

So, for conserved p_β , can we just replace this by a constant in H ?

Almost. ok to compute all equations of motion except $\dot{q}^\beta = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\beta}$ for that particular value of β .

Typically, this equation is easy to solve since p_β is constant & can be handled separately

Example: Hamiltonian and the canonical equations for a particle in a central force field.

$$L = \frac{1}{2}m \left[\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta \dot{\phi}^2 \right] - V(r)$$

in spherical coordinates (r, θ, ϕ) . But we can focus on the 2D problem (r, θ) by ignoring all expressions in green.

The defining equations for conjugate momenta are

$$\begin{cases} p_r = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{r}} = m\dot{r} & p_\theta = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}} = mr^2\dot{\theta} \\ p_\phi = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}} = mr^2 \sin^2 \theta \dot{\phi} \end{cases}$$

Inverting these equations, we have

$$\dot{r} = \frac{p_r}{m}, \quad \dot{\theta} = \frac{p_\theta}{mr^2}, \quad \dot{\phi} = \frac{p_\phi}{mr^2 \sin^2 \theta}$$

The Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned} H &= p_\alpha \dot{q}^\alpha - L = \frac{p_r^2}{2m} + \frac{p_\theta^2}{2mr^2} + \frac{p_\phi^2}{2mr^2 \sin^2 \theta} + V(r) \\ &= \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_T + V(r) \end{aligned}$$

Hamiltonian's canonical equations of motion are

$$\dot{r} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_r} = \frac{p_r}{m}$$

$$\dot{p}_r = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{mr^3} \left[p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right] = V'(r)$$

$$\dot{\theta} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\theta} = \frac{p_\theta}{mr^2}$$

$$\dot{p}_\theta = \frac{p_\phi^2 \cos \theta}{mr^2 \sin^3 \theta}$$

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\phi} = \frac{p_\phi}{mr^2 \sin^2 \theta}$$

$$\dot{p}_\phi = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi} = 0$$

In the 2D problem (or by setting $p_\phi = 0$), we find

$$\dot{r} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_r} = \frac{p_r}{m}$$

$$\dot{p}_r = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{mr^3} p_\theta^2 = V'(r)$$

$$\dot{\theta} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\theta} = \frac{p_\theta}{mr^2}$$

$$\dot{p}_\theta = 0$$

The last equation implies $p_\theta = \text{const.}$ and we can then solve the first two equations treating p_θ as a constant.

Also note that the first two equations are directly derived from the Hamiltonian by setting $p_\phi = \text{const.}$ (Show this!)

Another example:

Consider a relativistic particle of mass m
How to write the energy and momentum?

$$E = \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}} = \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{1-\dot{x}^2/c^2}}$$

Recall the gamma factor
$$\gamma(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}}$$

$$p = \frac{mv}{\sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}} = \frac{m\dot{x}}{\sqrt{1-\dot{x}^2/c^2}}$$

Now the energy can be written as

$$E^2 = c^2 p^2 + m^2 c^4$$

$$\text{So } H = E = \sqrt{p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4}$$

What is the Lagrangian?

$$L = p \dot{x} - H = \frac{m \dot{x}^2}{\sqrt{1-\dot{x}^2/c^2}} - \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{1-\dot{x}^2/c^2}} = -m \sqrt{1-\dot{x}^2/c^2}$$

So the action reads

$$S = -m \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \sqrt{1-\dot{x}^2/c^2}$$

$$= -m \int_{T_0}^{T_1} d\tau$$

$$= -m \Delta \tau \Big|_{t_0}^{t_1}$$

invariant
under Lorentz
transformation

$$\Delta t = \frac{\Delta \tau}{\sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}}$$

Dilation of
time

Proper time, or time elapsed
on clock that travels along path

Variational Principle for the Hamiltonian

We showed that the Lagrangian follows from a variational principle.

$$\delta \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L dt = 0$$

where the coordinates at the endpoints were fixed $\delta q(t_0) = \delta q(t_1) = 0$

Can we formulate a similar variational principle in terms of the Hamiltonian? Yes!

To construct the variational principle, let's try something

$$S = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L dt = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} [p_k \dot{q}^k - H(q, p)] dt$$

we have inverted the equation
 $H = p_k \dot{q}^k - L$

We know that $\delta S = 0 \Rightarrow \delta L = 0$ if $\delta \dot{q}^k(t_0) = \delta \dot{q}^k(t_1) = 0$
 and variations of \dot{q} is not independent of variations of q because

$$\delta \dot{q} = \frac{d}{dt} (\delta q)$$

i.e., the variation $\delta q(t)$ also determines $\delta \dot{q}(t)$.

This means that the variation of $p(q, \dot{q})$ is also determined from that of q . But what if we treat their variation independently?

Compute

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S &= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \left[\delta p_k \dot{q}^k + p_k \delta \dot{q}^k - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_k} \delta p_k - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^k} \delta q^k \right] \\ &= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \left[\delta p_k \left(\dot{q}^k - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_k} \right) + p_k \delta \dot{q}^k - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^k} \delta q^k \right] \\ &\quad \text{integration by parts to remove dot from } \delta q \\ &= p_k \delta q^k \Big|_{t_0}^{t_1} + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \left[\delta p_k \left(\dot{q}^k - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_k} \right) - \delta \dot{q}^k \left(p_k - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{q}^k} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Now impose $\delta q^\vee(t_0) = \delta q^\vee(t_1) = 0$ & find $\delta S = 0$

\Rightarrow Hamilton's equations



Interestingly, there is no need to impose any constraints on $p_\alpha(t_0)$ and $p_\alpha(t_1)$

This form of the variational principle is called the Hamilton principle.

A side note on QM

Remember $\langle q_1, t_1 | q_0, t_0 \rangle = \int_{\text{All paths from } (q_0, t_0) \text{ to } (q_1, t_1)} e^{i \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L dt}$

In the Hamiltonian language, the equivalent description becomes

$$\langle q_1, t_1 | q_0, t_0 \rangle = \int_{\text{All trajectories in "phase space" } (q, p) \text{ with fixed } q\text{'s at } t_0 \text{ and } t_1 \text{ and all values of } p\text{'s}} e^{i \int_{t_0}^{t_1} [p \dot{q} - H] dt}$$

wavefunctions only depend on q 's and not on both q 's and p 's

A sketch of the proof:

First: $e^{i \int_t^{t+dt} p \dot{q}} = e^{i p_t (q_{t+dt} - q_t)} = \langle q_{t+dt} | p_t \rangle \langle p_t | q_t \rangle$

Second $e^{-i \int_t^{t+dt} H(p, q)} \approx 1 - i \int_t^{t+dt} H(p, q) = 1 - i \frac{\langle q_t | H | p_t \rangle}{\langle q_t | p_t \rangle}$