CSE 107 Lab 2

Image Resizing

Darren Correa

3/21/2022

Abstract

This lab aims to teach us how to scale images using two methods as well as testing how well our solutions were. Nearest Neighbor and Bilinear Interpolation. Nearest Neighbor interpolation is the process of assigning the pixel intensity value closest to the pixel whose value we want to find. Bilinear interpolation takes the 4 adjacent points surrounding the pixel in question and takes an average intensity value from the surrounding pixels. The methods differ in complexity and implementation strategies. I was successful in implementing the Nearest Neighbor method but had a hard time with Bilinear. The most challenging point was scaling the resized images back to their original size. For both methods, I was able to collect good, rescaled images. Logically, if I was able to down sample a large image, and I was able to up sample a smaller image, I should have been able to take either the up sampled, or down sampled images and down/up sample them again. This was not my case. When trying to up sample the down sampled images for both methods, I was given bound errors. This was odd because I those errors were not occurring when up sampling the original image. I was able to fix the errors for the Nearest Neighbor approach but not for Bilinear.

Technical Discussion

The Nearest Neighbor approach can be explained quickly. Our instructions told us the functions input should be the grayscale image, the size of the rows and columns for our scaled image and a string value to determine the interpolation method to be used (Nearest or Bilinear). The Nearest Neighbor interpolation function comes down to one line of code.

```
output(i,j) = img_matrix(round(i/resize_factor_rows),
round(j/resize factor cols));
```

output (i,j) is the pixel for which we are trying to find the intensity value for. The resize factors are the scale value between the original and resized image. For instance, if we want to transform a 300 x 300 image to a 600 x 600 image, our scale value is 2. For this lab, we separate the scale values separately by rows and columns since our scaled images are not squares. The right side of the code is saying assign the pixel intensity value from pixel closest to the location of the pixel of the scaled image. This is relative since the two matrix sizes are not equal. The scale factors account for the difference by scaling the location in the original image by the scaling factor of the resized image. After iterating through the matrix for the output image, we have collected enough pixel intensities to put together an image,

The Bilinear approach is a bit more complex. My implementation follows the Method 1 approach shown in our notes from lecture 9. Following the instructions of the lab, we needed to collect the location and pixel weights of 4 neighboring pixels. In this method, the pixel locations were used to get the pixel intensities. The locations were found using the same method as my nearest neighbor approach by using the scale factor to scale the location of original image to the relative location of the resized image. From that, lines 66 and 67 of

imresize.m, we can gather the pixel intensities of the four surrounding pixels. I thought of it like a grid. If we are in the center of a grid and want to reach a corner using two axes', we have to travel up (+1) and left (-1), up (+1) and right (+1), down (-1) and left (-1), or down (-1) and right (+1). Having collected the pixel weights and the location of the pixel we want to have interpolated; we pass the information to the bilinear interpolation function. The pixel locations used inside the function as the notes made more sense to me in terms of having the x and y values of surrounding pixels inside. It follows the same principle as collecting the pixel weights. Rather than collecting the intensity values, we collect locations. Calculate the intensity of the interpolated pixel (IP) we did this in two steps. First compute the average location in the x dimension. Then we do the same in the y dimension. The pixel weights combined with average locations compute a pixel intensity value that average all four neighboring pixels for the pixel in our resized image.

Lastly, we were asked to test the similarity of our scaled images to our original image. To do this we had to scale our up or downscaled images back to their original 300x300 size. Then we had to implement the Root Mean Squared Error function.

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{MN} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (I1(m,n) - I2(m,n))^2}$$

This equation is used to estimate how well a reconstructed image compares to the original. It does this by taking the difference between all the pixel intensities from the resized image and the original. My implementation followed the equation very easily by taking in two images as inputs and using the size of the original image as our M and N. To find the sum of pixel intensities, we iterate through the size of the photos and take pixel values from each

image at each iteration and take the difference between them. Squaring and adding that value to a total lets us keep track of the total difference between all pixels. The square root then gives us the actual value we are looking for that tells us how well our resized image matches the original.

