# Natural Language Processing Sequence Labeling and Hidden Markov Models

Felipe Bravo-Marquez

June 26, 2023

#### Overview

- The Sequence Labeling (or Tagging) Problem
- Generative models, and the noisy-channel model, for supervised learning
- Hidden Markov Model (HMM) taggers
  - Basic definitions
  - Parameter estimation
  - The Viterbi algorithm

This slides are based on the course material by Michael Collins: http://www.cs.columbia.edu/~mcollins/cs4705-spring2019/slides/tagging.pdf

## Sequence Labeling or Tagging Tasks

- Sequence Labeling or Tagging is a task in NLP different from document classification.
- Here the goal is to map a sentence represented as a sequence of tokens  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  into a sequence of tags or labels  $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n$ .
- Well known examples of this task are Part-of-Speech (POS) tagging and Named Entity Recognition (NER) to be presented next.

### Part-of-Speech Tagging

**INPUT:** Profits soared at Boeing Co., easily topping forecasts on Wall Street, as their CEO Alan Mulally announced first quarter results.

OUTPUT: Profits/N soared/V at/P Boeing/N Co./N ,/, easily/ADV topping/V forecasts/N on/P Wall/N Street/N ,/, as/P their/POSS CEO/N Alan/N Mulally/N announced/V first/ADJ guarter/N results/N ./.

- N = Noun
- V = Verb
- P = Preposition
- Adv = Adverb
- Adj = Adjective
- •

## Part-of-Speech Tag Descriptions

	Tag	Description	Example
Open Class	ADJ	Adjective: noun modifiers describing properties	red, young, awesome
	ADV	Adverb: verb modifiers of time, place, manner	very, slowly, home, yesterday
	NOUN	words for persons, places, things, etc.	algorithm, cat, mango, beauty
	VERB	words for actions and processes	draw, provide, go
O	PROPN	Proper noun: name of a person, organization, place, etc	Regina, IBM, Colorado
	INTJ	Interjection: exclamation, greeting, yes/no response, etc.	oh, um, yes, hello
	ADP	Adposition (Preposition/Postposition): marks a noun's	in, on, by, under
S.		spacial, temporal, or other relation	
ord	AUX	Auxiliary: helping verb marking tense, aspect, mood, etc.,	can, may, should, are
1	CCONJ	Coordinating Conjunction: joins two phrases/clauses	and, or, but
ass	DET	Determiner: marks noun phrase properties	a, an, the, this
D D	NUM	Numeral	one, two, first, second
Closed Class Words	PART	Particle: a function word that must be associated with another word	's, not, (infinitive) to
	PRON	Pronoun: a shorthand for referring to an entity or event	she, who, I, others
	SCONJ	Subordinating Conjunction: joins a main clause with a	that, which
		subordinate clause such as a sentential complement	
22	PUNCT	Punctuation	;,()
Other	SYM	Symbols like \$ or emoji	\$, %
	X	Other	asdf, qwfg

Source: [Jurafsky and Martin, 2008]

### Named Entity Recognition (NER)

A **named entity** is, roughly speaking, anything that can be referred to with a named entity proper name: a person, a location, an organization.

INPUT: Profits soared at Boeing Co., easily topping forecasts on Wall Street, as their CEO Alan Mulally announced first quarter results.

**OUTPUT:** Profits soared at [Company Boeing Co.], easily topping forecasts on [Location Wall Street], as their CEO [Person Alan Mulally] announced first quarter results.

- Since entities can span multiple words (i.e., a span recognition problem), we can
  use BIO tagging [Ramshaw and Marcus, 1999] to turn the problem into a
  sequence labeling problem.
- BIO tagging: use tags that capture both the boundary and the named entity type.

### BIO tagging: NER as Sequence Labeling

**INPUT:** Profits soared at Boeing Co., easily topping forecasts on Wall Street, as their CEO Alan Mulally announced first quarter results.

