I'm using the Arxiv version 5 Feb 2013

${\bf Contents}$

1	Spe	ectral Categories	2
	1.1	Summary	2
		1.1.1 Important definitions	2
		1.1.2 Notation	2
		1.1.3 Key results	2
	1.2	Clarifying Remarks	3
	1.3	Background Material	3
		1.3.1 Combinatorial Model Categories	
2	Stal	$\mathbf{ble} \infty ext{-}\mathbf{categories}$	6
	2.1	Summary	ϵ
		2.1.1 Important definitions	6
		2.1.2 Notation	ϵ
		2.1.3 Key results	6
	2.2	Clarifying Remarks	7
	2.3	Background Material	7
		2.3.1 Pretriangulated Spectral Categories	7
		2.3.2 ∞-Categories	8
		2.3.3 Idempotent completion	8
3	_	nmetric Monoidal Structures and Dualizable Objects	6
	3.1	Summary	6
		3.1.1 Important definitions	ć
		3.1.2 Notation	6
		3.1.3 Key results	6
	3.2	Clarifying Remarks	ę
	3.3	Background Material	10
		3.3.1 Symmetric Monoidal ∞ -Categories	10
4	Mo	rita Theory	11
	4.1	Summary	11
			11
		4.1.2 Notation	11
		4.1.3 Key Results	11
	4.2		11
	4.3		12
			12
5	Exa	act Sequences	12
•	5.1		12
	-	V	$\frac{1}{2}$
			13
			13
	5.2	·	10 13
	5.3		10 13
	0.0		$\frac{16}{13}$
		O.O.I CHOOSICAL HOURING OF CARCHICOS	⊥•

6	\mathbf{Add}	ditivity		13
	6.1	Summ	y	13
		6.1.1	mportant definitions	13
		6.1.2	Totation	14
		6.1.3	Key Results	14
	6.2	Clarify	Remarks	14
	6.3	Rackm	und Material	1/

1 Spectral Categories

1.1 Summary

1.1.1 Important definitions

Term	Page	Loose Definition
	Num-	
	ber	
Spectral category	8	Category enriched over the category of
		symmetric spectra.
DK equivalence	9	Functor which induces stable equivalence
		of mapping spectra + equivalence of
		"homotopy" categories.
Module over a	10	A spectral functor $A^{op} \to SymmSpt$ for a
spectral category		spectral category A .
Triangulated	10	Yoneda embed $A \hookrightarrow \widehat{A}^{cf}$ and take finite
closure		cell objects (pushouts of coproducts).
Thick closure	11	Same as above but take retracts of fintie
		cell objects.
Triangulated	11	Functor which induces DK equivalence of
equivalence		triangulated closures.
Morita	11	Functor which induces DK equivalence of
equivalence of		thick closures.
Spectral		
Categories		

1.1.2 Notation

- \bullet Cat_S is the category of small spectral categories and spectral functors.
- Cat_T is the category of small simplicial categories and simplicial functors.

1.1.3 Key results

1. There's a Quillen adjunction

$$Cat_S \xrightarrow[\Omega^{\infty}]{\Sigma_+^{\infty}} Cat_T$$

where $\Omega^{\infty}(F(A,B))$ is the zeroth space functor or equivalently the simplicial set $[n] \mapsto Hom_{Spt}(\mathbb{S} \otimes \Delta^n, F(A,B)) \cong Hom_{Spc}(\Delta^n, \Omega^{\infty}F(A,B)) \cong \Omega^{\infty}F(A,B)_n$. This is the main theorem of Tabuada's paper "Homotopy Theory of Spectral Categories" (see references). We can modify Cat_S up to weak equivalence to make this a simplicial Quillen adjunction.

Remark 1. It might also be helpful to recall that, given a monoidal functor from a monoidal category M to a monoidal category N, any category enriched over M can be reinterpreted as a category enriched over N. Furthermore, we recover the underlying category of an enriched category by considering the functor $M(I, -): M \to Set$ where I is the unit of the monoidal structure.

2. There's a model category $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ of spectral \mathcal{A} -modules (these are defined as functors, so we put the projective model structure on the functor category). The referenced paper here is Stable model categories are categories of modules by Schwede and Shipley.

1.2 Clarifying Remarks

- The definition of A-module is a generalization of the ordinary one. Recall that a ring is equivalently a preadditive category (an Ab-enriched category) with one object, and a module is a functor from this ring to abelian groups. Along the same vein, a ring spectrum is a spectral category with one object, and a module over this ring spectrum is a functor from this category to spectra.
- A category of enriched functors is itself enriched. Recall that $Nat(F,G) = \int_C Hom(F-,G-)$. Via the theory of enriched ends we can define a mapping spectrum to be $\int_C A(F-,G-)$, where A denotes the mapping spectrum of two objects in our enriched category.
- Given a functor $F: A \to B$, we get an obvious restriction functor $F^*: mod B := \widehat{B} \to \widehat{A}$ given by precomposition with F (recall the definition of a module as a functor!). It has a left adjoint functor $F_!$ given by an enriched coend. It sends an A-module N to the coequalizer of

$$\bigvee_{o,p \in A} N(p) \wedge A(o,p) \wedge B(-,F(o)) \Longrightarrow \bigvee_{o \in A} N(o) \wedge B(-,F(o)) .$$

Recall that representable objects are "free on one generator". Indeed, the usual definition of a free object is that maps out of it are determined by maps out of a basis. We know, by the Yoneda lemma, that maps out of representable objects are determined by maps out of the identity morphism.

