& S. HAE ; · Hordy-weathery equilibrium: pa: allele frequency of A Noger HME, PAN = SPA(1-DA) Pan = (1-pA)2 I.e. Contypes are Bhantz, pA) Recall Bruntal conditions O Success and tallax occords @ See ~ (here == 2) Ourones (3) outcomes are Adependent ("Random matory") (1) Inthite population site Departures from HWE. (on be because of O No roman moting (eg. pop structure, in breeding) @ Gentyphy errors (3) Small population sizes Devoutions from HWE PAA = PA + PA (1-PA) + F=O =7 HVE PAU = 2PA(1-PA)(1-+) Pac = (-pA) + pA(1-pA) + of = "horeeding frequency" = FIS (reasone at relatives while)

A "fixation index" = FST (reasone at puplate difference of puplate 2 different models

X; = SI of allele is A No. (x) = DA (1-DA). (or (x),x;) = E[x; x;] - E[x;] E[x] = PAA - PA = f, pa(1-pa) = vo. (x) => += (o, (xi, xi) · Disequil obsoin coethernt: D= PAA - PA (difference blt gentype trey, and what is expected at HWF PART PA+ DA PAQ = 2 PA(+PA) - 2 DA Pan = (1-PA)2 + DA · Nute: max {-pin, -(1-pa)2} = pa = pa (1-pa) 0 & PAA & PA Strip max accurs at . PAE => -PA = DA = PA -PA

Do some than for Pary

Recall AA Au ade n= nAB + nAut nau NAO PAT ZNAAT NAW PAR - MAA DA = PAA - PA I can get mean and various of the sumpling doit at PA · By properties of MLE $\hat{\rho}_{H} \sim N(E(\hat{\rho}_{A}), \nu_{\nu}, (\hat{\rho}_{A}))$ ~ U wrote. Ho: DA = U $\frac{\hat{D}_{A}}{\sqrt{V_{U}(\hat{D}_{A})}} \approx N(U_{1})$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{V_{U}(\hat{D}_{A})}} \approx N(U_{1})$ unde Null: E (ÔA) ~ 0

Approach 2: X2 - godress - of - for

Observed NAW NAW NAW NAW Observed - expected NOW - 2 n OA NOA NOA

Xy = E (append - Exterpo), ~ X;

2 paragers under All 2 1 parager under miles 2-1

 $= \frac{\nu \delta y}{\left(\nu \delta y\right)_{s}} + \frac{s \nu \delta v \left(1 + \delta v\right)}{\left(-s \nu \delta v\right)_{s}} + \frac{v \left(1 + \delta v\right)_{s}}{\left(\nu \delta v\right)_{s}}$

= N DA

· Approach 3: Exact Alsts O Colculate P. (NAA, NAa, Naa I NA, Na) for all possible values at MA, Ma, Man guen MA = NAU + ZNAA na = non + z nan fined vory tuge ey: NA = 5 , Na = 5 -3 2 / 2 Pr (NAR, NAU, NA) 0 5 0 @ sort probabilitities and add up smaller values p3 2 p1 2 p2 p,+p3: p-value b/c ne son p,

(1- pa) - 1 (1- pa) (2pa(1-pa)) (1- pa) (1-pa) br (v4) va) = (50); (ba), (1-60), a on I man Bulza, pal 1 (1-pa) (1-pa) mult (n, pa, 7 pa (1-pa)) P. (NAM, NAM, NAM) = P. (NAM, NAM, NAM)

P. (NAM, NAM, NAM) = N; NA; Na; Sur [(PA) (PA(1-PA)) (1-PA)]] an = S= PA + NAW-NA (1-PA) ZNOWA NAW-NW = PA (1-PA) = 1// So prohibilities do not depend on PA

If A is larger too computationally expensive to find all values of NAA, May May pair, tod not no alleles at na a alles, rundonly I repeat many tres

I proportion tables less probable ~ produce Approach 4: Likelitud win test (in genners "6" tests) Lo = max Pr (nAA, nAu, nau 1 pR, 2 pA(1-pA), (1-pa)2) Ly = may P. (ran, nan, ran | PAA, PAG, Pag). Under Ho! - 2 lay (Lo) - X?

2 parameters in alt - 1 parameter in a

- 2 (lay (Lo) - lay (Li))