OUTPUT: Profits/O soared/O at/O Boeing/B-C Co./I-C ,/O easily/O topping/O forecasts/O on/O Wall/B-L Street/I-L ,/O as/O their/O CEO/O Alan/B-P Mulally/I-P announced/O first/O quarter/O results/O ./O

- O = Outside (no entity)
- B-C = Begin Company
- I-C = Inside Company
- B-L = Begin Location
- I-L = Inside Location
- B-P = Begin Person
- I-P = Inside Person

#### Our Goal

#### Training set:

- Pierre/NNP Vinken/NNP ,/, 61/CD years/NNS old/JJ ,/, will/MD join/VB the/DT board/NN as/IN a/DT nonexecutive/JJ director/NN Nov./NNP 29/CD ./.
- Mr./NNP Vinken/NNP is/VBZ chairman/NN of/IN Elsevier/NNP N.V./NNP ,/, the/DT Dutch/NNP publishing/VBG group/NN ./.
- Rudolph/NNP Agnew/NNP ,/, 55/CD years/NNS old/JJ and/CC chairman/NN of/IN Consolidated/NNP Gold/NNP Fields/NNP PLC/NNP ,/, was/VBD named/VBN a/DT nonexecutive/JJ director/NN of/IN this/DT British/JJ industrial/JJ conglomerate/NN ./.
- 4. ...

**Our Goal:** From the training set, induce a function/algorithm that maps new sentences to their tag sequences.

# Two Types of Constraints

Influential/JJ members/NNS of/IN the/DT House/NNP Ways/NNP and/CC Means/NNP Committee/NNP introduced/VBD legislation/NN that/WDT would/MD restrict/VB how/WRB the/DT new/JJ savings-and-loan/NN bailout/NN agency/NN can/MD raise/VB capital/NN ./.

#### "Local":

 e.g., "can" is more likely to be a modal verb MD rather than a noun NN

#### "Contextual":

 e.g., a noun is much more likely than a verb to follow a determiner

#### Sometimes these preferences are in conflict:

• The trash can is in the garage

# Sequence Labeling as Supervised Learning

- We have a sequence of inputs  $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$  and corresponding labels  $y = (y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)$ .
- Task is to learn a function f that maps input sequences to label sequences:  $f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = y_1, y_2, ..., y_n$ .
- We have a training set of labeled sequences:  $\{(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), (x^{(2)}, y^{(2)}), \dots, (x^{(m)}, y^{(m)})\}.$

### Generative Approach for Sequence Labeling

- Generative models such as Naive Bayes was used for classification can also be used for sequence labeling tasks in NLP.
- Approach:
  - Training: Learn the joint distribution  $p(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)$  of input sequences.
  - Decoding: Use the learned distribution to predict label sequences for new input sequences.
- Decoding in sequence labeling involves finding the label sequence with the highest joint probability: arg maxy1,y2,...,yn p(x1, x2,...,xn, y1, y2,...,yn).

#### **Hidden Markov Models**

- Hidden Markov Models (HMMs) provide a principled way to handle sequence labeling problems using generative modeling and efficient decoding algorithms.
- We have an input sentence x = x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>,..., x<sub>n</sub> (x<sub>i</sub> is the i-th word in the sentence).
- We have a tag sequence  $y = y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  ( $y_i$  is the i-th tag in the sentence).
- We'll use an HMM to define  $p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  for any sentence  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and tag sequence  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  of the same length. [Kupiec, 1992]
- Then, the most likely tag sequence for x is:

$$\arg\max_{y_1,\ldots,y_n}p(x_1,\ldots,x_n,y_1,\ldots,y_n)$$

# Trigram Hidden Markov Models (Trigram HMMs)

For any sentence  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  where  $x_i \in V$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , and any tag sequence  $y_1, \ldots, y_{n+1}$  where  $y_i \in S$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , and  $y_{n+1} = STOP$ , the joint probability of the sentence and tag sequence is:

$$p(x_1,\ldots,x_n,y_1,\ldots,y_{n+1})=\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}q(y_i|y_{i-2},y_{i-1})\prod_{i=1}^ne(x_i|y_i)$$

where we have assumed that  $x_0 = x_{-1} = *$ .

