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**Abstract**

The polarization of light is studied using combinations of linear polarizing films, reflective surfaces, and a quarter wave plate. To get quantitative data, a power meter is used to measure the amount of light being transmitted through a system. It’s found that reflected light is polarized in a plane along the traveling ray of light but perpendicular to the plane made by the incoming, refracted and reflected rays. When the orientation of incoming light is perpendicular to that of the polarized filter, light does not pass but using another filter in between can negate that effect. Quarter wave plates can be used to take polarized light and make it circularly rotate.

**Introduction**

A Michaelson Interferometer is an optical set up that allows for the measurement of a light’s wavelength. It does this by splitting the beam of light in two, and directing each along separate optical arms of adjustable length before re-aligning them and sending them to a diagnostic. In this lab, our eye served as our diagnostic.

After the light is split, it travels down its respective arm, and reflects off a mirror. In one axis, the mirror can be pivoted so that the beams are better aligned once re-combined. The other axis has a mirror who’s position along its axis can be adjusted using a combination of lever and micrometer. These two beams of light

**Analysis & Discussion**

We first set out to determine whether light can be polarized when reflecting off a glass plate. This was done by letting un-polarized light from a lamp reflect off the glass plate, and up through a polarizing film to our naked eye. By rotating the film, the observed intensity of the reflection could be very nearly completely removed. It was noted that the degree to which this effect was possible depended on the light’s angle of incidence with the plate. This observation of angular dependence on polarized reflection supports the idea that what’s being seen is the effect of Brewsters’ angle, as noted in the introduction. The polarization of reflected light is perpendicular to the plane spanned by the incoming, refracted, and reflected rays. It’s oriented in this direction because of the many dipole moments at the surface—which allow the radiation of transmitted light—also uniquely do not transmit light oriented along the direction of their moments. A situation somewhat analogous to how moving electrons along wires in a wire grid polarizer reflect light in that orientation but allow orientations 90 degrees from it pass through. If we had instead observed light reflecting off of a heated road, the orientation of the reflected light would be the same but due to the fact that the indices of refraction are so much closer to each other their ratio would be closer to one and Brewsters angle would be much larger. Meaning that if one were to manufacture sunglasses, they’d want the polarizing media to be oriented in the vertical direction (if it were a grid, the wires oriented horizontally).

A green laser light source was then studied for polarization using the optical set up described in the introduction. It is important to note that the units read out on the meter may not be accurate. Throughout the lab we maintainied range setting of 10 microWatts, and background to be a 0.01 uW. There also appeared to be a capacitive effect in the signal where, with no changes to the system, the power read back would increase for some time in the order of 2 minutes before rapidly decreasing to the initially read value. Effort was made to take our measurements at consistent time periods after having adjusted any system parameter.

When rotating the polarizer, it was clear that the laser light was polarized. Rotating from -85 to +85 degrees, by intervals of 10 ±1 degrees, the power was read out from the meter and logged. This data is tabulated below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Angle | | -85 | | -75 | | -65 | | -55 | | -45 | | -35 | | -25 | | -15 | | -5 |
| Intensity | | 0.475 | | 0.34 | | 0.215 | | 0.125 | | 0.08 | | 0.09 | | 0.15 | | 0.265 | | 0.415 |
| 5 | 15 | | 25 | | 35 | | 45 | | 55 | | 65 | | 75 | | 85 | |
| 0.525 | 0.71 | | 0.82 | | 0.87 | | 0.96 | | 0.98 | | 0.88 | | 0.78 | | 0.66 | |

Plotting this function, its apparent that this is best fitted with a sinusoidal function. By taking values for max, min, oscillation amplitude, and the function of cosine squared, a very good fit was made with an R-Squared value of 0.9972. This function is . Both functions are plotted here:

This is quite nice, and if you were to remove the background, the function approaches zero, meaning that the theory of rejecting all light with orthogonally oriented polarizations can be observed. Something else that’s good to see is that this cosine squared function matches with the equation of intensity seen in the introduction.

A quarter wave plate and a second polarizer are then introduced for analysis of the effect of the plate. The first polarizer was put into place and rotated until the highest power could be read on the meter, then a second polarizer was put into place and rotated until the least light was transmitted. In this way, the difference in orientation of the two is known to be 90 degrees. Now a quarter wave plate is placed between the two polarizers and rotated until rotationally positioned in a way to produce minimum and maximum power readings at the exit of the second polarizer. These angles were read out from tick marks on the outside of the quarter wave plate holder, and were found to be between -6 to -10 degrees for a minimum power of 0.01 x 10 uW, and between 34 and 40 degrees for a maximum power of 0.4 x 10 uW. Taking the average of angles for each point returns about -8 and 37 degrees for the minimum and maximimum points of transmission, the difference of which is about 45 degrees. This result is again very nice as it fits our theory from the introduction. An angle of 45 degrees results in a maximum for our intensity function after a quarter wave plate. Overall the system decreases the intensity by 36%.

It’s likely that our eyes have a higher resolution when using a lamp than the power meter does when using a laser, but this wasn’t tested in the lab. What would have been interesting is to maintain the orientation of the last polarizer and instead slide it along the optical axis in very small increments. What’s likely to have been observed is that a maximum could be found, as the vector is then rotating, it’s polarization is time dependent and will be aligned in a single direction at distances separated by integer wavelengths. In the same token, there should then also be an orientation of the second polarizer which transmits all of the wave through the system.

**Conclusion**

Reflected light off a surface has an angle at which it gets perfectly polarized. This effect was observed when viewing the reflection through a polarizing film and rotating it. Full cancellation of the light could be observed to happen 90 degrees from the angle of maximum transmission.

Light emitted from a laser in the lab was found to be polarized by using the same method as with the reflected light but using a meter which detected the lights power at the exit of the system. A plot of the data matched as well as could be expected to the theoretical equation with an R Squared value of 0.9972.

Just as orienting a polarizer perpendicular with an incoming wave will block all the light, this is assured with two polarizing films oriented at angles orthogonal to each other. Though some light can be expected to pass through when placing a third polarizer in-between, all light can be passed through the second when using a quarter wave plate as it’s effect is to circularly polarize the light. The maximum field amplitude of such light will reoccur at locations separated distances of integer wavelength.