

commit-ish vs tree-ish (see also `man gitrevisions`)

From the above stack overflow answer:

Commit-ish

Commit-ish/Tree-ish	Examples
<sha1>	dae86e1950b1277e545cee180551750029cfe735
<describeOutput>	v1.7.4.2-679-g3bee7fb
<refname>	master, heads/master, refs/heads/master
<refname>@{<date>}	master@{yesterday}, HEAD@{5 minutes ago}
<refname>@{<n>}	master@{1}
@{<n>}	@{1}
@{-<n>}	@{-1}
<refname>@{upstream}	master@{upstream}, @{u}
<rev>^	HEAD^, v1.5.1 ⁰
<rev>~<n>	master~3
<rev><type>	v0.99.8 ^{commit}
<rev>nil	v0.99.8 ^{nil}
<rev>/<text>	HEAD/fix nasty bug
:/<text>	:/fix nasty bug

Tree-ish

Tree-ish only	Examples
<rev>:<path>	HEAD:README, :README, master:./README
Tree-ish?	Examples
:<n>:<path>	:0:README, :README