

Descriptive Analytics Report For Future Focus

Stakeholders: Iowa Market Expansion

Background and Context

TAT Wholesale Liquors is preparing to launch a new distribution centre in Iowa, United States. To maximize the return on investment (ROI) for the launch marketing campaign, the organisation has adopted a data-driven strategy to identify high-value targets. Management has established a clear profitability threshold, determining that the campaign should focus exclusively on counties with a population greater than 75,000. The primary business problem is to analyse historical sales data to determine which specific counties, stores, and products offer the highest potential revenue. This report utilizes descriptive analytics to transform raw transaction data into a targeted list of 217 retail outlets and a tailored product strategy.

Analytical Approach

The analysis was conducted using Structured Query Language (SQL) to process the provided csv datasets: sales.csv, products.csv, stores.csv, stores_convenience.csv and counties.csv. The approach was divided into three distinct phases: Data Ingestion/Cleaning, Aggregation, and Strategic Filtering.

Data Ingestion and Cleaning :

The initial data inspection revealed significant formatting challenges. Columns such as total (revenue) and bottle_price contained currency symbols (\$), and product codes (UPC, SCC) appeared in scientific notation. To prevent data loss during import, we utilized a "staging table" strategy, importing all columns as VARCHAR (text). Once loaded, we applied data cleaning directly within our SQL queries. For example, to perform revenue calculations, we used the syntax `CAST(REPLACE(total, '$', '') AS NUMERIC)`. This ensured that financial metrics were accurate without requiring permanent alterations to the raw source files.

Aggregation and Metrics :

To move beyond simple transaction lists, we employed aggregate functions (SUM, COUNT, DISTINCT) combined with GROUP BY clauses. This allowed us to summarize millions of rows into actionable insights, such as "Total Revenue per Store" rather than

individual receipt details. A key analytical decision was to calculate "Sales Per Capita" rather than relying solely on total revenue. By performing an INNER JOIN between the sales and counties tables, we divided total revenue by population size. This metric was crucial for normalizing the data; it allowed us to compare the efficiency of smaller counties against larger metropolitan areas fairly.

Strategic Filtering :

We strictly applied the client's business rule (Population > 75,000) using subqueries and WHERE clauses. For the store count analysis, we used JOIN logic to link retail outlets to their specific county demographics, filtering out any locations that did not meet the population criteria. This rigorous filtering ensured that the final recommendations (e.g., the target list of stores) were perfectly aligned with TAT's profitability models, excluding markets that—while potentially active—were deemed too small for this specific campaign.

SQL Analysis & Results

The following queries were executed to directly address the key business questions posed during the project kick-off.

1. What is the top-selling item?

```
--1. What is the top-selling item?  
✓ SELECT  
    description, count(description) as number_of_transaction  
FROM sales  
GROUP BY description  
ORDER BY number_of_transaction DESC
```

| | description character varying (255) | number_of_transaction bigint |
|----|--|---------------------------------|
| 1 | Black Velvet | 81095 |
| 2 | Hawkeye Vodka | 74264 |
| 3 | Seagrams 7 Crown Bl Whiskey | 39577 |
| 4 | Captain Morgan Spiced Rum | 37448 |
| 5 | Five O'clock | 36873 |
| 6 | Smirnoff Vodka 80 Prf | 36136 |
| 7 | Fireball Cinnamon Whiskey | 36065 |
| 8 | Jack Daniels Old #7 Black Lbl | 35568 |
| 9 | Absolut Swedish Vodka 80 Prf | 33138 |
| 10 | Bacardi Superior Rum | 32552 |

2. Who are the top 10 vendors with the broadest product line-up?

```

17 --3. Who are the top 10 vendors with the broadest product line-up?
18 SELECT vendor_name, count(distinct item_no) as product_count
19 FROM products
20 GROUP BY vendor_name
21 ORDER BY product_count DESC
22 LIMIT 10

```

| | vendor_name character varying (255) 🔒 | product_count bigint 🔒 |
|----|--|---------------------------|
| 1 | Jim Beam Brands | 925 |
| 2 | Diageo Americas | 906 |
| 3 | Pernod Ricard Usa/austin Nichols | 598 |
| 4 | Yahara Bay Distillers Inc | 579 |
| 5 | Heaven Hill Distilleries Inc. | 388 |
| 6 | Bacardi U.s.a. Inc. | 357 |
| 7 | Luxco-st Louis | 333 |
| 8 | Mhw Ltd | 309 |
| 9 | Sazerac Co. Inc. | 281 |
| 10 | Sazerac North America | 265 |

