# CS475 Machine Learning, Fall 2012: Homework 2

#### Daniel Crankshaw - dcranks1

September 26, 2012

## Question 1:

- (a)  $P = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 = \frac{1}{8}$
- (b) Let H be a random variable representing the number of heads flipped in 10 coin tosses.  $P(H \ge 3) = \sum_{i=3}^{10} P(H=i) = \sum_{i=3}^{10} {10 \choose i} = 968.$
- (c) It takes 14 flips on average.

## Question 2:

(a)

$$\mathbb{P}(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{P}(X|C=j)\mathbb{P}(C=j)$$
 (1)

(b)

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(X|C)] \tag{2}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{E}(X|C=j)\mathbb{P}(C=j)$$
(3)

$$=\sum_{j=1}^{k}\mu_{j}\pi_{j}\tag{4}$$

(c)

$$log(\mathbb{P}D|\mu_j, \sigma_j for j = 1, \dots, k) = \sum_{i=1}^n log(\mathbb{P}(x_n|\mu_j, \sigma_j))$$
(5)

(6)

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{k} \pi_{j} \frac{1}{\sigma_{j} \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x_{i} - \mu_{j})^{2}}{2\sigma_{j}^{2}} \right\} \right]$$
 (7)

(8)

**Question 3:** First I will prove the first part of the set of inequalities, and then the second part. For

$$||y - X_1 \hat{\beta}_3||_2^2 \ge ||y - X_1 \hat{\beta}_0||_2^2 \tag{9}$$

Assume that  $\|y - X_1 \hat{\beta}_3\|_2^2 < \|y - X_1 \hat{\beta}_0\|_2^2$ . Then there exists some  $\beta_0' = \hat{\beta}_3$  such that  $\|y - X_1 \beta_0'\|_2^2 < \|y - X_1 \hat{\beta}_0\|_2^2$ . Thus,  $\hat{\beta}_0$  is not the argmin. But  $\hat{\beta}_0$  is the argmin, and so we have reached a contradiction. Therefore,  $\|y - X_1 \hat{\beta}_3\|_2^2 \ge \|y - X_1 \hat{\beta}_0\|_2^2$ .

$$\|y - X_1 \hat{\beta}_0\|_2^2 \ge \|y - X_1 \hat{\beta}_1 - X_2 \hat{\beta}_2\|_2^2 \tag{10}$$

Notice that  $\operatorname{argmax}_{\beta_0} X_1 \beta_0 \geq \mathbf{0}$  (where  $\mathbf{0}$  is the n-dimensional null vector). Similarly,  $\operatorname{argmax}_{\beta_1} X_1 \beta_1 \geq \mathbf{0}$  and  $\operatorname{argmax}_{\beta_2} X_2 \beta_2 \geq \mathbf{0}$ . And because we are subtracting each of these quantities from y, no matter what  $X_1 \hat{\beta}_0$  is, we can always get the same value from  $X_1 \hat{\beta}_1$ . And because  $\operatorname{argmax}_{\beta_2} X_2 \hat{\beta}_2 \geq \mathbf{0}$  then we either have  $X_2 \hat{\beta}_2 = \mathbf{0}$  in which case the two expressions are equal, or  $X_2 \hat{\beta}_2 > \mathbf{0}$  in which case the  $\|y - X_1 \hat{\beta}_0\|_2^2 > \|y - X_1 \hat{\beta}_1 - X_2 \hat{\beta}_2\|_2^2$ . Thus the inequality holds true.

#### Question 4:

- (a) With non-overlapping samples, in the worst case every split exactly divides the data in half. If we divide the data in half at every split, then each sample needs  $log_2n$  splits to be labeled. Because the samples are non-overlapping, there will never be a split that contains data with the same set of features with different labels. Thus, we can always perform a series of feature splits that will result in a node in the decision tree with all the labels agreeing. Therefore, we can perform a perfect labeling. Therefore, in this situation, we can always perform a perfect labeling of all n samples with a decision tree of depth at most  $log_2n$ .
- (b) We cannot. If we lose the assumption that all labels are non-overlapping, then we can have a situation where we have at least two samples with the exact same feature vector that have different labels. This situation means that even after  $log_2n$  feature splits (isolating all samples with this feature vector from any with distinct feature vectors) we will have a set of data at a node which do not all have the same label. Therefore, we cannot perfectly label the data.

#### Question 5:

- (a) Convex formulations have exactly one minimum, meaning that the global minimum is also the only local minimum. This makes it much easier to find the minimum of the function.
- (b) The definition of convexity is as follows. A function f(x) is convex if for any two points  $x_1, x_2$  in X and  $t \in [0, 1]$

$$f(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) \le tf(x_1) + (1-t)f(x_2) \tag{11}$$

$$h(x) = f(x) + g(x) \tag{12}$$

$$h(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) = f(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) + g(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2)$$
(13)

 $h(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) \le tf(x_1) + tg(x_1) + (1-t)f(x_2) + (1-t)g(x)2$  (by the definition of convexity) (14)

$$= t(f(x_1) + g(x_1)) + (1 - t)(f(x_2) + g(x_2))$$
(15)

$$= th(x_1) + (1-t)h(x_2) \tag{16}$$

Therefore,  $h(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) \le th(x_1) + (1-t)h(x_2)$  and thus satisfies the definition of a convex function.

(c)  $f'(x) = x^4$  and  $g'(x) = x^2$  results in the a non-convex function f'(x) - g'(x).  $f(x) = e^x$  and  $g(x) = 2^x$  results in a convex function f(x) - g(x).