• The paper omits the definition of a pretriangulated spectral category. It's in the Mandell-Blumberg paper in the references, definition 5.4.

1.3 Background Material

While the theory of infinity categories allows us to work "coordinate-free" in some sense, we first need some examples of meaningful infinity categories and functors between them. One way to get a slew of presentable ∞ -categories is to take the underlying infinity category of a left proper, simplicial, combinatorial model category. We'll define the simplicial nerve after introducing combinatorial model categories.

1.3.1 Combinatorial Model Categories

Definition 2. An infinite cardinal κ is a regular cardinal if it satisfies the following property, which we think of as requiring the collection of sets of smaller cardinality to be "closed under union":

• no set of cardinality κ is the union of fewer than κ sets of cardinality less than κ .

Example 3. \aleph_0 , or the cardinality of \mathbb{N} , is a regular cardinal. This is because any set of cardinality less than \aleph_0 is finite, and no infinite set is a finite union of finite sets.

Example 4. Any successor cardinal is regular. For \aleph_1 , this follows from the fact that a countable union of countable sets is countable (we need choice here).

Definition 5. Let κ be an infinite regular cardinal. Then a κ -filtered category is one such that any diagram $F: D \to C$, where D has fewer than κ morphisms admits an extension $F: D^+ \to C$ (i.e. F has a cocone). Here D^+ is the category obtained by freely adjoining a terminal object to D.

Example 6. Let $\kappa = \omega$ (or \aleph_0 in the notation we've been using). Then we're requiring every FINITE diagram in C to have a cocone. This is equivalent to the usual definition of a filtered category: a nonempty category s.t. each pair of objects has a join, and for any parallel morphisms $f, g: c_1 \to c_2$ in C there exists a morphism $h: c_2 \to c_3$ such that hf = hg. In other words, we can build a cocone for any finite diagram from these cocones.

Example 7. A preorder (there exists a unique morphism between any two objects) is ω -filtered precisely when it is *directed*, i.e. any two objects have a join.

Definition 8. Let κ be a regular cardinal. Then an object X such that C(X, -) commutes with κ -filtered colimits is called κ -compact.

Definition 9. An object X of a category is *small* if it is κ -compact for some regular cardinal κ .

Definition 10. A category C is locally presentable if

- 1. C is a locally small category
- 2. C has all small colimits
- 3. there exists a small set $S \hookrightarrow Obi(C)$ of λ -small objects that generates C under λ -filtered colimits.
- 4. every obect in C is a small object.

Definition 11. Let C be a category and $I \subset Mor(C)$. Let cell(I) be the class of morphisms obtained by transfinite composition of pushouts of coproducts of elements in I.

Definition 12. A model category C is *cofibrantly generated* if there are small sets of morphisms $I, J \subset Mor(C)$ such that

- cof(I), the set of retracts of elements in cell(I), is precisely the collection of cofibrations of C.
- cof(J) is precisely the collection of acyclic cofibrations in C; and
- I and J permit the small object argument.

Definition 13. (Smith) A model category C is combinatorial if it is

- locally presentable as a category, and
- cofibrantly generated as a model category.

Example 14. The category sSet with the standard model structure on simplicial sets is a combinatorial model category.

Example 15. The category sSet with the Joyal model structure (so that the quasi-categories are the fibrant objects) is combinatorial.

Question 1. Why should we care that a model category is combinatorial?

We'll define a left-proper model category, then give a fundamental result of Dugger's:

Definition 16. A model category is *left proper* if weak equivalence is preserved by pushout along cofibrations.

Example 17. A model category in which all objects are cofibrant is left proper. This includes the standard model structure on simplicial sets, as well the injective model structure on simplicial presheaves. This follows from the Reedy lemma, which allows us to calculate homotopy pushouts by considering diagrams s.t. the objects are cofibrant and one of the maps is a cofibration (the point is that replacing this diagram with a cofibrant diagram in the projective model structure on diagrams is an acyclic cofibration of diagrams, not just a weak equivalence).

Theorem 1. (Dugger)

Every combinatorial model category is Quillen equivalent to a left proper simplicial combinatorial model category.

Now, we have the following extremely useful result on Bousfield localizations:

Theorem 2. If C is a left proper, simplicial, combinatorial model category, and $S \subset Mor(C)$ is a small set of morphisms, then the left Bousfield localization L_SC does exist as a combinatorial model category. Moreover, the fibrant objects of L_SC are precisely the S-local objects, and L_S is left proper and simplicial.

In the context of infinity categories, we have some crucial results of Lurie. For these to make sense, however, we need to introduce the simplicial nerve construction. This is the generalization of the ordinary nerve construction to simplicially enriched categories.

Definition 18. We'll define a cosimplicial simplicially enriched category S. The objects of S[n] are $\{0, 1, ..., n\}$; the hom objects $S[n]_{i,j} \in sSet$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ are the nerves

$$S[n](i,j) = N(P_{i,j})$$

of the poset $P_{i,j}$ which is the poset of subsets of [i,j] that contain both i and j with partial order given by inclusion.