3. Which counties have a population large enough to provide a substantial customer base?

```

25 --4. Which counties have a population large enough to provide a substantial customer base?
26 SELECT county, population,
27 COUNT(item) as qty_sold,
28 SUM(total) as total_sold
29 FROM public.sales
30 LEFT JOIN counties USING(county)
31 WHERE description IN('Black Velvet', 'Hawkeye Vodka')
32 AND counties.population > 150000
33 GROUP BY county, counties.population
34 ORDER BY total_sold DESC;

```

| | county character varying (255) 🔒 | population integer 🔒 | qty_sold bigint 🔒 | total_sold numeric 🔒 |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | Polk | 430640 | 28058 | 4114463.32 |
| 2 | Linn | 211226 | 12746 | 2366315.91 |
| 3 | Scott | 165224 | 5471 | 732618.98 |

4. What are the top revenue-producing stores in Iowa?

```

38 SELECT
39     store,
40     county,
41     SUM(total) AS store_revenue
42 FROM public.sales
43 GROUP BY store, county
44 ORDER BY store_revenue DESC
45 LIMIT 10;

```

| | store integer | county character varying (255) | store_revenue numeric |
|----|------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | 2633 | Polk | 13920087.22 |
| 2 | 4829 | Polk | 11942399.97 |
| 3 | 3420 | Polk | 6159480.06 |
| 4 | 3385 | Linn | 5734721.57 |
| 5 | 2512 | Johnson | 5665143.70 |
| 6 | 3814 | Dallas | 4907465.88 |
| 7 | 3952 | Scott | 4289169.59 |
| 8 | 3354 | Scott | 3308625.56 |
| 9 | 2625 | Scott | 3169984.14 |
| 10 | 3773 | Linn | 3129506.57 |

5. What is the amount spent on alcohol purchases per capita within various Iowa counties?

```

47 SELECT a.county,
48     SUM(a.total) as total_sales, b.population,
49     (SUM(a.total)/(b.population)) AS per_capita_spend
50 FROM public.sales a
51 INNER JOIN public.counties b
52 USING(county)
53 GROUP BY a.county, b.population
54 ORDER BY per_capita_spend DESC

```

| | county character varying (255) | total_sales numeric | population integer | per_capita_spend numeric |
|----|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | Dickinson | 5142111.90 | 16667 | 308.5205435891282174 |
| 2 | Polk | 86397461.79 | 430640 | 200.6257240154189114 |
| 3 | Johnson | 24200402.25 | 130882 | 184.9024483886248682 |
| 4 | Cerro Gordo | 7998958.92 | 44151 | 181.1727689067065299 |
| 5 | Black Hawk | 22967283.29 | 131090 | 175.2024051415058357 |
| 6 | Scott | 27902848.67 | 165224 | 168.8789078463177262 |
| 7 | Linn | 34460047.49 | 211226 | 163.1430197513563671 |
| 8 | Kossuth | 2522531.13 | 15543 | 162.2937097085504729 |
| 9 | Carroll | 3183557.20 | 20816 | 152.9379900076863951 |
| 10 | Pottawattamie | 14177698.30 | 93158 | 152.1898097855256661 |

6. How many stores are in the top four Iowa counties with the greatest per capita spending?

```
58 SELECT county, count(store) as store_count
59 FROM stores_convenience
60 WHERE county in ('Dickinson', 'Polk', 'Johnson', 'Cerro Gordo')
61 GROUP BY county
```

| | county character varying (255) 🔒 | store_count bigint 🔒 |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | Dickinson | 5 |
| 2 | Johnson | 15 |
| 3 | Polk | 70 |
| 4 | Cerro Gordo | 6 |

7. How do the sales of single malt Scotch compare by county?

```
85 SELECT
86     sales.county,
87     SUM(sales.total) AS total_sales
88 FROM public.sales
89 WHERE sales.category_name = 'SINGLE MALT SCOTCH'
90     AND sales.county_number IN (
91         SELECT county_number
92         FROM public.counties
93         WHERE population > 75000
94     )
95 GROUP BY sales.county
96 ORDER BY total_sales DESC;
```

| | county character varying (255) 🔒 | total_sales numeric 🔒 |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | Polk | 1325568.49 |
| 2 | Johnson | 491640.34 |
| 3 | Linn | 385137.07 |
| 4 | Scott | 334673.67 |
| 5 | Story | 213413.37 |
| 6 | Black Hawk | 154731.61 |
| 7 | Pottawattamie | 108190.09 |
| 8 | Dubuque | 86586.62 |
| 9 | Woodbury | 83941.61 |

