

Russo-Dye Theorem and refinements

David Cabezas Berrido

Introduction

In this memoir we present Russo-Dye Theorem, an important result concerning unitary C^* -algebras which states that the convex hull of the unitary elements is dense in the closed unit ball. This result was published by B. Russo and H. A. Dye in 1966 ([1]).

The study of the ways in which an element of a C^* -algebra can be expressed as a convex combination of unitary elements was initiated by Phelps in [5] (1964), where a commutative version of Theorem 1.1 is provided. Russo-Dye Theorem entails a significant fact about the abundance of unitary elements in (unitary) C^* -algebras, which is latter improved by Kadison and Pedersen among others.

Let us fix some notation first. Given an unitary C^* -algebra A over the field $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$, we shall denote its identity element by $\mathbb{1}$, its open unit ball by $A_1 = \{a \in A : \|a\| < 1\}$, and its closed unit ball by B_A .

The following construction will be employed along the document:

Take any invertible element $a \in A$. Consider the positive and invertible element $|a|^2 := a^*a \in A$, note that the module and the square symbols do not mean anything yet. We know from Continuous Functional Calculus that every positive element admits a positive square root, which we shall conveniently denote by $|a| \in A$, that is also positive since the identification in CFC is $*$ -preserving.

We claim that $|a|$ is invertible. Namely, we know that $|a|^2$ is invertible with inverse $a^{-1}(a^{-1})^* = a^{-1}(a^*)^{-1} =: |a|^{-2}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} |a|(|a||a|^{-2}) &= (|a||a|)|a|^{-2} = |a|^2|a|^{-2} = \mathbb{1} \\ (|a|^{-2}|a|)|a| &= |a|^{-2}(|a||a|) = |a|^{-2}|a|^2 = \mathbb{1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $|a|$ is invertible with $|a|^{-1} = |a||a|^{-2} = |a|^{-2}|a|$, which also implies $|a|^{-1}|a|^{-1} = |a|^{-2}$. Now, consider the invertible element $u = a|a|^{-1} \in A$. Since $|a|^{-1}$ is self-adjoint, we have

$$\begin{aligned} uu^* &= a|a|^{-1}|a|^{-1}a^* = a|a|^{-2}a^* = a(a^*a)^{-1}a^* = aa^{-1}(a^*)^{-1}a^* = \mathbb{1} \\ u^*u &= |a|^{-1}a^*a|a|^{-1} = |a|^{-1}|a|^2|a|^{-1} = |a|^{-1}|a||a||a|^{-1} = \mathbb{1}, \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude that u is unitary. We have just proved the following statement.

Lemma. *Let A be a unitary C^* -algebra. Every invertible element $a \in A$ admits a polar decomposition of the form $a = u|a|$, where $|a| = (a^*a)^{1/2}$ is positive and u is unitary.*

1 The Russo-Dye Theorem

The main result of this document reads as follow.

Theorem 1.1. ([1, Theorem 1]) *Let A be a unitary C^* -algebra and $U = \mathcal{U}(A)$ its unitary group. Then, the closed unit ball in A is the closed convex hull of U .*

One inclusion follows directly from every unitary element having norm one (it lies in the closed unit ball, which is convex and closed). So the non-trivial information is that every element in the closed unit ball can be approximated in norm by convex combinations of unitary elements. The original proof due to Russo and Dye can be found in [1], but we will present an elementary proof provided by L. Terrel Gardner in 1984 ([2]).

Proof. Since the closed unit ball is the closure of the open unit ball and every unitary element has unit norm, it suffices to show that $A_1 \subset \overline{\text{co}}(U)$. Fix any $x \in A_1$, we shall first show that $y := (x + u)/2 \in \text{co}(U)$ for each $u \in U$. Namely, we have $y = \frac{xu^* + \mathbb{1}}{2}u$. Note that $\|xu^*\| \leq \|x\|\|u^*\| = \|x\| < 1$, so $xu^* + \mathbb{1}$ is invertible and, hence, so is y . Furthermore,

$$\|y\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|xu^* + \mathbb{1}\|\|u\| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|x\|\|u^*\| + 1) = 1/2 + \|x\|/2 < 1.$$

Thus, y admits a polar decomposition $y = v|y|$ with $v \in U$ and $|y| = (y^*y)^{1/2}$ a positive element in B_A . We have $\||y|^2\| = \|y^*y\| = \|y\|^2 < 1$, so $|y|^2 \leq \||y|^2\|\mathbb{1} \leq \mathbb{1}$. We deduce that $\mathbb{1} - |y|^2$ is positive and admits a square root. We can therefore write $|y| = (w + w^*)/2$, where

$$w = |y| + i(\mathbb{1} - |y|^2)^{1/2}, \quad w^* = |y| - i(\mathbb{1} - |y|^2)^{1/2}.$$