#### Parameters of the Model

- q(s|u,v) for any  $s \in S \cup \{STOP\}$ ,  $u,v \in S \cup \{*\}$ 
  - The value for q(s|u,v) can be interpreted as the probability of seeing the tag s immediately after the bigram of tags (u,v).
- e(x|s) for any  $s \in S$ ,  $x \in V$ 
  - The value for e(x|s) can be interpreted as the probability of seeing observation x paired with state s.

### An Example

If we have n = 3,  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$  equal to the sentence "the dog laughs", and  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$ ,  $y_3$ ,  $y_4$  equal to the tag sequence "D N V STOP", then:

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_1,\ldots,x_n,y_1,\ldots,y_{n+1}) = & q(D|*,*) \times q(N|*,D) \\ & \times q(V|D,N) \times q(\mathsf{STOP}|N,V) \\ & \times e(\mathsf{the}|D) \times e(\mathsf{dog}|N) \times e(\mathsf{laughs}|V) \end{aligned}$$

- STOP is a special tag that terminates the sequence.
- We take  $y_0 = y_{-1} = *$ , where \* is a special "padding" symbol.

### Independence Assumptions in Trigram HMMs

- Trigram Hidden Markov Models (HMMs) are derived by making specific independence assumptions in the model.
- Consider two sequences of random variables:  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  and  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ , where n is the length of the sequences.
- Each  $X_i$  can take any value in a finite set V of words, and each  $Y_i$  can take any value in a finite set K of possible tags (e.g.,  $K = \{D, N, V \dots \}$ ).
- Our goal is to model the joint probability:

$$P(x_{1}, x_{2},..., x_{n}, y_{1},..., y_{n})$$

$$= p(y_{1}) \times p(y_{2}|y_{1})$$

$$\times ...$$

$$\times p(y_{n}|y_{n-1}, y_{n-2},..., y_{1})$$

$$\times p(x_{1}|y_{n}, y_{n-1},..., y_{1})$$

$$\times p(x_{2}|x_{1}, y_{n}, y_{n-1},..., y_{1})$$

$$\times p(x_{n}|x_{n-1},..., x_{1}, y_{n}, y_{n-1},..., y_{1})$$

• We define an additional random variable  $Y_{n+1}$  that always takes the value "STOP."

## Independence Assumptions in Trigram HMMs

The key idea in HMMs is the factorization of the joint probability:

$$P(X_1 = x_1, ..., X_n = x_n, Y_1 = y_1, ..., Y_{n+1} = y_{n+1})$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} P(Y_i = y_i | Y_{i-2} = y_{i-2}, Y_{i-1} = y_{i-1}) \times \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(X_i = x_i | Y_i = y_i)$$

We first assume that:

$$P(Y_i = y_i | Y_{i-2} = y_{i-2}, Y_{i-1} = y_{i-1}) = q(y_i | y_{i-2}, y_{i-1})$$

- This assumes that the sequence Y<sub>1</sub>,..., Y<sub>n+1</sub> is a second-order Markov sequence, where each state depends only on the previous two states.
- And we also assume that:

$$P(X_i = x_i | Y_i = y_i) = e(x_i | y_i)$$

- This assumes that the value of the random variable X<sub>i</sub> depends only on the value of Y<sub>i</sub>.
- These independence assumptions allow for the derivation of the joint probability equation.

## Why the Name?

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) = q(STOP|y_{n-1}, y_n)$$

$$\times \prod_{j=1}^n q(y_j|y_{j-2}, y_{j-1})$$

$$\times \prod_{j=1}^n e(x_j|y_j)$$

Markov Chain component:

$$q(STOP|y_{n-1}, y_n) \times \prod_{i=1}^{n} q(y_i|y_{j-2}, y_{j-1})$$

These transitions are not directly observed for a given sequence of words  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ , hence the name "hidden".

Observed component:

$$\prod_{j=1}^n e(x_j|y_j)$$

The observed component of HMMs models the emission probabilities of observed symbols (x's) conditioned on the corresponding hidden states (y's).

