.
46 rotate(As, N, Bs) :-
47     length(As, M), N1 is mod(N, M), length(AHs, N1),
48     append(AHs, ATs, As), append(ATs, AHs, Bs).
49
50 % 2.3 Reversing a list: This predicate unifies either A or B with a list that
51 %     is the reverse of the other. The clause sameLen ensures that when the
52 %     predicate is called reverse(-A, +B), the backtracking does not recurse
53 %     forever.
54 %
55 %     Predicate sameLen is straightforward recursion and simply ensures

```

```

55 %      that either of its arguments, if bound, is unified to a list of
56 %      variables that is the same length as the other. If both arguments are
57 %      unbound, without a cut on the first clause, backtracking would
58 %      generate two list of unbound variables of equal, progressively
59 %      longer lengths.
60 %
61 %      Predicate reverse/2 immediately calls an efficient, iterative/tail-
62 %      recursive predicate reverse/3. If called reverse(+A, -B), the execution
63 %      reverse/3 is optimised properly and the bottoms out at the first clause
64 %      where the second and third arguments are unified. If called reverse(-A, +B),
65 %      the predicate recurses, reversing the list of unbound variables A,
66 %      unifying it with B and then as the stack is unwound, building A in reverse.
67
68 % sameLen(?A, ?B).
69 sameLen([], []).
70 sameLen(_|As, _|Bs) :- sameLen(As, Bs).
71
72 % reverse(?A, -I, ?B).
73 reverse([], Ls, Ls). % Without sameLen, we would need a cut here.
74 reverse([H|T], SoFar, Bs) :- reverse(T, [H|SoFar], Bs).
75 % reverse(?A, ?B).
76 % reverse(As, Bs) :- sameLen(As, Bs), reverse(As, [], Bs).
77 reverse(As, Bs) :- var(As), !, reverse(Bs, [], As) ; reverse(As, [], Bs).
78
79 % 2.4 Exclusive-OR: I could have also done xor(A,B) :- A =\= B, with an optional
80 %      check on whether A and B were really 0 or 1.
81
82 % xor(?A, ?B).
83 xor(0, 1) :- !.
84 xor(1, 0).
85
86 % 2.5 Exclusive-OR list: Since xor works with either/both arguments (un)bound,
87 %      this predicate either unifies or checks whether the head of each argument
88 %      is xor(A,B) and also the tail, recursively. Unequal length lists are handled
89 %      and so are non-boolean lists by the closed-world assumption.
90
91 % xorlist(?A, ?B).
92 xorlist([], []).
93 xorlist([A|As], [B|Bs]) :- xor(A,B), xorlist(As,Bs).
94
95 % 2.6 Number ranges: A use of the cut operator so that Prolog knows not to back-
96 %      track after the last case and then return false. The second clause is
97 %      simply unifies the 3rd argument with the first Min, but also creates a
98 %      choice point for the 3rd clause. The third clause performs the necessary
99 %      checks and recurses by pushing the Min argument to up Max-1. Since we assume
100 %      Min and Max are both bound, the arithmetic operators work as expected.
101
102 % range(+Min, +Max, -Val).
103 range(Min, Max, Min) :- Min is Max-1, !.
104 range(Min, Max, Min) :- Min < Max.
105 range(Min, Max, Val) :- Min < Max-1, Mn1 is Min + 1, range(Mn1, Max, Val).
106
107 % 3 Piece Rotation: Since the edges are traversed in a clockwise direction,
108 %      when flipped, all edges will be traversed in an anti-clockwise direction,

```

```

109 % meaning all edges must be reversed. In addition to that, the position of
110 % East/West edges must be swapped to retain the correct order.
111 % Since reverse generates lists both ways, so too does flipped.
112
113 % flipped(+P, ?FP)
114 flipped([A, [N,E,S,W]], [A, [U,L,D,R]]) :-
115     reverse(N,U), reverse(W,L), reverse(S,D), reverse(E,R).
116
117 % 3 Piece Orientation: Although it was completely unnecessary, I split
118 % the orientation predicate up into two parts: gen_orient and check_orient.
119 % The former is for an unbound 0, although *would suffice* for
120 % the orientation predicate in and of itself. The latter is for an bound
121 % 0 and so only needs to be supplied one oriented piece.
122
123 % gen_orient uses the range predicate to backtrack through all possible values
124 % of the orientation (0,1,2,3) and then through the flipped orientations
125 % (-4,-3,-2,-1). Once 0 is bound, it is used in the rotate clause to unify
126 % the last argument with the (potentially flipped) and rotated piece.
127
128 % gen_orient(+P, -0, -OP).
129 gen_orient([A,E0], 0, [A,E]) :-
130     range(0,4,0), rotate(E0, 0, E) ;
131     flipped([A,E0], [A,E1]),
132     range(-4,0,0), rotate(E1, -0, E).
133
134 % check_orient and orientation both use the cut and semi-colon operators in
135 % order to form a if-then-else type of predicate (without backtracking). Hence,
136 % check_orient is a straightforward check on whether the bound 0 is
137 % negative or positive and then a unification of the last argument with a
138 % (potentially flipped) and rotated piece.
139
140 % check_orient(+P, +0, -OP).
141 check_orient([A,E0], 0, [A,E]) :-
142     0 >= 0, !,
143     rotate(E0, 0, E)
144 ;
145     flipped([A,E0], [A,E1]), rotate(E1, -0, E).
146
147 % orientation uses the extra-logical predicate var to see if 0 has been
148 % bound or not. If it has not, gen_orient generates all possible
149 % orientations and unifies them with the last argument. If it has, then the
150 % abs(0) =< 3 ensures that 0 falls within the boundaries that the range
151 % predicate in the gen_orient predicate would have generated.
152
153 % orientation(+P, ?0, -OP).
154 orientation([A,E0], 0, [A,E]) :-
155     var(0), !,
156     gen_orient([A,E0], 0, [A,E])
157 ;
158     abs(0) =< 4, check_orient([A,E0], 0, [A,E]).
159
160 % Debugging:
161 % :- [debug].
162

```