Discussion of Results

Overall, I am satisfied with the image results from the different interpolation methods. I think Bilinear interpolation produced better results. To the naked eye, the down sampled image looks softer around the curves of the statue while shadows on the statue look finer. The up sampled images look very similar between both methods, so it is a lot harder to make a subjective decision. The RMSE results were troubling because there were issues getting the resized images back to the original size. As we can see with Images 2 through 5, the rescaling from the original image went fine. Images 6 and 7 show the issue with going back to original size. For Nearest Neighbor interpolation, I was getting out of bound errors when calculating the nearest pixel location. I was able to fix the case error for the down sampled image but as shown for the up sampled image, that wasn't the case. The same solution failed for both Bilinear interpolation images. Due to time constraints, I was unable to collect any results for those. From the values I was able to obtain, it is obvious that a smaller value is better. Since I was having trouble with scaling my images to the original images, for the bilinear image I used the built in resize function, just to get some results for my myRMSE function. Using the built-in function Bilinear interpolation produces better RMSE results, however, with how close my real result is to the built-in function result for Nearest Neighbor interpolation, I do think we need to

keep objective evaluations to determine which results are better. As vision clarity varies person to person, we can't rely on our eyes when comparisons are this close.

Results



Image 1: Original 300x300 image



Image 2: 40x75 Down sampled Image using NN Interpolation



Image 3: 425x600 Image using NN Interpolation



Image 4: 40x75 Down sampled Image using BN Interpolation



Image 5: 425x600 Up Sampled Image using BN



Image 6: 300x300 Resized Down Sample Image by NN



Image 7: 300x300 Resized Up Sample Image by NN

Table 1: RMSE Results

NN(40x75) = 18.9617	NN(425x600) = 120.521	*BN(40x75)	*BN(425x600) = 3.624

^{*}Values obtained using built in resize function using Bilinear method.

Code

myResize.m

```
function [output] = myimresize(img matrix, rows, cols, resize type)
% myresize
              The function should take in an image matrix, the number of
               rows and colums the image should be resized too and a
응
               string of what method of interpolation to be used, Nearest
응
               or Bilinear.
응
%Syntax:
% output = myimresize(img matrix, rows, cols, resize type)
%Input:
  img matrix = Matrix gathered from input image
% rows = # of rows for new image size
% cols = # of columns for new image size
% resize type = Method of interpolation (Select either Nearest or
                   Bilinear)
응
%History:
% D. Correa
                  3/14/2022 Created
% D. Correa
                  3/18/2022 Completed Nearest Neighbor Function
% D. Correa
                  3/21/2022 Completed Bilinear Function
  D. Correa
                  3/21/2022 Tested and fixed out of bound erros
응
    %Nearest Neighbor Section
    if resize type == "Nearest"
    %Create empty output matrix
    % and find scaling factor for rows and colums
    output = zeros([rows,cols],'uint8');
    resize factor rows = rows/size(img matrix,1);
    resize factor cols = cols/size(img matrix, 2);
    %Ensure interpolation method is correct
       for i = 1:rows
            for j = 1:cols
                %for each pixel in output, assign intensity from nearest
                %neigbor
                if (i/resize factor rows) < rows && (j/resize factor cols) <</pre>
cols
               output(i,j) = img matrix(round(i/resize factor rows),
round(j/resize factor cols));
               end
            end
        end
    end
    %Bilinear Interpolation Section
```

```
if resize type == "Bilinear"
    %Create empty matrix for output image
    B = zeros([rows,cols], 'uint8');
   %Get factors of how the rows and columns are scaled from original image
    scale rows = rows/size(img matrix,1);
    scale cols = cols/size(img matrix,2);
   %To keep from out of bound cases, begin iteration at 3 and end at max
    %num rows/cols - 3.
    %Values < 3 result in out of bound errors.
    for i = 3:rows-3
        for j = 3:cols-3
            %Get equivalent positions from original image
            inputImgRowPos = round(i/scale rows);
            inputImgColPos = round(j/scale cols);
            %Get pixel intensities from 4 surrounding pixels
            PW topL = img matrix(inputImgRowPos+1,inputImgColPos-1);
            PW topR = img matrix(inputImgRowPos+1,inputImgColPos+1);
            PW botL = img matrix(inputImgRowPos-1,inputImgColPos-1);
            PW botR = img matrix(inputImgRowPos-1,inputImgColPos+1);
            %Call mybilinear function to get intensity value of
            %interpolated pixel
            IP = mybilinear(PW topL, PW topR, PW botL, PW botR,
inputImgRowPos, inputImgColPos, scale rows, scale cols);
            %Assign interpolated pixel intensity to location scaled image
           B(i,j) = IP;
        end
   end
    %Show and save returned image matrix from bilinear interpolation
    imshow(B, []);
    %imwrite(output, '40x75 Downsampled BN.png');
    %imwrite(output, '425x600 UpSampled BN.png');
    %imwrite(B, '300x300 Resized Downsampled BN.png');
    %imwrite(B, '300x300 Resized Upsampled BN.png');
   end
```