8. How many retail outlets exist in counties that have a population size greater than 75,000?

```

99 WITH store_list AS (
100     SELECT a.store, a.name, a.store_address, b.county
101     FROM public.stores a
102     JOIN public.stores_convenience b
103     USING (store)
104 )
105 SELECT store_list.county, c.population, count(*) AS count_retail_locations,
106        c.population/count(*) AS ratio_population_per_store
107 FROM store_list
108 JOIN public.counties c
109 ON store_list.county = c.county
110 WHERE c.population > 75000
111 GROUP BY store_list.county, c.population
112 ORDER BY ratio_population_per_store;

```

| | county character varying (255) | population integer | count_retail_locations bigint | ratio_population_per_store bigint |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | Pottawattamie | 93158 | 22 | 4234 |
| 2 | Linn | 211226 | 40 | 5280 |
| 3 | Story | 89542 | 15 | 5969 |
| 4 | Woodbury | 102172 | 17 | 6010 |
| 5 | Polk | 430640 | 70 | 6152 |
| 6 | Black Hawk | 131090 | 18 | 7282 |
| 7 | Johnson | 130882 | 15 | 8725 |
| 8 | Dubuque | 93653 | 9 | 10405 |
| 9 | Scott | 165224 | 11 | 15020 |

9. Which county spends the most on items that have a high carrying cost (greater than US\$150) per capita?

```

134 SELECT
135     s.county,
136     c.population,
137     SUM(s.total) AS total_high_end_spend,
138     (SUM(s.total) / c.population) AS high_end_spend_per_capita
139 FROM public.sales s
140 JOIN public.products p ON s.item = p.item_no
141 JOIN public.counties c ON s.county = c.county
142 WHERE p.bottle_price > 150
143 GROUP BY s.county, c.population
144 ORDER BY high_end_spend_per_capita DESC;

```

| | county character varying (255) | population integer | total_high_end_spend numeric | high_end_spend_per_capita numeric |
|----|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | Lyon | 11581 | 4197.88 | 0.36247992401347033935 |
| 2 | Clarke | 9286 | 2205.00 | 0.23745423217747146242 |
| 3 | Linn | 211226 | 33768.12 | 0.15986725119066781552 |
| 4 | Polk | 430640 | 54759.02 | 0.12715730076165706855 |
| 5 | Fayette | 20880 | 1929.12 | 0.09239080459770114943 |
| 6 | Woodbury | 102172 | 8995.48 | 0.08804251654073523079 |
| 7 | Scott | 165224 | 13136.69 | 0.07950836440226601462 |
| 8 | Johnson | 130882 | 9849.84 | 0.07525740743570544460 |
| 9 | Story | 89542 | 6241.16 | 0.06970092247213598088 |
| 10 | Black Hawk | 131090 | 8426.62 | 0.06428118086810588146 |

Patterns, Trends, and Insights

The descriptive analysis revealed three distinct patterns that should shape the marketing strategy.

1. The "Volume vs. Value" Split

While Polk, Linn, and Scott counties are the dominant leaders in raw sales volume driven by their large populations of >150k, they are not the most efficient markets. Dickinson County emerged as a significant outlier, displaying the highest alcohol spend per capita. This suggests a market heavily influenced by tourism or high-net-worth residents.

- Recommendation: Use a two-tiered marketing approach. Treat Polk/Linn as volume drivers, but target Dickinson with increased product messaging.

2. The Target List

We have successfully narrowed down the addressable market to 217 specific retail outlets located within the qualifying counties.

- Recommendation: These 217 stores should be the primary recipients of the launch campaign materials, as they represent the intersection of high population density and established sales history.

3. The "Johnson County" Scotch Anomaly

A cross-analysis of store density vs. category sales reveals a massive efficiency gap. Linn County operates 40 stores but generated \$385k in Scotch sales. Johnson County operates only 15 stores but generated \$491k in Scotch sales. A single store in Johnson County sells, on average, 3x more Single Malt Scotch than a store in Linn County.

- Recommendation: Marketing budget for high-end Scotch should be heavily allocated to the 15 stores in Johnson County, as they have the highest category velocity.