Computer Networking-lab1-Repot

课程名称: 计算机网络 任课教师: 田臣/李文中

学院	Dept. of Computer Science and Technology	专业 (方向)	cs
学号	181830044	姓名	董宸郅
Email	pdon#foxmail.com	开始/完成日期	3.19/3.25

实验名称: Leaning Switch

实验目的

- 深入理解switch的工作原理
- 理解并实现具有"学习能力"的switch
- 进一步熟悉switchyard的代码接口和整体框架
- 加强在实验环境下调试代码的能力

实验内容

理论知识

Switch概念解析

Switch基于mac地址识别,能完成封装转发数据功能的设备。可以记录一定数量的mac地址,放在**内部地址表**中,通过在数据帧的始发者和接收者之间建立临时的交换路径,使数据从源地址到达目的地址。(与之相比,Hub是一种共享设备,本身不能识别目的地址。采用广播的形式传输数据,即向所有端口传送数据。)

ARP

地址解析协议(Address Resolution Protocol),是根据IP地址获取物理地址的一个TCP/IP协议。主机发送信息时将**包含目标IP地址的ARP请求广播到局域网络上的所有主机**,并接收返回消息,以此确定目标的物理地址;收到返回消息后将该IP地址和物理地址**存入本机ARP缓存中并保留一定时间**,下次请求时直接查询ARP缓存以节约资源。

实验步骤(含结果与关键代码)

注:

- ①对于之后几个Task中与Task 2基本一致的代码不再专门说明展示,将只说明其特有的关键代码
- ②Deploy阶段的mininet拓扑结构即为默认提供的结构:中央的switch分别连接server1、server2、client。

Task 2: Basic Switch

Coding

```
# add an empty heapq to store forwarding rules
# each element of tab should be a tuple like: (traffic, host, intf) --
>traffic means the number of packets related
# when a heapq consists of tuples, it is organized based on the tuples' first elements
tab = []
```

```
# recording section
 2
    # src already recorded
 3
    if packet[0].src in [rule[1] for rule in tab]:
 4
        # find the corresbonding iterator for the current host
 5
        cur = iter(tab)
 6
        for rule in tab:
 7
            if rule[1] == packet[0].src:
                 cur = rule
 8
 9
                 break
        # first delete, then add a new one
10
11
        new_rule = (cur[0], cur[1], input_port)
12
        tab.remove(cur)
13
        tab.append(new_rule)
14
        heapq.heapify(tab)
15
   # src not recorded yet
16
    else:
17
        # forwarding table is full
18
        if len(tab) == max_rules:
19
            heapq.heappop(tab)
20
        tab.append((0, packet[0].src, input_port))
        heapq.heapify(tab)
21
```

```
# forwarding section
    # dst already recorded
 3
    if packet[0].dst in [rule[1] for rule in tab]:
 4
        # find the corresbonding iterator for the current host
 5
        cur = iter(tab)
        for rule in tab:
 6
 7
            if rule[1] == packet[0].dst:
 8
                 cur = rule
 9
        log_debug ("Flooding packet {} to {}".format(packet, cur[2]))
10
11
        net.send_packet(cur[2], packet)
12
        new\_rule = (cur[0]+1, cur[1], cur[2])
13
        tab.remove(cur)
        tab.append(new_rule)
14
15
        heapq.heapify(tab)
16
    # dst not recorded yet
17
    else:
18
        # do nothing if dst is the switch itself
        if packet[0].dst not in mymacs:
19
20
            for intf in my_interfaces:
21
                 if input_port != intf.name:
22
                     log_debug ("Flooding packet {} to {}".format(packet,
    intf.name))
23
                     net.send_packet(intf.name, packet)
```