Definition 19. The simplicial nerve of a simplicial category is the simplicial set characterized by

$$Hom_{sSet}(\Delta[n], N(C)) = Hom_{SSetCat}(S[n], C).$$

Theorem 3. (HTT A.3.7.6) Let C be an ∞ -category. The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1. The ∞ -category C is presentable.
- 2. There exists a combinatorial simplicial model category A and an equivalence $C \cong N(A^{\circ})$.

Here A° is the underlying category of bifibrant objects.

Remark 20. (HTT A.3.7.7)

Let A and B be combinatorial simplicial model categories. Then the underlying ∞ -categories $N(A^{\circ})$ and $N(B^{\circ})$ are equivalent iff A and B can be joined by a chain of simplicial Quillen equivalences.

Theorem 4. (HTT 5.2.4.6) Let A and B be simplicial model categories, and let

$$A \stackrel{F}{\rightleftharpoons} B$$

be a simplicial Quillen adjunction. This descends to an adjunction on the underlying ∞ -categories.

2.1 Summary

2.1.1 Important definitions

Term	Page	Loose Definition
	Num-	
	ber	
Stable ∞	14	∞ -cat with finite (co)lims s.t. hopushouts
category		coincide with hopullbacks.
Idempotent	14	Image under Yoneda embedding
complete		$C \to Fun(C^{op}, Gpd_{\infty})$ is closed under
		retracts.
Morita	14	Small stable ∞ -cats A, B are ME if
equivalence of		Idem(A) equiv to $Idem(B)$.
stab ∞ -cats		
Spectrum Object	15	A functor $F: N(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathcal{C}$ s.t. certain
in Pted ∞-cat		diagrams are cartesian.
Spectral Yoneda	15	$C \approx Sp(C_*) \to Sp(Fun(C^{op}, Gpd_{\infty})_*) \approx$
embedding for		$Fun(C^{op}, Sp(Gpd_{\infty}))$
stab ∞ -cats		
Stably	16	Functor $C \to Spt$ equivalent to $Map(-,A)$
representable		for a spectrum object A , where Map
functor		denotes mapping spectrum.
Accessible	17	∞ -cat equivalent to Ind_{κ} of a small ∞ -cat.
∞ -category		-
Presentable	17	∞ cat generated under sufficiently large
∞ -category		filtered colimits by some small ∞ -cat.
Localization of	18	∞ -cat $C[S^{-1}]$ equipped with a map
∞ -categories		$C \to C[S^{-1}]$ which is universal for
		inverting elements of S .
Bousfield	19	Colimit preserving functor whose right
localization of		adjoint is fully faithful.
presentable		
∞-cat		

2.1.2 Notation

- Cat_{∞} is the ∞ -category of small ∞ categories.
- Cat_{∞}^{ex} is the ∞ -category of small stable ∞ -categories and exact functors.
- Cat_{∞}^{perf} is the ∞ -category of small idempotent complete stable ∞ -categories (and exact functors).

2.1.3 Key results

- 1. Given a pretriangulated spectral category \mathcal{C} , the ∞ -category $N((Mod(\mathcal{C}))^{cf})$ is stable.
- 2. If C is a pretriangulated spectral category, then $\pi_0 C$ admits a triangulated category structure.
- 3. If C is a stable ∞ -category, then hoC admits a triangulated category structure.
- 4. The inclusion $Cat_{\infty}^{perf} \to Cat_{\infty}^{ex}$ has a left adjoint called idempotent completion.
- 5. If C is a ∞ -category with finite limits, there is a stable ∞ -category Stab(C) with a limit preserving functor $\Omega^{\infty}: Stab(C) \to C$. In particular, this is accomplished by taking Stab(C) = Sp(C), the category of spectrum objects of C. If C is already stable, then Ω^{∞} is an equivalence, with inverse $\Sigma^{\infty}: C \to Sp(C)$.

6. If C is a stable ∞ -category, there is a spectral Yoneda embedding

$$Y: C \simeq Sp(C_*) \to Sp(Fun(C^{op}, N(T)^{cf})_*) \simeq Fun(C^{op}, S_{\infty})$$

with an adjoint mapping spectrum functor

$$Map: C^{op} \times C \to S_{\infty}$$

7. If C is a stable ∞ -category, a $C^{op} \to S_{\infty}$ is stably representable if and only if it is represented by the suspension spectrum $\Sigma^{\infty}z$ of some $z \in C$, and this object is unique upto equivalence.

2.2 Clarifying Remarks

- 1. Idempotent completion is unique upto equivalence by HTT Proposition 5.1.4.9. Existence is obtained by taking the full subcategory of Pre(C) spanned by objects that are retracts of objects in the image of C under the Yoneda embedding.
- 2. For this paper we assume stable ∞-categories to be pointed, i.e. they have zero objects. In the description of spectral Yoneda embedding above, * indicates the subcategory of pointed objects.

2.3 Background Material

2.3.1 Pretriangulated Spectral Categories

The material here is from Section 5 of Mandell-Blumberg (in the higher K-theory paper, they say Section 4 but that's either a typo or just not updated).

