

Remark 1. Notation: $K(-)$ is the connective K -theory spectrum, and $\mathbb{K}(-)$ is the non-connective K -theory spectrum.

Theorem 1. *There's a LES of K -groups*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow K_{n+1}(\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_p K_n(\mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow K_n(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow K_n(\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Thanks to hard work about K theory of fields, gives lots of information about $K_(\mathbb{Z})$.*

This theorem is (non-trivially) a special case of:

Theorem 2. (Quillen) *Given $B \subset A$ a Serre subcategory of a small abelian category, then we have a cofiber sequence of spectra*

$$K(B) \rightarrow K(A) \rightarrow K(A/B).$$

Note that in particular, $K_0(A) \twoheadrightarrow K_0(A/B) \rightarrow 0$.

Remark 2. This is a premier computational tool. However, not all K -groups are equivalent to K -groups of some abelian category. Notably, K_* of a singular scheme, K_* of a ring spectrum, etc.

Remark 3. If $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ is an exact sequence in Cat_∞^{perf} , it's not true in general that

$$K_0(B) \rightarrow K_0(C) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. This is the first obstruction to a localization sequence.

Question 1. Is there an easy counterexample? I think possibly a singular cubic will work.

Fix: Negative K -theory.

Theorem 3. *If $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ is an exact sequence in Cat_∞^{ex} , then*

$$\mathbb{K}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(C)$$

is a cofiber sequence.

Construction 1. Idea: Want to find a C' s.t. $K(C') \cong *$ and a map $C \rightarrow C'$. Expect exact sequence

$$K_0(C') \longrightarrow K_0(C'/C) \longrightarrow K_{-1}(C) \longrightarrow K_{-1}(C')$$

but since $K_*(C') = 0$, just define $K_{-1}(C) = K_0(C'/C)$. Should be functorial: $C' = \mathcal{F}(C)$. Let $\Sigma C = \text{cofib}(C \rightarrow F(C))$, then $K_{-n}(C) = K_0(\Sigma^{(n)}C)$.

Definition 4. Say C is *flasque* if there are exact functors $F_1, F_2 : C \rightarrow C$ and equivalence

$$id \oplus F_1 \cong F_2,$$

and $(F_1)_* = (F_2)_* : K_*(C) \rightarrow K_*(C)$.

Then $id + (F_1)_* : K_*(C) \rightarrow K_*(C) \implies K_*(C) = 0$.

Example 5. 1. $Ind_\kappa(C)$, $\kappa > \omega$.

2. $F = (x \mapsto \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} x)$. Then $id \oplus F \cong F$, the Eilenberg-swindle, so $Ind_\kappa(C)$ is κ -acyclic.

3. A ring R is flasque if there's an R -bimodule M which is f.g. projective as a right R -mod, and there's a bimodule isomorphism $R \oplus M \cong M$. Then $Mod_R, Proj_R$ are flasque.

4. S any ring, $C(R) \subseteq End_S(S^\infty)$ row-finite column-finite infinite matrices (the cone ring). This is flasque.

5. The suspension ring $\Sigma S = C(S)/M(S)$, where $M(S)$ denotes the ring of finite matrices. Then $K_{-n}(S) = K_0(\Sigma^{(n)} S)$.

Remark 6. This construction works just fine for connective ring spectrum.

Construction 2. Model for K -theory of connective rings:

Can define

$$\begin{array}{ccc} GL_n(R) & \longrightarrow & M_n(R) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ GL_n(\pi_0 R) & \longrightarrow & M_n(\pi_0 R) \end{array}$$

Fact: $K_0(R) \cong K_0(\pi_0 R)$. Here the K -theory of a ring spectrum is the K -theory of the category of compact projective R -mods. Define $K_*(R) := \pi_*[K_0(\pi_0 R) \times BGL(R)^+]$.

Have a map $K_*(R) \rightarrow K_*(\pi_0(R))$. Compare the “ring suspensions”:

have $\pi_0(\Sigma_{ring} R) = \Sigma_{ring} \pi_0 R$, so

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{-1}(R) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\Sigma_{ring} R) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_{-1}(\pi_0 R) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\pi_0 \Sigma_{ring} R) \end{array}$$

Upshot: $K_{-n}(R) = K_{-n}(\pi_0 R)$ for R connective.

Definition 7. $C \in Cat_{\infty}^{ex}$. Define $\mathcal{F}_k(C) = Ind_{\kappa}(C)$, $\Sigma_{\kappa} C = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}(C)/C$, $K_{-n}(C) = K_0(\Sigma_{\kappa}^{(n)} C)$, $\mathbb{K}(C) = \text{colim}_n \Omega^n K(\Sigma_{\kappa}^{(n)} C)$. This definition doesn’t make any multiplicative properties apparent.

What is known:

1. $K_{-n}(\text{noetherian regular ring/scheme}) = 0$
2. $K_{-1}(\text{henselian ring}) = 0$ (hard-Drinfeld)
3. Weibel’s conjecture: X : noetherian scheme of dimension $= d$. Then $K_{-n}(X)$ are zero if $n > d$. This is a theorem now ($d = 1$, Bass), ($d = 2$, Weibel), (X variety over field of char 0, Haesemeyer-Cortinas-Schlichting-Weibel), (X/F char(F) > 0 assuming res of singularities, Geisser-Hesselholt/Krishna), (whole thing, Kerz-Strunk-Tamme Nov ’16).
4. Schlichting’s conjecture: $K_{-n}(A) = 0$ if A (small) abelian. Still open in general. True if A is noetherian. Also true for $n = 1$ for any small abelian category.
5. If $E \in Cat_{\infty}^{ex}$ has a bounded t -structure, $K_{-1}(E) = 0$. If ... with E^{heart} noetherian, $K_{-n}(E) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Idea of $K_{-1}(A) = 0$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D^b(A) & \longrightarrow & D^-(A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D^+A & \longrightarrow & D(A) \end{array}$$

induces pushout square on K -theory. D^+A and D^-A are idempotent complete and K -theory acyclic. $K_*(A) = K_*(D^b(A))$, $K_0(D(A)) = K_{-1}(A)$.