ISS 305:002 Evaluating Evidence: Becoming a Smart Research Consumer

8. Real vs. Illusory Relationships

Reminder: Turn on your I<CLICKER

Illusions of control: Langer (1975)

- People who <u>selected</u> their lottery ticket wanted four times as much for it as people who had someone else select it for them.
- Why?
- Because <u>often</u> choice means control, we assume that choice <u>always</u> gives us control, even when the outcomes are determined entirely by chance.

Illusions of control: Langer (1975)

- Those playing "war" (high card wins) will bet more against an unconfident player than a confident player.
- Why?
- Because cues that an opponent is unconfident (bluffable; unskilled) <u>sometimes</u> means we have a better chance of winning, we assume that this is <u>always</u> so, even when the outcomes are determined entirely by chance.



Illusions of control: Langer (1975)

- People wanting a higher number will roll a die harder than those wanting a low number.
- Why?
- We seem to believe because sometimes personal effort/skill can often affect outcomes, they generally do, even for outcomes determined completely by chance.



Trouble reasoning with probabilities: The Conjunction Fallacy

Linda is 31 years old, single, outspoken, and very bright. She majored in philosophy. As a student, she was deeply concerned with issues of discrimination and social justice, and also participated in anti-nuclear demonstrations.

What is Linda doing now?

- A. Linda is a bank teller.
- B. Linda is an elementary school teacher.
- C. Lind is a bank teller and is active in the feminist movement.
- D. Linda is an insurance salesperson.

85% say bank teller and feminist is more likely than just bank teller.

Applications of Belief in a Just World

- Court cases: victims who are portrayed as good people awarded greater amounts of money
- Rape victims are accused of provoking their perpetrators
- An attractive woman's accidental death is viewed as more tragic and unfair than an unattractive woman's

Motivated misperception of probabilities and chance: Belief in a Just World

- Zuckerman (1975): <u>Before</u> exams students who believed more in a *just world* (but not those who didn't)
 - (a) volunteered more to serve as Ps in experiments,
 - (b) were more willing to serve as readers for a blind student, and
 - (c) agreed more to participate in a 1-hr study after having already completed an experiment requirement in an introductory psychology course.

but $\underline{\mathsf{not}}$ after the exam was over

- · Why?
 - if I'm in need (before the exam), and believe in the just world, if I do something good, then good things (e.g., get good grade on exam) should happen to me, but
 - if I'm not in need (after exam is over and nothing more can be done), there's no reason to put myself out