Definition 21. A spectral category C is pretriangulated if

- 1. There exists an object 0 of C such that C(-,0) is homotopically trivial. This means that it is weakly equivalent to the constant functor * at the one point symmetric spectrum.
- 2. Whenever a C-module M has the property that ΣM is weakly equivalent to a representable C-module C(-,c), then M is weakly equivalent to some representable C-module C(-,d).
- 3. Whenever the C-modules M and N are weakly equivalent to representables C(-,a) and C(-,b) respectively, the homotopy cofiber of any map of C-modules $M \to N$ is weakly equivalent to a representable C-module.

Remark 22. The first condition says that the homotopy category $\pi_0 C$ has a zero object. The second condition gives a desuspension functor on $\pi_0 C$ and the third condition gives a suspension functor on $\pi_0 C$.

Definition 23. A spectral functor between spectral categories $F: C \to D$ is a DK-embedding if for all objects a, b in C, the induced map of spectra $C(a, b) \to D(Fa, Fb)$ is a weak equivalence.

Remark 24. In Blumberg-Mandell, a DK equivalence is a DK embedding satisfying one of the following equivalent conditions

- 1. For all object d of D, there is an object c of C such that D(-,d) and D(-,Fc) are naturally isomorphic as D-modules.
- 2. The induced functor on the "graded homotopy categorie" $\pi_*C \to \pi_*D$ is an equivalence of categories.

In Blumberg-Gepner-Tabuada, this second condition is relaxed to $\pi_0 C \to \pi_0 D$ being an equivalence of categories. This is because a spectral functor between pretriangulated spectral categories is a DK equivalence if and only if it is a DK embedding and the induced functor on π_0 is an equivalence of categories.

Theorem 5. (Blumberg-Mandell, Theorem 5.5) Any small spectral category C DK-embeds into a small pretriangulated spectral category.

UnivKThy Notes

Remark 25. We should think of this as taking the closure of C under cofibration sequences and desuspensions in C-modules, via the Yoneda embedding. Note that this can be made functorial and it gives the "minimal pretriangulated closure" of C.

Definition 26. A four-term Puppe sequence in $\pi_0 C$ is a sequence of the form

$$a \to b \to c \to \Sigma a$$

if there exist a map of C-modules $f: M \to N$ such that the sequence

$$M \to N \to C f \to \Sigma M$$

is isomorphic to the above sequence in the derived category of C-modules via the Yoneda embedding, and further the equivalence $\Sigma M \simeq C(-, \Sigma a) \simeq \Sigma C(-, a)$ is the suspension of the isomorphism $M \simeq C(-, a)$.

Theorem 6. (Blumberg-Mandell, Theorem 5.6) Given a pretriangulated spectral category C, its homotopy category $\pi_0 C$ is triangulated with distinguished triangles the above four-term Puppe sequences.

Proof. Proof of Theorem 5.6 is just observing that $\pi_0 C$ embeds as a full subcategory of the homotopy category of C-modules (with projective model structure) and checking that it is closed under suspensions, desuspensions and distinguished triangles.

2.3.2 ∞ -Categories

Definition 27. The **Joyal model structure** on simplicial sets is defined as follows:

- Cofibrations are levelwise monomorphisms.
- Weak equivalences are (weak) categorical equivalence. These are maps $f: A \to B$ such that for any ∞ -category X, the map $X^B \to X^A$ induces an isomorphism on the fundamental category (the homotopy category) hoC.
- Fibrations are determined by the above.

Remark 28. All objects are cofibrant, and the fibrant objects are precisely ∞ -categories.

Theorem 7. (HA Theorem 1.1.2.15) Let C be a stable ∞ -category. Then hoC has a triangulated category structure with distinguished triangles coming from cofiber sequences.

Remark 29. There is a correspondence between pretriangulated spectral categories and stable ∞ -categories. For example, if C is a pretriangulated spectral category, then $N((ModC)^{cf})$ is a stable ∞ -category.

2.3.3 Idempotent completion

HTT 4.4.5 gives a good overview on the definition of idempotent completion for ∞ -categories, while comparing it with the classical notion.

Remark 30. The notion of retracts between classical and ∞ -categorical settings are a bit different. An ordinary category X is said to be *idempotent complete* if every idempotent map $X \to X$ comes from some retract Y of X. In such a situation Y can be determined uniquely as an equalizer (or a coequalizer). Hence, if C has finite limits or finite colimits, then C is idempotent complete.

This is not the case for ∞ -categories. Consider the category $C_*(R)$ consisting of bounded chain complex of finite rank free R-modules and consider $N(C_*(R))$, which is actuallt a stable ∞ -category. Hence it admits finite limits and colimits, but it is idempotent complete if and only if every finitely generated projective R-module is stably free.

The problem is that an idempotent in an ∞ -category shouldn't be just a morphism e with $e \circ e \simeq e$ in hoC. It should specify homotopies on how to relate multiple compositions $e \circ e \circ ... \circ e \simeq e$. To achieve this, in HTT 4.4.5, simplicial sets called $Idem^+$, Idem and Ret are introduced. Now idempotents, weak retractions, strong retractions in C are respectively defined to be maps of simplicial sets from Idem, Ret, $Idem^+$ to C. C is idempotent complete if every idempotent $F: Idem \to C$ has a colimit. This can be shown to be equivalent to the definition in the paper using results in HTT 5.1.4 and 5.1.5.