server1:

```
No. Time Source Destination Protocol Length Info
10.0000000000 30:00:00:00:00:00:Broadcast ARP 42 Who has 192.168.100.17 Tell 192.168.100.3
20.116833379 Private_00:00:... 30:00:00:00:00... ARP 42 192.168.100.1 is at 10:00:00:00:00:00:00
30.669877596 192.168.100.3 192.168.100.1 ICMP 98 Echo (ping) request id=0x0bec, seq=1/256, ttl=64 (reply in 4)
40.793030851 192.168.100.1 192.168.100.3 ICMP 98 Echo (ping) request id=0x0bec, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (reply in 6)
50.996696301 192.168.100.3 192.168.100.1 ICMP 98 Echo (ping) request id=0x0bec, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (reply in 6)
61.096822978 192.168.100.1 192.168.100.3 ICMP 98 Echo (ping) reply id=0x0bec, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (request in 5)
75.934673661 Private_00:00:... 30:00:00:00:00... ARP 42 Who has 192.168.100.3 request id=0x0bec, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (request in 5)
86.396574253 30:00:00:00:00:00... Private_00:00:... ARP 42 192.168.100.3 is at 30:00:00:00:00:00:01
```

server2:

```
No. | Time | Source | Destination | Protocol | Length | Info | 1 0.000000000 | 30:00:00:00:00... Broadcast | ARP | 42 Who has 192.168.100.1? Tell 192.168.100.3
```

在client的cli中输入以下命令,以向server1发出两次请求:

```
1 | ping -c 2 192.168.100.1
```

根据上述截图,由于server2有且仅有一次收到ARP包询问是否拥有server1的IP地址,可知第一次请求时switch不知道server1的mac地址,第二次则已完成记录,直接发给server1,验证了basic learning的逻辑。

Task 3: Timeouts

Coding

```
# add an empty dict intended for host-(intf,last_time) pairs
tab={}

# when new packet comes, record its information or update recorded information

# since 'dictionary' is used and its keys(hosts' mac addresses) are all unique, the following line can do it all

tab[packet[0].src] = {'intf': input_port, 'last': time.time()}

# delete timeout entries in the forwarding table
for host in list(tab):
    if time.time()-tab[host]['last'] > 10:
        del tab[host]
```

Testing

```
Passed:

An Ethernet frame with a broadcast destination address should arrive on eth1

The Ethernet frame with a broadcast destination address should be forwarded out ports eth0 and eth2

An Ethernet frame from 20:00:00:00:00:01 to 30:00:00:00:02 should arrive on eth0

Ethernet frame destined for 30:00:00:00:02 should arrive on eth1 after self-learning

Timeout for 20s

An Ethernet frame from 20:00:00:00:00:1 to 30:00:00:00:00:00 to 30:00:00:00 to 25:00 to 25:00 to 25:00 to 25:00 to 25:00 to 25:00 to 25:00:00:00:00 to 30:00:00:00:00 to 30:00:00:00:00 to 30:00:00:00:00 to 25:00 to 30:00:00:00:00 to 30:00:00:00 to 30:00:00:00:00 to 30:00:00:00 to 30:00:00:00 to 30:00:00:00 to 30:00:00:00 to 30:00:00 to 30:00 to 3
```

Deploying

server1:

server2:

```
No. Time Source Destination Protocol Length. Info
____ 10.0000000000 192.168.100.3 192.168.100.1 ICMP 98 Echo (ping) request id=0x0d4f, seq=1/256, ttl=64 (no response found!)
____ 253.643611694 192.168.100.3 192.168.100.1 ICMP 98 Echo (ping) request id=0x0d53, seq=1/256, ttl=64 (no response found!)
```

在client的cli中输入以下命令,以向server1发出四次请求(分两次发送,且**间隔时间大于10s**):

```
ping -c 2 192.168.100.1
    # more than 10s later
ping -c 2 192.168.100.1
```