Besides, w is unitary (and hence, so is $w^* = w^{-1}$). Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} ww^* &= (|y| + i(\mathbb{1} - |y|^2)^{1/2})(|y| - i(\mathbb{1} - |y|^2)^{1/2}) = |y|^2 + \mathbb{1} - |y|^2 = \mathbb{1} \\ w^*w &= (|y| - i(\mathbb{1} - |y|^2)^{1/2})(|y| + i(\mathbb{1} - |y|^2)^{1/2}) = |y|^2 + \mathbb{1} - |y|^2 = \mathbb{1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have employed that $|y|$ and $(\mathbb{1} - |y|^2)^{1/2}$ commute¹. In conclusion, we have shown that $x + u = vw + vw^*$ can be written as a sum of two unitary elements, so $y = (x + u)/2 = (vw + vw^*)/2 \in \text{co}(U)$. By the arbitrariness of u , we have $x + U \subset 2\text{co}(U) \subset 2\overline{\text{co}}(U)$. Equivalently, $U \subset 2\overline{\text{co}}(U) - x$, which is closed and convex. Thus, $\overline{\text{co}}(U) \subset 2\overline{\text{co}}(U) - x$ and $(x + \overline{\text{co}}(U))/2 \subset \overline{\text{co}}(U)$.

¹Both $|y|$ and $(\mathbb{1} - |y|^2)^{1/2}$ lie in the unitary commutative C^* -subalgebra generated by the positive element $|y|^2$ and the unit.

With the last equality in mind, take any $u \in U$. It is clear that the sequence defined by $x_0 = u$ and $x_{n+1} = (x + x_n)/2$ is contained in $\overline{\text{co}}(U)$ and converges to x , so $x \in \overline{\text{co}}(U)$ (given that $\overline{\text{co}}(U)$ is closed). \square

Remark by C. K. Fong. ([2, Note]) Let us recover the proof from the inclusion $x + U \subset 2 \text{co}(U)$ at the last paragraph. This is equivalent to $U \subset 2 \text{co}(U) - x$, which is convex (and not necessarily closed any more). Thus, $\text{co}(U) \subset 2 \text{co}(U) - x$ and $(x + \text{co}(U))/2 \subset \text{co}(U)$.

Now, since $x \in A_1$, we can find an element $x' \in A_1$ such that $x \in [u, x']$, where u is any fixed unitary element. The sequence defined by $x_0 = u$ and $x_{n+1} = (x' + x_n)/2$ is contained in $\text{co}(U)$ and converges to x' , so x lies in $[u, x_n]$ for n large enough. Therefore $x \in \text{co}(U)$, and we conclude by the arbitrariness of x the slightly stronger statement $A_1 \subset \text{co}(U)$.

It is clear that Russo-Dye Theorem implies that A is the linear span of U . We shall work out a very noteworthy application.

Corollary 1.2. ([1, Corollary 1]) *Let A be a unitary C^* -algebra and $U = \mathcal{U}(A)$ its unitary group, and let X be an arbitrary normed space. Then, a linear mapping $\phi : A \rightarrow X$ is continuous if and only if ϕ is bounded on U . Furthermore, the following equality holds:*

$$\|\phi\| = \sup_{u \in U} \|\phi(u)\|.$$

Proof. For each element $a \in A$ we define

$$\|a\|_U := \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j| : a = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j u_j, \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \lambda_j \in \mathbb{K}, \ u_j \in U \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n \right\},$$

where the latter set is non-empty because $A = \text{span } U$. It is straightforward to check that $\|\cdot\|_U$ defines a norm in A with $\|a\| \leq \|a\|_U$ for each $a \in A$. Furthermore, if $a \in \text{co}(U)$, it is clear that $\|a\|_U \leq 1$.

For each $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $b = \frac{a}{\|a\| + \varepsilon} \in A_1 \subset \text{co}(U)$ ². Then $\|b\|_U \leq 1$, so $\|a\|_U \leq \|a\| + \varepsilon$ and the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon > 0$ leads to $\|a\| = \|a\|_U$ for each $a \in A$.

Finally, let $K = \sup_{u \in U} \|\phi(u)\| \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$. For each $a = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j u_j$ (with each $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{K}$ and $u_j \in U$) we have

$$\|\phi(a)\| = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \phi(u_j) \right\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j| \|\phi(u_j)\| \leq K \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j|,$$

so $\|\phi(a)\| \leq K \|a\|_U = K \|a\|$. Hence, ϕ is continuous with $\|\phi\| \leq K$.