3 Symmetric Monoidal Structures and Dualizable Objects

3.1 Summary

3.1.1 Important definitions

Term	pg	Loose Definition
Tensor	20	If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are small stable idempotent-complete
Product		∞ -categories, we define $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B} = (\operatorname{Ind}(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \operatorname{Ind}(\mathcal{B}))^{\omega}$.
$\cot^{\operatorname{Perf}}_{\infty}$		
Right-	21	An object of $\operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{ex}}(\mathcal{A}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{B}^{\operatorname{op}},\mathcal{S}_{\infty})$ is right-compact if
Compact		putting in some object $a \in \mathcal{A}$ in the left argument
Object		always yields a compact object of $\operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{ex}}(\mathcal{B}^{\operatorname{op}}, \mathcal{S}_{\infty})$.
Proper	22	Mapping spectra are compact.
Stable		
∞-		
Category		
Smooth	22	Perfect as a bimodule over itself. If \mathcal{A} is
Stable		idempotent-complete, then it is smooth if and only if
∞-		it is a representable $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}$ -module. ("Coherent"?)
Category		, in the second of the second
Dualiz-	22	An object of a symmetric monoidal ∞-category is
able		dualizable if it is dualizable in the homotopy category.

3.1.2 Notation

• The ∞ -category $\operatorname{Cat}^{\operatorname{Perf}}_{\infty}$ admits a symmetric monoidal structure. We write the tensor product as $\widehat{\otimes}$ to distinguish it from the usual tensor product of presentable stable ∞ -categories.

3.1.3 Key results

- 1. The ∞ -category $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{Perf}}$ admits the structure of a closed symmetric monoidal ∞ -category with tensor product given by $\widehat{\otimes}$. The unit is the ∞ -category $\mathcal{S}_{\infty}^{\omega}$ of compact spectra. Internal Hom is given by $\operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{ex}}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$.
- 2. For any small stable ∞ -category \mathcal{A} , the stable Yoneda embedding $\mathcal{A} \to \operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{ex}}(\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{op}}, \mathcal{S}_{\infty})$ induces an equivalence $\operatorname{Ind}(A) \simeq \operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{ex}}(\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{op}}, \mathcal{S}_{\infty})$. In particular, one can model the idempotent-completion of \mathcal{A} by the Yoneda embedding $\mathcal{A} \to \operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{ex}}(\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{op}}, \mathcal{S}_{\infty})^{\omega}$.
- 3. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be small stable idempotent-complete ∞ -categories. The functor category Fun^{ex} $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ can be identified as a full subcategory of the ∞ -category Fun^{ex} $(\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}^{op}, \mathcal{S}_{\infty})$ of $\mathcal{A}^{op} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$ -modules. In fact, it is the full subcategory spanned by right-compact $\mathcal{A}^{op} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$ -modules. (Note, I believe there is a small typo in the second-to-last paragraph of page 21, where it says "...certain subcategory of Fun^L $(\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}^{op}, \mathcal{S}_{\infty})$ "; superscript should be ex, not L.)
- 4. An object \mathcal{A} of $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{Perf}}$ is dualizable (with respect to the symmetric monoidal structure on $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{Perf}}$) if and only if \mathcal{A} is smooth and proper. Moreover, if \mathcal{A} is dualizable, its dual is given by $\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{op}}$.

3.2 Clarifying Remarks

- 1. This chapter assumes the monoidal structure on the ∞ -category of presentable, stable ∞ categories, then defines some other monoidal products. The definition of the monoidal structure \otimes on $\mathcal{P}r_{St}^L$ is involved. In fact, it must be involved; the unit of this monoidal structure is the category Sp of spectra. Hence, defining this monoidal structure gives us, in particular, a smash product of spectra.
- 2. Key result (2) above is one step in showing that the definition of Morita equivalence via Idempotent completion matches the definition in terms of module categories.

- 3. There's a slight difference in notation: BGT denote a symmetric monoidal infinity category by \mathcal{C}^{∞} , whereas below we define a symmetric monoidal infinity category as a coCartesian fibration $\mathcal{C}^{\infty} \to \mathscr{F}$ in_{*}.
- 4. The terminology "smooth" and "proper" here comes from dg-categories (recall that this paper is a translation of Tabuada's work into the language of ∞ -categories). In particular, a smooth proper dg category is one which closely resembles the category of perfect complexes on a smooth proper scheme, and these dg categories can be characterized as the dualizable objects in some category of dg categories.

3.3 Background Material

3.3.1 Symmetric Monoidal ∞ -Categories

The following can be found in chapter 2 of HA. In particular, the introduction to this chapter is a very clear introduction to the main idea.

In ordinary category theory, a symmetric monoidal structure on a category \mathcal{C} is usually described by a functor $\otimes: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$, an identity object $1 \in \mathcal{C}$ and natural isomorphisms describing associativity, commutativity, and unitality. In this setting, one demands that these natural isomorphisms satisfy coherence conditions. If one tries to do something analogous in the setting of ∞ -categories, one will quickly find that higher and higher coherence conditions must be imposed, to the point where this is prohibitively complicated. We will thus need to try something.

