# DC SRAP meeting 56 - notes

Time: 2025-01-14, 16:00-17:00 UTC

Place: Zoom, <https://helsinki.zoom.us/j/62368569596?pwd=UGQxenZFbjZQUlluRzdQQUM1UFhaUT09>

### Opening of the meeting

Osma opened the meeting. Alasdair, Karen and Osma attending.

### Appointment of the minutes taker

Alasdair will take the minutes

### Approval of the agenda

Approved

### Minutes of previous meetings

Minutes of previous meetings are on GitHub:  
<https://github.com/dcmi/dc-srap/tree/main/meetings>

Minutes of the previous meeting 55 (by Karen) are not yet on GitHub.

### Taking SRAP to the DCMI Usage Board

GitHub issue: <https://github.com/dcmi/dc-srap/issues/64>

From the minutes of the previous meeting:

* *need to link documents*
* *should examples be in main document? maybe a few, in appendices*
* *main document doesn't have recent changes*
* *mainly want to add new terms into BIBO*
* *why use schema:isbn and not bibo:isbn? maybe better to not use schema at all. look at both srap namespace and schema namespace for properties to add to bibo.*
* *schema:funding - is this relevant to bibo? We took our shape from RIOXX*
* *list of terms is #64, list of classes is #54 (added SrapResource and schema:Grant)*
* *Decisions:*
* *if we don't use schema?*
  + *look at schema + srap to see if they can all fit into bibo*
  + *bibo also uses foaf for person and organization (perhaps add these to bibo?)*
* *dc only has agent - for shapes we have person and organization; these are core classes*
  + *perhaps propose Person and Organization to be added to dcterms*
* *add Grant to bibo.*
* *srapResource - defines this as scholarly. Fabio has ScholarlyWork. Can we add ScholarlyWork to bibo? put it alongside bibo:Document.*

*Decisions: possibly - person and organization to DCT; the rest to BIBO*

Draft for usage board: [Proposal doc](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1kJ5M5VqosbdJVeAmIqreud0kOQsVX3Kk_2qDjyQWKVk/edit?usp=sharing)

KC: Need to think about classes; SRAP Resource class – similar classes in Coar/Schema/Bibio/Fabio. Can SRAP be used for non-scholarly?

OS: Every shape represents one or more RDF classes. COAR types implicitly scholarly. Need more discussion of SRAP classes

KC: Person and organisation don’t fit with DC/Bibo – good to propose?

AM: Creator person or organisation?

OS: Creator is a property; agent is the class – person/organisation would be sub-classes

KC: Could define as range includes agentPerson/agentOrganisation

OS: Draft for discussion with Tom & Niklaus before full Usage Board

KC: Will each be a separate issue for discussion?

OS: Who will draft proposal?

KC: Start in Google Doc. Good to have list of what’s needed and what is proposed

OS: Keep as separate documents. Need person/organisation for affiliation

OS: Issues around funder/funding/grant

KC: Drop schema:Grant class and have funder + identifier

OS: Modelled on what schema.org does. Add class and properties to Bibo and align?

KC: Grant identifier not usually an IRI – lovcal identifier. Have proposal with subsections. Bibo uses foaf for person/organisation

Looked at COAR – defined as SKOS concept

OS: SKOS concepts can be RDF classes; not common

KC: Tom sees SKOS concepts as classes

OS: Next meeting not formal and minuted. Meet to work on documents and lists.

### Any other business

### Closure of the meeting