

Dolchinski 2.7 (a)

$$\bullet X^{\mu}(z, \bar{z}) \rightarrow X^{\mu}(z', \bar{z}')$$

$$= X^{\mu}(z(z'), \bar{z}(\bar{z}'))$$

$$= X'^{\mu}(z', \bar{z}') \Rightarrow (0, 0)$$

$$\bullet \partial X^{\mu}(z, \bar{z}) \rightarrow \partial X^{\mu}(z', \bar{z}')$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial z'}{\partial z} \right) \partial_{z'} X^{\mu}(z', \bar{z}') \Rightarrow (1, 0)$$

$$\bullet \bar{\partial} X^{\mu}(z, \bar{z}) \rightarrow \bar{\partial} X^{\mu}(z', \bar{z}')$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial \bar{z}'}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) \bar{\partial}_{\bar{z}'} X^{\mu}(z', \bar{z}') \Rightarrow (0, 1)$$

$$\bullet \bar{\partial}^2 X^{\mu}(z, \bar{z}) \rightarrow \bar{\partial}^2 X^{\mu}(z', \bar{z}')$$

$$= \bar{\partial} \left[\left(\frac{\partial z'}{\partial z} \right) \partial_{z'} X^{\mu}(z', \bar{z}') \right]$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial^2 z'}{\partial z^2} \right) \partial_{z'} X^{\mu}(z', \bar{z}') + \left(\frac{\partial z'}{\partial z} \right)^2 \bar{\partial}_{\bar{z}'} X^{\mu}(z', \bar{z}')$$

If $z' = \zeta z$, ζ constant, then $\left(\frac{\partial z'}{\partial z} \right)$ vanish and

we have a $(2, 0)$ weight conformal tensor. Otherwise, if $z' = f(z)$ for general f , this is not a conformal tensor.

we get a 2nd derivative term

• $e^{\tilde{z}k \cdot X}$: we don't plug in $z, \bar{z} \rightarrow z', \bar{z}'$ directly, instead consider

$$e^{ik_1 X} e^{\tilde{z} k_2 X} = |z| e^{i(k_1 + k_2) X} \quad (2.2.13)$$

$$= \frac{e^{ik_1 X}}{z} \frac{e^{\tilde{z} k_2 X}}{\bar{z}} : e^{i(\tilde{z} + k_2) X} : \quad (2.2.14)$$

under $z \rightarrow \mathcal{T}z, \bar{z} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{T}}\bar{z}$,

$$|z| = \frac{e^{ik_1 X}}{z} \frac{e^{\tilde{z} k_2 X}}{\bar{z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \frac{e^{ik_1 X}}{\mathcal{T}z} \frac{e^{\tilde{z} k_2 X}}{\mathcal{T}\bar{z}} : e^{i(\tilde{z} + k_2) X} : |z|,$$

or more cleanly

$$|z| \rightarrow |\mathcal{T}| \frac{e^{ik_1 X}}{z} |z|$$

So we must have if we let $h(k)$ denote the conformal weight of e^{ikX} , ~~$\mathcal{T} \equiv \mathcal{T}$~~ then we must have

$$h(k_1) + h(k_2) = h(k_1 + k_2) - \frac{i(k_1 k_2)}{2}$$

Letting $k_1 = k, k_2 = \delta k$,

$$h(k) + h(\delta k) = h(k + \delta k) - \frac{i}{2} k \delta k.$$

$$\frac{h(k + \delta k) - h(k)}{\delta k} = \frac{i}{2} k + \frac{h(\delta k)}{\delta k}$$

we can write $h(\delta k) = h(0)$ as the δk is infinitesimal.

$$\frac{\partial h(k)}{\partial k} = \frac{\alpha' k}{2} + \frac{h(0)}{\delta k}$$

I forgot to mention, $h(\delta k)$ must be of order δk^2 or higher for this to make sense, so we can essentially treat it as 0 for δk infinitesimal

$$\frac{\partial h(k)}{\partial k} = \frac{\alpha' k}{2}$$

$$h(k) = \boxed{\frac{\alpha' k^2}{4}}$$

The same argument goes for $\tilde{h}(k) = \frac{\alpha' k^2}{4}$.

This operator is not necessarily a tensor in the above derivation

Darshan Chahal

8.22.2024