Another way to describe a symmetric monoidal structure on an ordinary category \mathcal{C} is by a Grothendieck opfibration. Let \mathscr{F} in_{*} denote the category consisting of objects $\langle n \rangle = \{1, \ldots, n\} \sqcup \{*\} \ (n \geq 0)$ and functions between these sets that preserve *. By abuse, we will identify this category with the category of pointed finite sets. Some useful morphisms in \mathscr{F} in_{*} are the functions $\rho_i : \langle n \rangle \to \langle 1 \rangle$ given by

$$\rho_i(j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = i \\ * & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Given a symmetric monoidal category \mathcal{C} , we can form a category \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} in which

- objects are finite (possibly empty) sequences of objects of \mathcal{C} , denoted by $[C_1, \ldots, C_n]$
- A morphism $f:[C_1,\ldots,C_n]\to [C'_1,\ldots,C'_m]$ consists of a subset $S\subseteq\{1,\ldots,n\}$, a map $\alpha:S\to\{1,\ldots,m\}$, and a collection of morphisms $\{f_j:\bigotimes_{\alpha(i)=j}C_i\to C'_j\}_{1\leq j\leq m}$, and
- composition is defined in the only sensible way. See the introduction of chapter 2 of HA.

We get a forgetful functor $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes} \to \mathscr{F}in_*$. In fact, this functor is a Grothendieck opfibration. We can identify \mathcal{C} with the fibre $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}_{\langle 1 \rangle}$ over $\langle 1 \rangle \in \mathscr{F}in_*$. It has the special feature that the functors $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}_{\langle n \rangle} \to \mathcal{C}$ induced by $\rho_i : \langle n \rangle \to \langle 1 \rangle$ assemble into an equivalence $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}_{\langle n \rangle} \to \mathcal{C}^n$. (This is often referred to as a "Segal condition".) The main result is that a symmetric monoidal structure on \mathcal{C} can be recovered from such a Grothendieck opfibration. Moreover, this construction generalizes readily to the ∞ -category setting, as demonstrated in the following definition.

Remark 31. This Segal condition gives us functors $C^2 \to C_{\langle 2 \rangle}^{\otimes} \to C$, which is how we can recover the symmetric monoidal product from the category defined above. So just to clarify, defining the category C^{\otimes} will require us to specify what the objects $C_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes C_j$ are. The point is that defining the bifunctor \otimes by specifying it in the form above lets us give a "minimal presentation" of the coherence conditions.

Definition 32. A symmetric monoidal ∞ -category is a coCartesian fibration of simplicial sets $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes} \to \mathscr{F}$ in_{*} such that, for each $n \geq 0$, the maps $\{\rho_i : \langle n \rangle \to \langle 1 \rangle\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ induce functors $\mathcal{C}_{\langle n \rangle}^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{C}_{\langle 1 \rangle}^{\otimes}$ that assemble into an equivalence $\mathcal{C}_{\langle n \rangle}^{\otimes} \to (\mathcal{C}_{\langle 1 \rangle}^{\otimes})^n$.

Here, we make the usual abuse of notation of writing $\mathscr{F}in_*$ instead of $N(\mathscr{F}in_*)$. It should be noted that, given a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes} \to \mathscr{F}in_*$, one typically thinks of $\mathcal{C}_{\langle 1 \rangle}^{\otimes}$ as having been given a symmetric monoidal structure.

4 Morita Theory

4.1 Summary

4.1.1 Important definitions

Term	pg	Loose Definition
Stable	25	Simplicial category s.t. the associated ∞-category is
simplicial		stable.
category		
Stable	25	Spectral category whose associated simplicial
spectral		category is stable.
category		
Ψ_{tri}	24	Functor
		$N((Cat_S)^c)[W^{-1}] \to N((Set_\Delta)^c)[W^{-1}] \cong Cat_\infty.$
		Induced by the functor $Cat_S \to Set_\Delta$ given by
		$A \mapsto \widehat{A}_{tri} \mapsto N(\Omega^{\infty}(A)^{fib}).$
Ψ_{perf}	24	Same as above, but replace \widehat{A}_{tri} with \widehat{A}_{perf} .
Triangu-	30	A map in the ∞ -cat of small spectral categories s.t.
lated		$\Psi_{tri}f$ is an equivalence of stab ∞ -cats.
equiva-		
lence		
v2		
Morita	30	$\Psi_{perf}f$ is an equivalence.
equiva-		
lence		
v2		
rep(B, A)	31	$\Upsilon(Fun^{ex}(B,A))$, the small pretriangulated spectral
		category associated to the small stable ∞ -cat of
		exact functors from B to A .

4.1.2 Notation

• Υ is the right adjoint to Ψ_{tri} , and via inclusion to Ψ_{perf} .

4.1.3 Key Results

- 1. Ψ_{tri} lands in Cat_{∞}^{ex} =small stable ∞ -cats.
- 2. Ψ_{perf} lands in Cat_{∞}^{perf} =idempotent complete small stable ∞ -cats.
- 3. The ∞ -category of stable ∞ -cats is an accessible localization of the ∞ -cat of spectral categories obtained by inverting the triangulated equivalences. In other words, the functor Ψ_{tri} has a fully faithful and accessible right adjoint Υ .
- 4. The ∞ -category of stable idempotent complete ∞ -cats is an accessible localization of the ∞ -cat of spectral categories obtained by inverting the Morita equivalences.
- 5. The ∞ -cats Cat_{∞}^{ex} and Cat_{∞}^{perf} are compactly generated, complete, and cocomplete.
- 6. Let I be a small category. Given a diagram \mathcal{D} of small stable ∞ -categories indexed by N(I), there exists and I-diagram of pretriangulated spectral categories $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ lifting \mathcal{D} .

