

Połchanski 10. 2 (a)

$$\delta_{\eta_2} X = \varepsilon \int \frac{\omega}{2} [\eta_2 \gamma + \eta_2^* \bar{\gamma}]$$

$$\delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} X = \varepsilon \int \frac{\omega}{2} [\eta_2 (\delta_{\eta_1} \gamma) + \eta_2^* (\delta_{\eta_1} \bar{\gamma})]$$

$$\eta_2 (\delta_{\eta_1} \gamma) = \eta_2 [-\varepsilon \int \frac{\omega}{2} \eta_1 \partial X]$$

$$\eta_2^* (\delta_{\eta_1} \gamma) = \eta_2^* [-\varepsilon \int \frac{\omega}{2} \eta_1^* \bar{\partial} X].$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} X = \left(\varepsilon \int \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \left(-\varepsilon \int \frac{\omega}{2} \right) [\eta_2 \eta_1 \partial X + \eta_2^* \eta_1^* \bar{\partial} X]$$
$$= -\varepsilon^2 [\eta_2 \eta_1 \partial X + \eta_2^* \eta_1^* \bar{\partial} X]$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1} X = -\varepsilon^2 [\eta_1 \eta_2 \partial X + \eta_1^* \eta_2^* \bar{\partial} X]$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} X - \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1} X = -\varepsilon^2 [(\eta_2 \eta_1 - \eta_1 \eta_2) \partial X + (\eta_2^* \eta_1^* - \eta_1^* \eta_2^*) \bar{\partial} X]$$
$$(\delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} - \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1}) X = -\varepsilon^2 [-2\eta_1 \eta_2 \partial X - 2\eta_1^* \eta_2^* \bar{\partial} X]$$

using anticommutativity of η_1, η_2 .

Comparing this with the conformal transformations
of x^m :

$$\delta_v x^m = -\varepsilon v \partial x^m - \varepsilon v^* \bar{\partial} x^m$$

(2.4.7)

we see that that

$$(\delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} - \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1}) X \text{ is equivalent to } \delta_v X$$

with $v = -2\eta_1 \eta_2$. Of course the quadratic form of
 ε in our derivation of $(\delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} - \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1})$ has to be adjusted
accordingly.

For the $\psi, \tilde{\psi}$ fields, we need to first figure out how $\psi, \tilde{\psi}$ transform under conformal trans.

We would like to compute $T\psi, T\tilde{\psi}$. First we need an expression for T . In the ψ_1, ψ_2 theory, we have

$$T = -\frac{1}{2} [\psi_1 \partial \psi_1 + \psi_2 \partial \psi_2].$$

$$\text{Now define } \psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\psi_1 + i\psi_2), \quad \tilde{\psi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\psi_1 - i\psi_2).$$

$$\text{then } \psi \partial \tilde{\psi} + \tilde{\psi} \partial \psi = \psi_1 \partial \psi_2 + \psi_2 \partial \psi_1, \text{ thus}$$

$$T = -\frac{1}{2} [\psi \partial \tilde{\psi} + \tilde{\psi} \partial \psi]$$

Using $\psi \tilde{\psi} \approx \frac{1}{z}$ (I think this is different from the one Polchinski had on (W.1.7), but this is what was given in section 2.5)

$$\text{We have } T\psi(z) = -\frac{1}{2} \psi(-\frac{1}{z^2}) = \frac{1}{2z^2} \psi$$

$$T\tilde{\psi}(z) = -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\psi}(-\frac{1}{z^2}) = \frac{1}{2z^2} \tilde{\psi}$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \psi \quad \tilde{\psi}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\psi}$$

Again, using Ward identity's constraint on CFTs, (2.4, 11, 12) we have

$$f_v \psi = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \partial v \psi \quad \text{for conformal transformation}$$

$$f_v \tilde{\psi} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \bar{\partial} v \tilde{\psi} \quad \text{parametrized by } v(z),$$

