Final Deliverables Grading Report

Student Name: David Dominguez

Project Name: IT Department Career Advancement Project - Project Closure Portfolio

Grading Date: 12/09/2025

Total Score: 136.0 / 140 points (97.1%)

1. Closure Website (85 points)

1.1 Overall Website

URL is clean and professional	2.0 / 2
Content is well organized and logically structured	5.0 / 5
Website is easy to navigate	3.0 / 3
Visually professional and polished / consistent styling	5.0 / 5

Comments:

Overall, it is a very visually streamlined, organized, and appealing website. Extremely easy and efficient to navigate. Love the usage of cool and lights greens contrasted with white boxes and dark text!

1.2 Project Charter

Content is well organized and logically structured	3.0 / 3
All information aligns across sections (no contradictions)	3.0 / 3
Scope	1.5 / 1.5
Stakeholder Register	1.5 / 1.5
Budget Estimation	1.5 / 1.5
Deliverables	1.5 / 1.5
Methodology Diagram	1.5 / 1.5
Project Tool Selection	1.5 / 1.5

Comments:

Once again, very clean, streamlined, and efficiently communicated website. The fact that David included dark mode, text size options, section search, universal expand and close buttons, as well as a clearly visible and usable button near the bottom right corner to instantly return to the top of the shows, demonstrates that he put a massive amount of consideration and effort into making this website as quality as possible. This is only accentuated by the sidebars that highlight reader's progress throughout this webpage, as well as working hyperlinks to other portions of his website and the progress bar on top. BTW, I also like the cool and ethereal turquoise, black, and white atmosphere enabled through the dark mode. Easy full points.

1.3 Status Report

Timeframe	1.0 / 1
Issues & Risks	1.0 / 1

Comments:

I like that he incorporated all of his status reports into an easily clickable drop down menu selection going by most recent to oldest. The fact that selecting one redirects you to its corresponding document that is viewable through pdf viewer is very interesting, since options like highlight, zoom in, zoom out, and more. Very clear organization and segmentation of what would otherwise be a lot of content to handle.

1.4 Project Closure Document

Content is well organized and logically structured	1.0 / 1
Document is easy to navigate	1.0 / 1
Project Information	2.0 / 2

Deliverables Summary	4.0 / 4
Change Log	1.0 / 1
Performance Analysis	1.0 / 1
Stakeholder Management	1.0 / 1
Risk and Issue Summary	1.0 / 1
What Went Well	1.0 / 1
What Didn't Go Well	1.0 / 1
What Would You Do Differently	1.0 / 1
Lessons Learned Register	1.0 / 1
Key Takeaways	1.0 / 1
Formal Sign-Off	0.0 / 1
Recognition	1.0 / 1
What's Next	1.0 / 1

1.5 STAR Stories

STAR Story #1	2.0 / 2
STAR Story #2	2.0 / 2
STAR Story #3	2.0 / 2
STAR Story #4	2.0 / 2
STAR Story #5	2.0 / 2

1.6 Stakeholder Interview Documentation

Interview #1	3.0 / 3
Interview #2	3.0 / 3
Interview #3	0.0 / 3
Interview #1	2.0 / 2
Interview #2	2.0 / 2
Interview #3	0.0 / 2

Section Total:	**71.0 / 77**

2. Stakeholder Interview Recordings (15 points)

Question A: How do you use project management in your life or job?	5.0 / 5
Question B: What advice do you have for me and my classmates who are learning project management?	5.0 / 5
Question C: What qualities do you look for in people you hire or work with?	5.0 / 5

Comments:
I presume that he did it, since it would be hard to get the exact information for the previous section's interview documentation without it.

Section Total:	**15.0 / 15**

3. Bite-Sized Lessons (25 points)

Selected a relevant project management topic	5.0 / 5
Informational	10.0 / 10
Engaging	10.0 / 10

Comments:
He submitted the video and we watched and enjoyed in class; that is proof enough that this deserves full points.

Section Total:	**25.0 / 25**

4. Peer Reviews (15 points)

Received peer feedback from Classmate 1	3.8 / 3.75
Received peer feedback from Classmate 2	3.8 / 3.75
Provided thoughtful peer feedback to Classmate 1	3.8 / 3.75
Provided thoughtful peer feedback to Classmate 2	3.8 / 3.75

Comments:

This is presuming that David already has or is planning to include another person to peer review his website. I am marking it as "Met All" as such.

Section Total: **15.0 / 15**