4.2 Clarifying Remarks

1. This whole section is basically setting up technical machinery to allow us to lift stable ∞ -categories to spectral categories and make arguments with these more rigid objects. This is the content of (3) and (4) above.

2. Given a small stable idempotent complete ∞ -category A, we have that the conunit of the adjunction $\Psi_{perf} \Upsilon \to Id$ is a natural equivalence. Thus $A \cong \Psi_{perf} \Upsilon(A) \cong Idem \circ \Psi_{tri} \Upsilon(A)$, and recall that idempotent completion of a small stable ∞ -cat can be modeled as $A \mapsto Fun^{ex}(A^{op}, S_{\infty})^{\omega}$. It is in this sense that small stable idempotent complete ∞ -categories are ∞ -categories of modules.

4.3 Background Material

4.3.1 Accessible Localizations

Lemma 33. If a right adjoint is full and faithful, the counit is an isomorphism.

Proof. By definition of an adjunction $R \xrightarrow{\eta L} RLR \xrightarrow{R\epsilon} R$ is the identity, so that $R\epsilon$ is an isomorphism. Thus ϵ is an isomorphism since R is fully faithful.

Remark 34. The analogous result holds in the ∞ -categorical setting.

Definition 35. An $(\infty, 1)$ -functor $F: C \to D$ is accessible if C is an accessible $(\infty, 1)$ -category and there is a regular cardinal κ s.t. F preserves κ -small filtered colimits.

Remark 36. If an $(\infty, 1)$ -functor between accessible $(\infty, 1)$ -categories has a left or right adjoint $(\infty, 1)$ -functor, then it is itself accessible.

Definition 37. An $(\infty, 1)$ -functor $L: C \to C_0$ is called a (reflective) localization of the $(\infty, 1)$ -category C if it has a right adjoint $(\infty, 1)$ -functor $i: C_0 \to C$ that is full and faithful.

Definition 38. A localization is accessible if the localization functor is an accessible functor.

5 Exact Sequences

5.1 Summary

5.1.1 Important definitions

Term	pg	Loose Definition
Verdier quotient	32	The cofiber of a fully faithful functor $f: A \to B$
B/A		in Pr_{St}^L
Exact sequence	34	The composite is trivial, $A \to B$ is fully
of presentable		faithful, $B/A \to C$ is an equivalence.
stable		
∞ -categories		
$A \to B \to C$		
Exact sequence	35	If applying $Ind_{\kappa}(\underline{\ })$ gives an exact sequence in
in $Cat_{\infty}^{ex(\kappa)}$		the above sense.
Split exact	37	It is exact (in the above sense) and there exist a
sequence		right adjoint $B \to A$ with unit of adjunction
$A \to B \to C$ in		being a natural iso and a right adjoint $C \to B$
$Cat_{\infty}^{ex(\kappa)}$		with counit of adjunction being a natural iso
Exact sequence	38	If applying $N(\Omega^{\infty}Mod(\underline{\ })^{cf})$ gives an exact
of spectral		sequence of presentable stable ∞ -categories.
categories		
$Split(Cat_{\infty}^{ex})$	39	Subcategory of $Fun(\Delta^2, Cat_{\infty}^{ex})$ consisting of
and		split exact sequences. Similarly for the other
$Split(Cat^{perf}_{\infty})$		case.
Strict exact	40	An exact sequence of the form $A \to B \to B/A$
sequence of		such that $A \to B$ is the inclusion of a full
small stable		subcategory and every object of B that is a
∞ -categories		summand of an object of A is also in A .

5.1.2 Notation

• $Cat_{\infty}^{ex(\kappa)}$ is the ∞ -category of κ -cocomplete stable ∞ -categories and κ -small colimit preserving functors.

5.1.3 Key Results

- 1. If $A \to B$ is a fully faithful functor between presentable stable ∞ -categories, the Verdier quotient B/A is the Bousfield localization B at the class of morphisms whose cones lie in the essential image of A.
- 2. Let $A \to B$ be a fully faithful functor between presentable stable ∞ -categories. Then $Ho(A)/Ho(B) \to Ho(A/B)$ is an equivalence.
- 3. A functor between stable ∞ -categories is fully faithful (resp. an equivalence) if and only if the induced functor on the homotopy categories is fully faithful (resp. an equivalence). No need to assume presentableness here.
- 4. A sequence in $Cat_{\infty}^{ex(\kappa)}$ is exact if and only if the induced sequence on homotopy categories is exact in the classical sense.
- 5. This proposition will be used later for the construction of nonconnective K-theory : let $A \to B \to C$ be an exact sequence of small stable ∞ -categories. Then for any infinite regular cardinal κ , $Ind(A)^{\kappa} \to Ind(B)^{\kappa} \to Ind(C)^{\kappa}$ is an exact sequence of idempotent complete small stable ∞ -categories.
- 6. $Split(Cat_{\infty}^{perf})$ is accessible.
- 7. Any split exact sequence is equivalent to a strict exact sequence.

5.2 Clarifying Remarks

- 1. In this section, a κ -continuous functor is defined to be one that preserves κ -filtered colimits.
- 2. A fully faithful functor of stable ∞ -categories descend to a fully faithful triangulated functor on their homotopy categories.