Now we go to superconformal transformations,

$$\delta_{\eta_2} \gamma = -\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \eta_2 \partial X$$

$$\delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} \gamma = -\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \eta_2 \partial (\delta_{\eta_1} \gamma)$$

$$= -\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \eta_2 \partial \left[\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}} (\eta_1 \gamma + \eta_1^* \gamma^*) \right]$$

$$= -\varepsilon^2 \eta_2 \left[(\partial \eta_1) \gamma + \eta_1 (\partial \gamma) \right]$$

$$= -\varepsilon^2 \left[\eta_2 (\partial \eta_1) \gamma + \eta_2 \eta_1 (\partial \gamma) \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1} \gamma = -\varepsilon^2 \left[\eta_1 (\partial \eta_2) \gamma + \eta_1 \eta_2 (\partial \gamma) \right]$$

$$(\delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} - \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1}) \gamma = -\varepsilon^2 \left[\left[\eta_2 (\partial \eta_1) - \eta_1 (\partial \eta_2) \right] \gamma + (\eta_2 \eta_1 - \eta_1 \eta_2) \partial \gamma \right]$$

$$= -\varepsilon^2 \left\{ \left[-\eta_1 (\partial \eta_2) - (\partial \eta_1) \eta_2 \right] \gamma + (-2\eta_1 \eta_2 \partial \gamma) \right\}$$

$$= -\varepsilon^2 \left[-\partial [\eta_1 \eta_2] \gamma - 2\eta_1 \eta_2 \partial \gamma \right]$$

$$= -\varepsilon^2 \left[-\partial [\gamma_1 \gamma_2] \gamma \right] + \mathcal{O}(\partial \gamma)$$

Let $v = -2\gamma_1 \gamma_2$

$$= -\varepsilon^2 \frac{\partial v}{2} \gamma + \mathcal{O}(\partial \gamma)$$

Rescaling $\varepsilon^2 \rightarrow \varepsilon$ gives

$$-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \partial v \gamma + \overbrace{(\mathcal{O}(\partial \gamma))}^{\uparrow}$$

this term is not quite the EOM,
somewhere went wrong?

Dundon Che

8-29-2024

Dolchinski 10.2

(b) Lemma: $\delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} + \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1} = 0$, that is,
superconformal transformations
anticommutate

Proof: By direct computation using what we computed in part (a)

$$(\delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} + \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1}) X = -\varepsilon^2 [(\eta_2 \eta_1 + \eta_1 \eta_2) \partial X + (\eta_2^* \eta_1^* + \eta_1^* \eta_2^*) \bar{\partial} X] \\ = 0.$$

$$(\delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} + \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1}) \psi = -\varepsilon^2 [(\eta_2 (\partial \eta_1) + \eta_1 (\partial \eta_2)) \psi + \\ (\eta_2 \eta_1 + \eta_1 \eta_2) \bar{\partial} \psi] \\ = -\varepsilon^2 \bar{\partial} [(\eta_2 \eta_1 + \eta_1 \eta_2) \psi] \\ = 0. \quad \square$$

Now consider $[\delta_{\eta_0}, f_v]$,

by (10.1.11) or what we showed in part (a),

$$f_v = \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} - \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1}, \quad \text{where } -2\eta_1 \eta_2 = v,$$

so we can write $[\delta_{\eta_0}, f_v]$ with purely superconformal variation

$$[\delta_{\eta_0}, f_v] = [\delta_{\eta_0} \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} - \delta_{\eta_0} \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1}]$$

$$= f_{\gamma_0} f_{\gamma_1} f_{\gamma_2} \oplus -f_{\gamma_2} f_{\gamma_1} f_{\gamma_0} - f_{\gamma_1} f_{\gamma_2} f_{\gamma_0} + f_{\gamma_2} f_{\gamma_1} f_{\gamma_0}$$

For notational simplicity we write them as

$$012 - 210 - 120 + 210$$

~~Now add~~ It's probably nicer to work with 3 than 0,
so we rename $f_{\gamma_0} \rightarrow f_{\gamma_3}$, so we have

$$312 - 213 - 123 + 213$$

$$= 312 + 213 - \{2, 1\} 3$$

$$= 312 + 213 \quad \text{recall } \begin{cases} f_{\gamma_1} f_{\gamma_1} \\ \downarrow \\ 2 \end{cases} = 0$$

So we are now interested in the composition

$$f_{\gamma_3} f_{\gamma_1} f_{\gamma_2} + f_{\gamma_2} f_{\gamma_1} f_{\gamma_3}.$$

We compute this explicitly.