myBilinear.m

```
function IP = mybilinear(PW topL, PW topR, PW botL, PW botR, inputImgRowPos,
inputImgColPos, scale rows, scale cols)
% mybilinear Computes interpolated pixel value using 4 adjacent pixel
                weights, location of interpolated pixel, and scale factors.
%Syntax:
% IP = mybilinear(PW topL, PW topR, PW botL, PW botR, inputImgRowPos,
inputImgColPos, scale rows, scale cols)
응
%Input:
  PW topL = Pixel wieght of pixel above and to the right of interpolated
                pixel.
90
    PW topR = Pixel wieight of pixel above and to the right of interpolated
응
                pixel.
응
  PW botL = Pixel wieight of pixel below and to the left of interpolated
응
                pixel.
용
  PW botR = Pixel wieight of pixel below and to the right of interpolated
                pixel.
  inputImgRowPos = Relative row position of interpolated pixel from
응
original
                        image.
    inputImgColPos = Relative column position of interpolated pixel from
original
응
                        image.
    scale rows = Scale factor between original row size and new row size.
    scale cols = Scale factor between original column size and new column
응
                    size.
응
%Output:
% IP = Pixel weight of interpolated pixel.
%History:
% D. Correa 3/18/2022 Created
  D. Correa 3/21/2022 Function completed
D. Correa 3/21/2022 Tested and fixed is
                            Tested and fixed issues with location variables
                            in p5 1 and p5 2.
            %Calculate position to scaled image
            y = round(inputImgRowPos*scale rows);
            y1 = round((inputImgRowPos+1)*scale rows);
            y2 = round((inputImgRowPos-1)*scale rows);
            x = round(inputImgColPos*scale cols);
            x1 = round((inputImgColPos-1)*scale cols);
            x2 = round((inputImgColPos+1)*scale cols);
            %Interpolate using intesities of 4 neighboring points
            p5\ 1 = ((x2-x) / (x2-x1)) * PW botL + ((x-x1) / (x2-x1)) *
PW botR;
            p5_2 = ((x2-x) / (x2-x1)) * PW_topL + ((x-x1) / (x2-x1)) *
PW topR;
```

```
IP = round(((y2-y) / (y2-y1)) * p5_1 + ((y-y1) / (y2-y1)) * p5_2); end
```

myRMSE.m

end

```
function RMSE = myRMSE(rows, cols, img1, img2)
% RMSE Compares image reconstruction accuracy between original image and
       scaled images.
%Syntax:
  RMSE = myRMSE(rows, cols, img1, img2)
%Input:
  rows = # of rows in original image matrix.
% cols = # of colums in original image matrix.
  img1 = Resized scaled image.
   img2 = Orignial image
%Output:
% RMSE = float value that shows accuracy or resized image to original
          image/
%History:
              3/21/2022 Created, completed and tested.
% D. Correa
    total = double(0);
    for i = 1:rows
        for j = 1:cols
            %Get sum of difference between image pixel intensities
            SQ = double((img1(i,j)-img2(i,j)));
            total = total + SQ^{(2)};
        end
    end
    %Calculate accuracy value.
    RMSE = sqrt((1/(rows*cols)*total));
```

Script.m

```
%For testing, ensure rows and images to be used are correct and inputs are
%valid.
\% D. Correa $3/14/2022$ Created \% D. Correa $3/18/2022$ Added more commands for images to be read for
                             testing.
% D. Correa 3/21/2022 Added more commands for testing RMSE function
%Get image matrix from input image
img matrix = imread('Lab 02 image1.tif');
%img matrix = imread('40x75 Downsampled NN.png');
%img matrix = imread('40x75 Downsampled BN.png');
%img matrix = imread('425x600 UpSampled NN.png');
%img_matrix = imread('425x600_UpSampled_BN.png');
%New size for resized image matrix
%rows = 40;
%cols = 75;
%rows = 425;
%cols = 600;
rows = 300;
cols = 300;
%Declare interpolation method to be used
%resize_type = "Nearest";
resize type = "Bilinear";
%Generate output image using myimresize function
%output = myimresize(img matrix, rows, cols, resize type);
%Show and save returned image matrix
%imshow(output, []);
%imwrite(output, '40x75_Downsampled_NN.png');
%imwrite(output, '425x600_UpSampled_NN.png');
%imwrite(output, '300x300 Resized Downsampled NN.png');
%imwrite(output, '300x300 Resized Upsampled NN.png');
%img2 = imread('300x300 Resized Downsampled NN.png');
img2 = imread('300x300 Resized UpSampled NN.png');
%img2 = imread('300x300 Resized Downsampled BN.png');
%img2 = imread('300x300 Resized UpSampled BN.png');
RMSE = myRMSE(rows, cols, img matrix, img2);
```

Script2.m