从上述截图看出, server2收到两次client对server1的请求。

第一次请求时switch不知道server1的地址,进行记录后第二次请求不需要再次广播。

第三次请求时,由于已经过了规定的10s,由**timeout**机制,记录被清除,所以再次广播,到了第四次,就和第二次一样,直接发给server1。

从而,验证了timeout逻辑。

Task 4: Least Recently Used

Coding

```
# add an empty heapq to store forwarding rules
# each element of tab should be a tuple like: (last_time, host, intf)
# when a heapq consists of tuples, it is organized based on the tuples' first elements
# therefore the least recently used rule(its 'last_time' being the 'least' when treated as a number) is always at the front, which is what we expect
tab = []
```

```
1 # recording section
    # src already recorded
 3
    if packet[0].src in [rule[1] for rule in tab]:
 4
        # find the corresbonding iterator for the current host
 5
        cur = iter(tab)
 6
        for rule in tab:
 7
            if rule[1] == packet[0].src:
 8
                cur = rule
 9
                break
10
        # adjust the heapq
11
        # first delete, then add a new one since it's a tuple
12
        tab.remove(cur)
13
    # src not recorded yet
14
   else:
15
        # forwarding table is full
        if len(tab) == max_rules:
16
17
            heapq.heappop(tab)
18
   tab.append((time.time(), packet[0].src, input_port))
19
   heapq.heapify(tab)
```

```
1 # forwarding section
 2
    # dst already recorded
 3
    if packet[0].dst in [rule[1] for rule in tab]:
 4
        # find the corresbonding iterator for the current host
 5
        cur = iter(tab)
 6
        for rule in tab:
 7
            if rule[1] == packet[0].dst:
                cur = rule
 8
 9
                break
10
        log_debug ("Flooding packet {} to {}".format(packet, cur[2]))
11
        net.send_packet(cur[2], packet)
12
        # adjust the heapq
        # first delete, then add a new one since it's a tuple
13
14
        new_rule = (time.time(), cur[1], cur[2])
15
        tab.remove(cur)
16
        tab.append(new_rule)
17
        heapq.heapify(tab)
18
    # dst not recorded yet
19
    else:
        # do nothing if dst is the switch itself
20
21
        if packet[0].dst not in mymacs:
22
            for intf in my_interfaces:
23
                if input_port != intf.name:
24
                    log_debug ("Flooding packet {} to {}".format(packet,
    intf.name))
25
                    net.send_packet(intf.name, packet)
26
```

Testing

Passed:

- 1 An Ethernet frame with a broadcast destination address should arrive on eth1
- 2 The Ethernet frame with a broadcast destination address should be forwarded out ports eth0, eth2, eth3 and eth4
- 3 An Ethernet frame from 20:00:00:00:00:01 to 30:00:00:00:00:02 should arrive on etho
- 4 Ethernet frame destined for 30:00:00:00:00:02 should arrive on eth1 after self-learning
- 5 An Ethernet frame from 20:00:00:00:00:03 to 30:00:00:00:00:02 should arrive on eth2
- 6 Ethernet frame destined for 30:00:00:00:00:02 should arrive on eth1 after self-learning
- 7 An Ethernet frame from 30:00:00:00:00:04 to 20:00:00:00:00:01 should arrive on eth3
- 8 Ethernet frame destined to 20:00:00:00:00:01 should arrive on eth0 after self-learning
- 9 An Ethernet frame from 20:00:00:00:00:01 to 30:00:00:00:00:04 should arrive on eth0
- 10 Ethernet frame destined to 20:00:00:00:00:01 should arrive on eth3 after self-learning
- 11 An Ethernet frame from 40:00:00:00:00:05 to 20:00:00:00:00:01 should arrive on eth4
- 12 Ethernet frame destined to 20:00:00:00:00:01 should arrive on eth0 after self-learning
- 13 An Ethernet frame from 30:00:00:00:00:05 to 20:00:00:00:00:01 should arrive on eth4
- 14 Ethernet frame destined to 20:00:00:00:00:01 should arrive on eth0 after self-learning
- 15 An Ethernet frame from 20:00:00:00:00:05 to 30:00:00:00:00:02 should arrive on eth4
- 16 Ethernet frame destined to 30:00:00:00:00:02 should be flooded to eth0, eth1, eth2 and eth3
- 17 An Ethernet frame should arrive on eth2 with destination address the same as eth2's MAC address
- 18 The hub should not do anything in response to a frame arriving with a destination address referring to the hub itself.

All tests passed!