5.3 Background Material

5.3.1 Classical notion of exactness

Remark 39. All categories and functors we consider here are triangulated.

Definition 40. A sequence of functors $A \to B \to C$ is exact if the composite is zero, $A \to B$ is fully faithful, and the induced functor on the Verdier quotient $B/A \to C$ is cofinal.

Definition 41. If A is a triangulated subcategory of B, then the Verdier quotient B/A is the universal triangulated category with a functor $B \to B/A$ such that every object of A is isomorphic to 0 under the functor.

Definition 42. A functor $C' \to C$ is cofinal if it becomes an equivalence after idempotent completion. Equivalently, if every object of C is a summand of an object in the image.

6 Additivity

6.1 Summary

6.1.1 Important definitions

Term	pg	Loose Definition
Additive	41	A functor where D is a stable presentable ∞ -category, such that it inverts
invariant		Morita equivalence (in the sense of Section 2), preserves filtered colimits,
E:		and is additive : every split exact sequence $A \to B \to C$ induces an
$Cat^{ex}_{\infty} \to D$		equivalence $E(A) \wedge E(C) \simeq E(B)$

6.1.2 Notation

- $Fun_{add}(Cat_{\infty}^{ex}, D)$ is the ∞ -category of additive invariants with values in D.
- $Pre((Cat_{\infty}^{perf})^{\omega})_*$ is the ∞ -category $Fun(((Cat_{\infty}^{perf})^{\omega})^{op}, \mathcal{T}_{\infty,*})$, presheaves of pointed spaces.
- $\phi: Cat_{\infty}^{perf} \to Pre((Cat_{\infty}^{perf})^{\omega})_*$ is defined by first applying the Yoneda embedding and then restricting the domain to $(Cat_{\infty}^{perf})^{\omega}$
- \mathcal{M}^{un}_{add} is the localization of $Pre((Cat^{perf}_{\infty})^{\omega})_*$ with respect to the maps $\phi(B)/\phi(A) \to \phi(C)$ where $A \to B \to C$ is an element of a fixed set of representatives of split exact sequences in $(Cat^{perf}_{\infty})^{\omega}$. Let γ denote the localization functor.
- \mathcal{U}_{add}^{un} is the composite:

$$Cat_{\infty}^{ex} \overset{Idem(_)}{\to} Cat_{\infty}^{perf} \overset{\phi}{\to} Pre((Cat_{\infty}^{perf})^{\omega})_* \overset{\gamma}{\to} \mathcal{M}_{add}^{un}$$

- \mathcal{M}_{add} is the stabilization of \mathcal{M}_{add}^{un} . Recall this is obtained by taking the category of spectrum objects in \mathcal{M}_{add}^{un} .
- \mathcal{U}_{add} is the composite

$$Cat_{\infty}^{ex} \stackrel{\mathcal{U}_{add}^{un}}{\to} \mathcal{M}_{add}^{un} \stackrel{\Sigma^{\infty}}{\to} \mathcal{M}_{add}$$

6.1.3 Key Results

1. "Unstable universal additive invariant": The functor \mathcal{U}^{un}_{add} inverts Morita equivalences, preserves filtered colimits and sends split exact sequences to cofiber sequences. Moreover, \mathcal{U}^{un}_{add} is universal with respect to these properties. For any presentable pointed ∞ -category D, there is an equivalence of ∞ -categories

$$Fun^{L}(\mathcal{M}^{un}_{add}, D) \simeq Fun^{un}_{add}(Cat^{ex}_{\infty}, D)$$

where the RHS denotes the full subcategory of $Fun(Cat_{\infty}^{ex}, D)$ of functors with the above listed properties.

2. "Stable universal additive invariant" : \mathcal{U}_{add} is the universal additive invariant. Given any presentable stable ∞ -category D, there is an equivalence of ∞ -categories

$$Fun^{L}(\mathcal{M}_{add}, D) \simeq Fun_{add}(Cat_{\infty}^{ex}, D)$$

6.2 Clarifying Remarks

- 1. Examples of additive invariants: analogues of algebraic K-theory and topological Hochschild cohomology. They will be constructed in later sections.
- 2. There is an alternate description of the \mathcal{M}_{add} by stablizing spaces first :

$$Stab(Pre((Cat_{\infty}^{perf})^{\omega})_{*}) = Stab(Fun(((Cat_{\infty}^{perf})^{\omega})^{op}, \mathcal{T}_{\infty*,}))$$

$$\simeq Fun(((Cat_{\infty}^{perf})^{\omega})^{op}, Stab(\mathcal{T}_{\infty,*}))$$

$$\simeq Fun(((Cat_{\infty}^{perf})^{\omega})^{op}, S_{\infty})$$

So there is a natural functor $\psi: Cat_{\infty}^{perf} \to Stab(Pre((Cat_{\infty}^{perf})^{\omega})_*)$. Then \mathcal{M}_{add} can be described as the localization of $Stab(Pre((Cat_{\infty}^{perf})^{\omega})_*)$ at $\psi(A)/\psi(B) \to \psi(C)$ for all split exact sequence representatives we considered earlier.

6.3 Background Material

It may be helpful to look at Chapter 1 Section 4 of HA for details about stabilization and spectrum objects.