By the definition of K , there exists a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in $U \subset B_A$ such that $\{\|\phi(u_n)\|\}$ converges to K , which leads to $\|\phi\| \geq K$. \square

²Russo and Dye did not know about this last inclusion, which is showed in the Remark. Nonetheless, they proved $A_1 \subset 2 \text{co}(U)$ by more advanced methods and worked out a simple workaround.

2 A refinement by Kadison and Pedersen

A generalization of Russo-Dye Theorem was provided by R. V. Kadison and G. K. Pedersen in 1985 ([4]), where it is stated that an element of the open unit ball can be written as an arithmetic mean of n unitary elements, where the value of n can be estimated using the distance to the surface of the unit ball: the closer the element is to the surface, the larger n must be.

Theorem 2.1. ([4, Theorem 1]) *Let A be a unitary C^* -algebra and $U = \mathcal{U}(A)$ its unitary group. If an element $a \in A$ satisfies $\|a\| < 1 - 2/n$ for some integer $n > 2$, then there are n unitary elements $u_1, \dots, u_n \in U$ such that $a = n^{-1}(u_1 + \dots + u_n)$.*

The proof takes advantage of Gardner ideas.

Proof. In the first part of Gardner's proof, it is shown that the sum of a unitary element and an element in the open unit ball can be written as the sum of two unitary elements. Fix any $x \in A_1$ and $u \in U$, consider the element $z \in A$ given by

$$z = u + (n-1)x = u + x + (n-2)x.$$

By the previous argument, there exist $u_1, v_1 \in U$ such that $u + x = u_1 + v_1$. Then,

$$z = u_1 + v_1 + (n-2)x = u_1 + v_1 + x + (n-3)x.$$

We apply the same argument again to write $v_1 + x = u_2 + v_2$ with $u_2, v_2 \in U$, so

$$z = u_1 + u_2 + v_2 + (n-3)x = u_1 + u_2 + v_2 + x + (n-4)x.$$

Applying this $n-3$ times more, we get

$$z = u + (n-1)x = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j, \quad \text{where } u_j \in U \text{ for each } j = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1)$$

so z can be expressed as the sum of n unitary elements. Now, let us note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(n-1)^{-1}(na - \mathbb{1})\| &\leq (n-1)^{-1}(n\|a\| + 1) < (n-1)^{-1}(n(1 - 2/n) + 1) \\ &= (n-1)^{-1}(n-1) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

so $(n-1)^{-1}(na - \mathbb{1}) \in A_1$. Thus, we can apply (1) with $x = (n-1)^{-1}(na - \mathbb{1})$ and $u = \mathbb{1}$ to get

$$u + (n-1)x = \mathbb{1} + (na - \mathbb{1}) = na = u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_n = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j.$$

□

As a consequence, we can explicitly write each element of a C^* -algebra in terms of unitary elements.

Corollary 2.2. ([4, Corollary 2]) *Each element of a unitary C^* -algebra A is some positive multiple of the sum of three unitary elements.*

Proof. Given any $a \in A$, take any $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider the element $b = \frac{1}{3(\|a\| + \varepsilon)}a$. Clearly, we have

$$\|b\| = \frac{1}{3(\|a\| + \varepsilon)}\|a\| < 1/3 = 1 - 2/3.$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.1, b can be written as $b = (u_1 + u_2 + u_3)/3$, with u_j unitary for each $j = 1, 2, 3$. Therefore,

$$a = 3(\|a\| + \varepsilon)b = (\|a\| + \varepsilon)(u_1 + u_2 + u_3).$$

□

In 2007, in collaboration with U. Haagerup, Kadison and Pedersen published an improved version of Theorem 2.1, which does not require the strict inequality in the norm of a .

Theorem 2.3. ([3, Theorem]) *Let A be a unitary C^* -algebra and $U = \mathcal{U}(A)$ its unitary group. If an element $a \in A$ satisfies $\|a\| \leq 1 - 2/n$ for some integer $n > 2$, then there are n unitary elements $u_1, \dots, u_n \in U$ such that $a = n^{-1}(u_1 + \dots + u_n)$.*

References

- [1] Dye, A.H. and Russo, B.: A note in unitary operators in C^* -algebras. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, **33** (2): 413–416 (1966).
- [2] Gardner, L.T.: Shorter Notes: An Elementary Proof of the Russo-Dye Theorem. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **90** (1): 171–171 (1984).
- [3] Haagerup, U., Kadison, R.V. and Pedersen, G.K.: Means of unitary operators, revisited. *Mathematica Scandinavica*, **100** (2): 193–197 (2007).
- [4] Kadison, R.V. and Pedersen, G.K.: Means and convex combinations of unitary operators. *Mathematica Scandinavica*, **57** (2): 249–266 (1985).
- [5] Phelps, R.R.: Extreme points in function algebras. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, **32** (2): 267–277 (1965).