```

163 % 4 Piece compatibility: Since only the Mth and Nth edges of the pieces E and
164 %   F are needed, by using the library predicate
165 %
166 %           nth0(?Index, ?List, ?Elem)
167 %
168 %   with Index and List bound, we get the Elem/exact edge needed.
169 %   Since the first and last elements of the edges represent corners, the
170 %   case for (0,0) must be allowed as well as xor(A,B). Hence, comp_tail
171 %   - which checks for the compatibility of the tail of two edges - is
172 %   implemented the same as xorlist with the exception that the last element
173 %   is subject to the same check as the first element, namely, A+B < 2 (since
174 %   we know (A,B) can only be 0 or 1). A cut operator is used so that a choice-
175 %   point for the next clause (As = Bs = []) is ignored. Even reordering the
176 %   clauses doesn't quite work since a choice point is still made. A clause like
177 %   comp_tail([], []) :- fail, would still need a cut.
178
179 % For completeness, nth0 could be implemented as follows.
180 % nth0([H|_], 0, H).
181 % nth0([_|T], N, R) :- N > 0, N1 is N-1, nth0(T, N1, R).
182
183 % comp_tail(+A,+B).
184 comp_tail([A], [B]) :- A + B < 2, !.
185 comp_tail([A|As], [B|Bs]) :- xor(A,B), comp_tail(As,Bs).
186
187 % compatible(+P1, +Side1, +P2, +Side2).
188 compatible([_,E], M, [_,F], N) :-
189     nth0(M,E,[A|As]), nth0(N,F,Y),
190     reverse(Y, [B|Bs]), A + B < 2, comp_tail(As,Bs).
191
192 % 4 Corner compatibility: As before, only the Mth, Nth and Oth edges of pieces
193 %   E, F and G are needed, we can once again use nth0 and pattern matching, to
194 %   directly access the first element of the necessary edges. From there, a
195 %   simple arithmetic predicate suffices to ensure only one finger is present
196 %   at the corner.
197
198 % compatible_corner(+P1, +Side1, +P2, +Side2, +P3, +Side3).
199 compatible_corner([_,E], M, [_,F], N, [_,G], O) :-
200     nth0(M,E,[X|_]), nth0(N,F,[Y|_]), nth0(O,G,[Z|_]), X+Y+Z =:= 1.
201
202 % 5 Puzzle: this is a literal pattern match and listing of the given requirements,
203 % thanks to copy-paste and a bit of vim-regex.
204
205 % puzzle(+Ps, ?S).
206 puzzle([P0|Ps], [[P0,0], [P1,01], [P2,02], [P3,03], [P4,04], [P5,05]]) :-
207     permutation(Ps, [P1, P2, P3, P4, P5]),
208     % orientation, edges compatibility and corner compatibility
209     % structured this way to prune the search tree as early as possible
210     orientation(P1, 01, OP1),
211     compatible(P0, 2, OP1, 0),
212     orientation(P2, 02, OP2),
213     compatible_corner(P0, 3, OP1, 0, OP2, 1),
214     compatible(P0, 3, OP2, 0),
215     compatible(OP1, 3, OP2, 1),
216     orientation(P3, 03, OP3),

```

```

217         compatible_corner( P0, 2, OP1, 1, OP3, 0),
218         compatible( P0, 1, OP3, 0),
219         compatible(OP1, 1, OP3, 3),
220     orientation(P4, 04, OP4),
221         compatible_corner(OP2, 2, OP1, 3, OP4, 0),
222         compatible_corner(OP3, 3, OP1, 2, OP4, 1),
223         compatible(OP1, 2, OP4, 0),
224         compatible(OP2, 2, OP4, 3),
225         compatible(OP3, 2, OP4, 1),
226     orientation(P5, 05, OP5),
227         compatible_corner(OP5, 2, P0, 1, OP3, 1),
228         compatible_corner(OP5, 3, P0, 0, OP2, 0),
229         compatible_corner(OP5, 0, OP4, 3, OP2, 3),
230         compatible_corner(OP5, 1, OP4, 2, OP3, 2),
231         compatible(OP2, 3, OP5, 3),
232         compatible(OP4, 2, OP5, 0),
233         compatible( P0, 0, OP5, 2),
234         compatible(OP3, 1, OP5, 1),
235     % and show
236     format('~w at ~w~n', [P0, 0]),
237     format('~w at ~w~n', [P1, 01]),
238     format('~w at ~w~n', [P2, 02]),
239     format('~w at ~w~n', [P3, 03]),
240     format('~w at ~w~n', [P4, 04]),
241     format('~w at ~w~n', [P5, 05]).

```