#### **Smoothed Estimation**

$$\begin{split} q(\mathit{Vt}|\mathit{DT},\mathit{JJ}) = & \lambda_1 \times \frac{\mathsf{Count}(\mathit{Dt},\mathit{JJ},\mathit{Vt})}{\mathsf{Count}(\mathit{Dt},\mathit{JJ})} \\ & + \lambda_2 \times \frac{\mathsf{Count}(\mathit{JJ},\mathit{Vt})}{\mathsf{Count}(\mathit{JJ})} \\ & + \lambda_3 \times \frac{\mathsf{Count}(\mathit{Vt})}{\mathsf{Count}()} \end{split}$$
 where  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 1$ , and for all  $i, \lambda_i \geq 0$ .

$$e(\mathsf{base}|Vt) = \frac{\mathsf{Count}(Vt,\mathsf{base})}{\mathsf{Count}(Vt)}$$

## Dealing with Low-Frequency Words

#### A common method is as follows:

- Step 1: Split vocabulary into two sets
  - Frequent words = words occurring ≥ 5 times in training
  - Low frequency words = all other words
- Step 2: Map low frequency words into a small, finite set, depending on prefixes, suffixes, etc.

# Dealing with Low-Frequency Words: An Example

Below is an example of word classes for named entity recognition [Bikel et al., 1999]:

Word class	Example	Intuition
twoDigitNum	90	Two-digit year
fourDigitNum	1990	Four-digit year
containsDigitAndAlpha	<i>A</i> 8956 – 67	Product code
containsDigitAndDash	09 — 96	Date
containsDigitAndSlash	11/9/89	Date
containsDigitAndComma	23,000.00	Monetary amount
containsDigitAndPeriod	1.00	Monetary amount, percentage
othernum	456789	Other number
allCaps	BBN	Organization
capPeriod	<i>M</i> .	Person name initial
firstWord	First word of sentence	No useful capitalization information
initCap	Sally	Capitalized word
lowercase	can	Uncapitalized word
other	,	Punctuation marks, all other words

## Dealing with Low-Frequency Words: An Example

Original Sentence: Profits/O soared/O at/O Boeing/B-C Co./I-C ,/O easily/O topping/O forecasts/O on/O Wall/B-L Street/I-L ,/O as/O their/O CEO/O Alan/B-P Mulally/I-P announced/O first/O quarter/O results/O ./O

#### **Transformed Sentence:**

firstword/O soared/O at/O initCap/B-C Co./I-C ,/O easily/O lowercase/O forecasts/O on/O initCap/B-L Street/I-L ,/O as/O their/O CEO/O Alan/B-P initCap/I-P announced/O first/O quarter/O results/O ./O

- O = Outside (no entity)
- B-C = Begin Company
- I-C = Inside Company
- B-L = Begin Location
- I-L = Inside Location
- B-P = Begin Person
- I-P = Inside Person

## **Decoding Problem**

Decoding Problem: For an input  $x_1 \dots x_n$ , find

$$\arg\max_{y_1\dots y_{n+1}} p(x_1\dots x_n,y_1\dots y_{n+1})$$

where the arg max is taken over all sequences  $y_1 ldots y_{n+1}$  such that  $y_i \in S$  for i = 1 ldots n, and  $y_{n+1} = STOP$ . We assume that p takes the form:

$$p(x_1 \ldots x_n, y_1 \ldots y_{n+1}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} q(y_i|y_{i-2}, y_{i-1}) \prod_{i=1}^n e(x_i|y_i)$$

Recall that we have assumed in this definition that  $y_0 = y_{-1} = *$ , and  $y_{n+1} = STOP$ .

#### Naive Brute Force Method

The naive, brute force method for finding the highest scoring tag sequence is to enumerate all possible tag sequences  $y_1, \ldots, y_{n+1}$ , score them under the function p, and select the sequence with the highest score.

- Example:
  - Input sentence: the dog barks
  - Set of possible tags:  $K = \{D, N, V\}$
- Enumerate all possible tag sequences:
  - D D D STOP
  - D D N STOP
  - D D V STOP
  - D N D STOP
  - D N N STOP
  - D N V STOP
  - ..