$$f_{\eta_1} f_{\eta_2} X = -\varepsilon^2 [\eta_2 \eta_1 \partial x + \eta_2^* \eta_1^* \bar{\partial} x]$$

$$f_{\eta_3} f_{\eta_1} f_{\eta_2} X = -\varepsilon^2 [\eta_2 \eta_1 \partial (f_{\eta_3} x) + \eta_2^* \eta_1^* \bar{\partial} (f_{\eta_3} x)]$$

$$= -\varepsilon^3 \int \frac{d\alpha}{2} \{ -\eta_2 \eta_1 \cancel{\partial} \cancel{\eta_3} \partial [\eta_3 x + \eta_3^* \bar{x}]$$

$$+ \eta_2^* \eta_1^* \cancel{\bar{\partial}} \cancel{\eta_3} \bar{\partial} [\eta_3 x + \eta_3^* \bar{x}] \}$$

$$= -\varepsilon^3 \int \frac{d\alpha}{2} \{ \eta_2 \eta_1 (\partial \eta_3) x + \eta_2 \eta_1 \eta_3 (\partial x)$$

$$+ \eta_2^* \eta_1^* (\bar{\partial} \eta_3^*) x + \eta_2^* \eta_1^* \eta_3^* (\bar{\partial} x) \}$$

$$\Rightarrow [f_{\eta_3} f_{\eta_1} f_{\eta_2} + f_{\eta_1} f_{\eta_2} f_{\eta_3}] X$$

$$= -\varepsilon^3 \int \frac{d\alpha}{2} \{ [\eta_2 \eta_1 (\partial \eta_3) + \eta_3 \eta_1 (\partial \eta_2)] x +$$

$$[\eta_2 \eta_1 \eta_3 + \eta_3 \eta_1 \eta_2] \partial x +$$

$$[\eta_2^* \eta_1^* (\bar{\partial} \eta_3^*) + \eta_3^* \eta_1^* (\bar{\partial} \eta_2^*)] \bar{x} +$$

$$[\eta_2^* \eta_1^* \eta_3^* + \eta_3^* \eta_1^* \eta_2^*] \bar{\partial} x \}$$

Using anticommutativity: $\eta_2 \eta_1 \eta_3 = -\eta_2 \eta_3 \eta_1 = \eta_3 \eta_2 \eta_1$
 $= -\eta_3 \eta_1 \eta_2$

The derivative terms ∂_μ vanish and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\delta_{\eta_3} f_{\eta_1} f_{\eta_2} + \delta_{\eta_2} f_{\eta_1} f_{\eta_3} \right] \times \\ & = -\varepsilon^3 \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 \left\{ \left[\eta_2 \eta_1 (\partial \eta_3) + \eta_3 \eta_1 (\partial \eta_2) \right] \tilde{\eta}_4 + \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left[\eta_2^* \eta_1^* (\bar{\partial} \eta_3^*) + \eta_3^* \eta_1^* (\bar{\partial} \eta_2^*) \right] \tilde{\eta}_4 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

which is a superconformal transformation. (10.1.10 a)

Now we look at $\left[\delta_{\eta_3} \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} + \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_3} \right] \psi$

$$\delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} \psi = -\varepsilon^2 \left[\eta_2 (\partial \eta_1) \psi + \eta_2 \eta_1 (\partial \psi) \right]$$

$$\delta_{\eta_3} \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} \psi = -\varepsilon^2 \left[\eta_2 (\partial \eta_1) (\delta_{\eta_3} \psi) + \eta_2 \eta_1 \partial (\delta_{\eta_3} \psi) \right]$$

$$= \varepsilon^3 \int \frac{2}{\alpha} \left\{ \eta_2 (\partial \eta_1) \eta_3 (\partial x) + \eta_2 \eta_1 \partial [\eta_3 \partial x] \right\}$$

$$= \varepsilon^3 \int \frac{2}{\alpha} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \eta_2 (\partial \eta_1) \eta_3 (\partial x) \\ \eta_2 \eta_1 (\partial \eta_3) (\partial x) \\ \eta_2 \eta_1 \eta_3 (\partial^2 x) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[\delta_{\eta_3} \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} + \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_3} \right] \psi$$

$$= \varepsilon^3 \int \frac{2}{\alpha} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left[\eta_2 (\partial \eta_1) \eta_3 + \eta_2 \eta_1 (\partial \eta_3) + \eta_3 (\partial \eta_1) \eta_2 + \eta_3 \eta_1 (\partial \eta_2) \right] \\ \times \partial x \\ + [\eta_2 \eta_1 \eta_3 + \eta_3 \eta_1 \eta_2] \partial^2 x \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \varepsilon^2 \int \frac{2}{\alpha} \left[\eta_2 \partial (\eta_1 \eta_3) + \eta_3 \partial (\eta_1 \eta_2) \right] \partial x$$

which is of the form of superconformal transformation