# DC SRAP meeting 44

Time: 2024-03-26, 16:00-17:00 UTC

Place: Zoom, <https://helsinki.zoom.us/j/62368569596?pwd=UGQxenZFbjZQUlluRzdQQUM1UFhaUT09>

## Agenda

### Opening of the meeting

### Appointment of the minutes taker – Jan Ashton

### Approval of the agenda - Approved

### Minutes of previous meetings

Minutes of the previous meeting 43 have been posted on GitHub

### Reorganizing the TAP spreadsheet / table

Osma has drafted a restructured version of the TAP table as well as some related changes to the SRAP main specification text. Changed the ‘Notes’ column to be more like a definition of the field (as copied from the Specification). The DC TAP can look more like a data model specification.

**Action: Osma** to add Classes (Shapes) for Person and Organization to be able to differentiate them.

For non-DC property IDs use the srap: prefix. Make it possible to use an IRI or a literal (for people or organizations) since there may not be a relevant IRI available.

**Action: Karen** to check that there does not need to be a ValueNode or valueDateType if a valueShape is present

**Action: Osma** to update the TAP and to raise an issue around additional Shapes e.g. a Monograph Shape.

### SRAP specification structure

Karen has posted an outline of DC Terms, with properties organized by theme or context of use. SRAP could adopt this kind of structure; in fact the main specification already has a similar heading structure.

**Action: Karen** to provide a draft of the grouping of terms for the main specification, comparing the main document’s groupings with hers.

SRAP is evolving towards a structure where the main specification would be a bit less formal than it is currently, but helpful in communicating the core aspects of SRAP and providing guidance in the form of a primer. Good examples to follow are the SKOS Primer and DCTAP Primer.

Groupings of associated fields is preferable to a listing of individual fields – see the current ‘Volume/Issue/Page Numbers’ section for an example of the approach.

**Action: Karen** to draft a new ordering of field groupings (open an Issue). It may be useful to keep the specification and the TAP in synch.

The TAP (currently a CSV table, but potentially a browsable HTML document) would provide essentially the same information (with less guidance) as the specification document, in a more formal and structured format.

The specification document should be more compact with the TAP providing more detail in a machine actionable manner. The specification should provide an introduction to the TAP, outlining what it describes with some examples.

**Action: Osma** to revisit and reduce the number of date fields – (open an Issue)

**Action: Osma** to take dateRetracted and dateEmbargoRange to DCMI for inclusion in DC Terms. (The names may change to reflect DCMI practice).

**Action: All** to review the [RIOXX](https://rioxx.net/profiles/) schema for useful terms and approaches.

### Organizational/academic context

See issue: <https://github.com/dcmi/dc-srap/issues/37>

There is no vocabulary or schema present for this. Departmental or Faculty structures differ for different institutions, so the plan is to do something in SRAP that isn’t too rigid for people to use.

SRAP should offer recommendations with choices on what fields to use. Advice would be to use a TAP to explain their usage when exporting or exchanging records.

### Any other business – No other business

### Closure of the meeting