#### Naive Brute Force Method

- In this case, there are  $3^3 = 27$  possible sequences.
- However, for longer sentences, this method becomes inefficient.
- For an input sentence of length n, there are  $|K|^n$  possible tag sequences.
- The exponential growth makes brute-force search infeasible for reasonable length sentences.

### Viterbi Decoding Dynamic Programming

- The algorithm used by HMMs to perform efficient decoding is called Viterbi decoding.
- · Viterbi decoding uses dynamic programming.
- Dynamic programming is a technique for solving optimization problems by breaking them down into overlapping subproblems.
- It stores the solutions to these subproblems in a table so that they do not have to be recalculated.
- Dynamic programming can greatly improve the efficiency of algorithms.
- Next, we show how dynamic programming works with two examples: Factorial and Fibonacci

#### **Factorial**

Recursive implementation:

```
def recur_factorial(n):
      # Base case
       if n == 1:
           return n
      else:
           return n * recur_factorial(n-1)

    Dynamic programming implementation:

  def dynamic_factorial(n):
       table = [0 \text{ for } i \text{ in } range(0, n+1)]
      # Base case
       table[0] = 1
      for i in range(1, len(table)):
           table[i] = i * table[i-1]
       return table[n]
```

#### **Fibonacci**

Recursive implementation:

```
def recur_fibonacci(n):
       if n == 1 or n == 0:
           return 1
      else:
           return recur_fibonacci(n-1) + recur_fibonacci(n-2)

    Dynamic programming implementation:

  def dynamic_fibonacci(n):
       table = [0 \text{ for } i \text{ in range}(0, n+1)]
      # Base case
       table[0] = 1
       table[1] = 1
       for i in range(2, len(table)):
           table[i] = table[i-1] + table[i-2]
       return table[n]
```

## Complexity

- In recursive implementations, the complexity can be quite high due to repeated calculations of the same subproblems.
- However, dynamic programming can significantly reduce the complexity by storing the solutions to subproblems in a table or array and reusing them when needed.
- This approach eliminates the redundant calculations and allows for a more efficient computation.
- For the case of Fibonacci the complexity is reduced from exponential to linear.

### The Viterbi Algorithm

The Viterbi algorithm efficiently computes the maximum probability of a tag sequence by using dynamic programming.

#### **Definitions:**

- Define n as the length of the sentence.
- Define  $S_k$  for  $k = -1 \dots n$  as the set of possible tags at position k:  $S_{-1} = S_0 = \{*\}, S_k = S \text{ for } k \in \{1 \dots n\}.$
- Define a truncated version of the probability encoded by the HMM until position k, r(y<sub>-1</sub>, y<sub>0</sub>, y<sub>1</sub>,..., y<sub>k</sub>) as:

$$r(y_{-1}, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_k) = \prod_{i=1}^k q(y_i|y_{i-2}, y_{i-1})$$

 Define a dynamic programming table π(k, u, v) as the maximum probability of a tag sequence ending in tags u, v at position k:

$$\pi(k, u, v) = \max_{y_{-1}, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_k : y_{k-1} = u, y_k = v} r(y_{-1}, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_k)$$

### An Example

Recall that  $\pi(k,u,v)$  is maximum probability of a tag sequence ending in tags u,v at position k

There are many possible sequences of tags.

 $S = \{D, N, P, V\}$ 

- ullet Each of them has a probability calculated from the parameters q and e.
- π(7, P, D) is the maximum probability that one of these tag sequences ends in P
  D at position 7.
- The path represents the sequence with the maximum probability.

#### A Recursive Definition

#### Base case:

$$\pi(0,*,*)=1$$

**Recursive definition:** For any  $k \in \{1 ... n\}$ , for any  $u \in S_{k-1}$  and  $v \in S_k$ :

$$\pi(k, u, v) = \max_{w \in S_{k-2}} (\pi(k-1, w, u) \times q(v|w, u) \times e(x_k|v))$$

#### Justification for the Recursive Definition

For any  $k \in \{1 \dots n\}$ , for any  $u \in S_{k-1}$  and  $v \in S_k$ :

$$\pi(k, u, v) = \max_{w \in S_{k-2}} (\pi(k-1, w, u) \times q(v|w, u) \times e(x_k|v))$$

The man saw the dog with the telescope 
$$1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 7 \quad 8$$
 
$$\mathcal{S}_5 = \mathcal{S} = \{D, N, V, P\}$$
 
$$\Pi(7, P, D) = \max_{w \in \mathcal{S}} \left(\Pi(6, w, P) \times q(D|w, P) \times e(\text{the}|D)\right)$$

- Let's consider an arbitrary tag sequence that ends with tags P and D at position 7.
- It must contain some tag at position 5.
- We are basically searching for the tag that maximizes the probability at position
   5.