Deploying

server1:

No.	Time Source	Destina	nation Protoc	icol Length Infi	o						
7	10.000000000 192.1			MP 98 E	cho (ping)	request	id=0x0e1d,	seq=1/256,	ttl=64 (reply in	2)
4	20.103000481 192.1	.68.100.1 192	2.168.100.3 ICM	MP 98 E	cho (ping)	reply	id=0x0e1d,	seq=1/256,	ttl=64 (request:	in 1)
	3 0.941412336 192.1	.68.100.3 192	2.168.100.1 ICM	MP 98 E	cho (ping)	request	id=0x0e1d,	seq=2/512,	ttl=64 ((reply in	4)
	41.041711176 192.1	.68.100.1 192	2.168.100.3 ICM	MP 98 E	cho (ping)	reply	id=0x0e1d,	seq=2/512,	ttl=64 (request :	in 3)
	5 5.082535485 30:00	:00:00:00:01 Pri	vate_00:00:01 ARP	P 42 W	ho has 192.:	168.100.1	? Tell 192.	168.100.3			
	65.182721656 Priva	te_00:00:01 30:	00:00:00:00:01 ARP	P 42 19	92.168.100.	1 is at 1	0:00:00:00:	00:01			
	75.192113306 Priva	te_00:00:01 30:	00:00:00:00:01 ARP	P 42 W	ho has 192.:	168.100.3	? Tell 192.	168.100.1			
	85.602972490 30:00	:00:00:00:01 Pri	vate_00:00:01 ARP	P 42 19	92.168.100.	3 is at 3	0:00:00:00:	00:01			
	9 9 . 0 9 9 9 4 9 3 5 7 3 0 : 0 6	:00:00:00:01 Bro	adcast ARP	P 42 W	ho has 192.:	168.100.2	? Tell 192.	168.100.3			
	10 29.928844407 192.1	.68.100.3 192	2.168.100.1 ICM	MP 98 E	cho (ping)	request	id=0x0e20,	seq=1/256,	ttl=64 ((reply in	11)
	11 30.028946144 192.1	.68.100.1 192	2.168.100.3 ICM	MP 98 E	cho (ping)	reply	id=0x0e20,	seq=1/256,	ttl=64 (request :	in 10)
	12 30.881218559 192.1	.68.100.3 192	2.168.100.1 ICM	MP 98 E	cho (ping)	request	id=0x0e20,	seq=2/512,	ttl=64 (reply in	13)
L	13 30.982214852 192.1	68.100.1 192	2.168.100.3 ICM	MP 98 E	cho (ping)	reply	id=0x0e20,	seq=2/512,	ttl=64 (request :	in 12)
	14 35.113281397 30:00	:00:00:00:01 Pri	vate_00:00:01 ARP	P 42 W	ho has 192.:	168.100.1	? Tell 192.	168.100.3			
	15 35.215979139 Priva	te_00:00:01 30:	00:00:00:00:01 ARP	P 42 19	92.168.100.	1 is at 1	0:00:00:00:	00:01			

server2:

```
ICMP 98 Echo (ping) request id=0x0e1d, seq=1/256, ttl=64 (no response found!)
 1 0.000000000 192.168.100.3
                                                                192.168.100.1
 29.099949461 30:00:00:00:01 Broadcast ARP 39.205943341 20:00:00:00:00:01 30:00:00:00:00:01 ARP
                                                                                                                42 192.168.100.2 is at 20:00:00:00:00:01
                                                                                                               98 Echo (ping) request id=0x0e1e, seq=1/256, ttl=64 (reply in 5)
98 Echo (ping) reply id=0x0e1e, seq=1/256, ttl=64 (request in 4)
98 Echo (ping) request id=0x0e1e, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (reply in 7)
98 Echo (ping) reply id=0x0e1e, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (reply in 7)
98 Echo (ping) reply id=0x0e1e, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (request in 6)
42 Who has 192.168.100.37 Tell 192.168.100.2
                                                               192.168.100.2
192.168.100.3
192.168.100.2
192.168.100.3
 4 9.632413597 192.168.100.3
5 9.734988380 192.168.100.2
                                                                                                  ICMP
                                                                                                 ICMP
 6 10.070383175 192.168.100.3
7 10.170648244 192.168.100.2
                                                                                                  ICMP
ICMP
 8 14.916069766 20:00:00:00:00:01 30:00:00:00:00:01 ARP
 9 15.316532769 30:00:00:00:00:01 20:00:00:00:00:01 ARP 10:029.928844717 192.168.100.3 192.168.100.1 ICMP
                                                                                                               42 192.168.100.3 is at 30:00:00:00:00:01
98 Echo (ping) request id=0x0e20, seq=1/256, ttl=64 (no response found!)
10 29.928844717 192.168.100.3
```