## The Viterbi Algorithm

#### Algorithm 1: Viterbi Algorithm

**Input:** a sentence  $x_1 ldots x_n$ , parameters q(s|u,v) and e(x|s) **Initialization:** Set  $\pi(0,*,*)=1$ ;  $S_{-1}=S_0=\{*\}$ ,  $S_k=S$  for  $k\in\{1\ldots n\}$ .

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \text{for } k=1 \text{ to } n \text{ do} \\ & \text{for } u \in S_{k-1}, v \in S_k \text{ do} \\ & & \\ & \pi(k,u,v) = \max_{w \in S_{k-2}} (\pi(k-1,w,u) \times q(v|w,u) \times e(x_k|v)) \\ & \text{end} \\ & \text{end} \end{array}$$

 $\texttt{return}\,(\mathsf{max}_{u \in \mathcal{S}_{n-1}, v \in \mathcal{S}_n}(\pi(n, u, v) \times q(STOP|u, v)))$ 

# The Viterbi Algorithm with Backpointers

#### **Algorithm 2:** Viterbi Algorithm with Backpointers

**Input:** a sentence  $x_1 \dots x_n$ , parameters q(s|u,v) and e(x|s)

```
Initialization: Set \pi(0,*,*) = 1; S_{-1} = S_0 = \{*\}, S_k = S for k \in \{1 \dots n\}.
for k = 1 to n do
     for u \in S_{k-1}, v \in S_k do
                  \pi(k, u, v) = \max_{w \in S_{k-2}} (\pi(k-1, w, u) \times q(v|w, u) \times e(x_k|v))
               bp(k, u, v) = \arg\max_{w \in S_{k-2}} (\pi(k-1, w, u) \times q(v|w, u) \times e(x_k|v))
     end
end
(y_{n-1}, y_n) = \arg\max_{(u,v)} (\pi(n, u, v) \times q(\mathsf{STOP}|u, v));
                                                            // Find maximum
 probability and corresponding tags
for k = (n-2) to 1 do
    y_k = bp(k+2, y_{k+1}, y_{k+2});
                                          // Retrieve tag seguence using
     backpointers
end
return (the tag sequence y_1 \dots y_n); // Return the final tag sequence
```

### The Viterbi Algorithm: Running Time

- $O(n|S|^3)$  time to calculate  $q(s|u,v) \times e(x_k|s)$  for all k, s, u, v.
- $n|S|^2$  entries in  $\pi$  to be filled in.
- O(|S|) time to fill in one entry.
- $\Rightarrow O(n|S|^3)$  time in total.

#### **Pros and Cons**

- Hidden Markov Model (HMM) taggers are simple to train (compile counts from training corpus).
- They perform relatively well (over 90% performance on named entity recognition).
- Main difficulty is modeling e(word|tag), which can be very complex if "words" are complex.

Questions?

Thanks for your Attention!

#### References I



Bikel, D. M., Schwartz, R. M., and Weischedel, R. M. (1999). An algorithm that learns what's in a name. *Mach. Learn.*, 34(1-3):211–231.



Jurafsky, D. and Martin, J. H. (2008). Speech and Language Processing: An Introduction to Natural Language Processing, Computational Linguistics, and Speech Recognition. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 2nd edition.



Kupiec, J. (1992). Robust part-of-speech tagging using a hidden markov model. Computer speech & language, 6(3):225–242.



Ramshaw, L. A. and Marcus, M. P. (1999). *Text Chunking Using Transformation-Based Learning*, pages 157–176. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.