在client的cli中输入以下命令:

```
ping -c 2 192.168.100.1
ping -c 2 192.168.100.2
ping -c 2 192.168.100.2
ping -c 2 192.168.100.1
```

首先,设置**最多容纳的rule数目为2**。

在最开始的client对server1的两次请求中,结果与之前task一致。

之后,进行若干次client对server2的请求,使server2的以达到清除有关server1对应端口信息的目的。

最后,再次进行client对server1的请求,server2的wireshark记录显示其再次被询问,从而验证了**LRU**逻辑。

Task 5: Least Traffic Volume

Coding

```
# add an empty heapq to store forwarding rules
# each element of tab should be a tuple like: (traffic, host, intf) --
>traffic means the number of packets related
# when a heapq consists of tuples, it is organized based on the tuples' first elements
# tab = []
```

```
# recording section
 1
    # src already recorded
 3
    if packet[0].src in [rule[1] for rule in tab]:
        # find the corresbonding iterator for the current host
 4
 5
        cur = iter(tab)
        for rule in tab:
 6
 7
            if rule[1] == packet[0].src:
 8
                 cur = rule
 9
                break
10
        # adjust the heapq
11
        # first delete, then add a new one since it's a tuple
12
        new_rule = (cur[0],cur[1],input_port)
13
        tab.remove(cur)
        tab.append(new_rule)
14
15
        heapq.heapify(tab)
```

```
# src not recorded yet
else:

# forwarding table is full
if len(tab) == max_rules:
heapq.heappop(tab)
tab.append((0, packet[0].src, input_port))
heapq.heapify(tab)
```

```
# forwarding section
 2
    # dst already recorded
 3
    if packet[0].dst in [rule[1] for rule in tab]:
        # find the corresbonding iterator for the current host
 5
        cur = iter(tab)
 6
        for rule in tab:
 7
            if rule[1] == packet[0].dst:
 8
                cur = rule
 9
                break
10
        log_debug ("Flooding packet {} to {}".format(packet, cur[2]))
11
        net.send_packet(cur[2], packet)
12
        # add traffic volume and adjust the heapq
13
        # first delete, then add a new one since it's a tuple
14
        new\_rule = (cur[0]+1, cur[1], cur[2])
15
        tab.remove(cur)
16
        tab.append(new_rule)
17
        heapq.heapify(tab)
18
   # dst not recorded yet
19
        # do nothing if dst is the switch itself
20
21
        if packet[0].dst not in mymacs:
22
            for intf in my_interfaces:
23
                if input_port != intf.name:
24
                    log_debug ("Flooding packet {} to {}".format(packet,
    intf.name))
25
                    net.send_packet(intf.name, packet)
26
```

Testing

(此处提供的Test不全面)

```
Passed:
   An Ethernet frame with a broadcast destination address
   should arrive on eth1
   The Ethernet frame with a broadcast destination address
   should be forwarded out ports eth0 and eth2
   30:00:00:00:00:02 should arrive on eth0
   Ethernet frame destined for 30:00:00:00:00:02 should arrive
   on eth1 after self-learning
   An Ethernet frame from 20:00:00:00:00:03 to
   30:00:00:00:00:03 should arrive on eth2
   Ethernet frame destined for 30:00:00:00:00:03 should be
   flooded on eth0 and eth1
   An Ethernet frame should arrive on eth2 with destination
   address the same as eth2's MAC address
   The switch should not do anything in response to a frame
   arriving with a destination address referring to the switch
   itself.
```

Deploying

server1:

No.	Time	Source	Destination	Protocol Len	gth Info
	10.000000000		Broadcast		42 Who has 192.168.100.1? Tell 192.168.100.3
	20.100596398	Private_00:00:01	30:00:00:00:00:01	ARP	42 192.168.100.1 is at 10:00:00:00:01
	3 0.523463791	192.168.100.3	192.168.100.1	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) request id=0x120d, seq=1/256, ttl=64 (reply in 4)
	40.625066689	192.168.100.1	192.168.100.3	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) reply id=0x120d, seq=1/256, ttl=64 (request in 3)
	5 1.046257343	192.168.100.3	192.168.100.1	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) request id=0x120d, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (reply in 6)
	6 1.149830414	192.168.100.1	192.168.100.3	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) reply id=0x120d, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (request in 5)
	75.964527079	Private_00:00:01	30:00:00:00:00:01	ARP	42 Who has 192.168.100.3? Tell 192.168.100.1
	8 6.380728832	30:00:00:00:00:01	Private_00:00:01	ARP	42 192.168.100.3 is at 30:00:00:00:00:01
	9 12.084815042	30:00:00:00:00:01	Broadcast	ARP	42 Who has 192.168.100.2? Tell 192.168.100.3
	10 38.318007216	192.168.100.3	192.168.100.1	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) request id=0x1211, seq=1/256, ttl=64 (reply in 11)
	11 38.418618476	3 192.168.100.1	192.168.100.3	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) reply id=0x1211, seq=1/256, ttl=64 (request in 10)
	12 39.282417178	3 192.168.100.3	192.168.100.1	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) request id=0x1211, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (reply in 13)
	13 39.382510186	3 192.168.100.1	192.168.100.3	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) reply id=0x1211, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (request in 12)
	14 43.383744011	30:00:00:00:00:01	Private_00:00:01	ARP	42 Who has 192.168.100.1? Tell 192.168.100.3
	15 43.484072978	Private_00:00:01	30:00:00:00:00:01	ARP	42 192.168.100.1 is at 10:00:00:00:00:01

server2:

No.	Time	Source	Destination	Protocol Les	
	10.000000000	30:00:00:00:00:01	Broadcast	ARP	42 Who has 192.168.100.1? Tell 192.168.100.3
	2 12.084815594	130:00:00:00:00:01	Broadcast	ARP	42 Who has 192.168.100.2? Tell 192.168.100.3
	3 12.185314046	3 20:00:00:00:00:01	30:00:00:00:00:01	ARP	42 192.168.100.2 is at 20:00:00:00:01
	4 12.614372160	192.168.100.3	192.168.100.2	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) request id=0x120e, seq=1/256, ttl=64 (reply in 5)
	5 12.729434589	9 192.168.100.2	192.168.100.3	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) reply id=0x120e, seq=1/256, ttl=64 (request in 4)
	6 13.148472439	9 192.168.100.3	192.168.100.2	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) request id=0x120e, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (reply in 7)
	7 13.249902982	2 192.168.100.2	192.168.100.3	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) reply id=0x120e, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (request in 6)
	8 17.909440732	2 20:00:00:00:00:01	30:00:00:00:00:01	ARP	42 Who has 192.168.100.3? Tell 192.168.100.2
	9 18 . 429659223	130:00:00:00:00:01	20:00:00:00:00:01	ARP	42 192.168.100.3 is at 30:00:00:00:01
	10 29.104384162	2 192.168.100.3	192.168.100.2	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) request id=0x1210, seq=1/256, ttl=64 (reply in 11)
	11 29.205198949	9 192.168.100.2	192.168.100.3	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) reply id=0x1210, seq=1/256, ttl=64 (request in 10)
	12 30.061852196	5 192.168.100.3	192.168.100.2	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) request id=0x1210, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (reply in 13)
	13 30.161972749	9 192.168.100.2	192.168.100.3	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) reply id=0x1210, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (request in 12)
	14 38.318007988	3 192.168.100.3	192.168.100.1	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) request id=0x1211, seg=1/256, ttl=64 (no response found!)

在client的cli中输入以下命令:

```
1 ping -c 2 192.168.100.1
2 ping -c 2 192.168.100.2
3 ping -c 2 192.168.100.2
4 ping -c 2 192.168.100.1
```

首先,设置最多容纳的rule数目为2。

在最开始的client对server1的两次请求中,结果与之前task一致。

之后,进行若干次client对server2的请求,增加server2的traffic_volume,以达到清除有关server1对应端口信息的目的。

最后,再次进行client对server1的请求,server2的wireshark记录显示其再次被询问,从而验证了**Traffic**逻辑。

总结与感想

这次实验总体的代码量其实不算多,整体逻辑也比较清晰,但可能是因为对Python不熟悉的原因,很多时候想要实现一个feature却不知道该用什么合适的内置数据结构和方法,于是还要上网查阅,相对比较费时间。不过,从另一个角度来看,这也许是计网实验给我的另一个机遇,毕竟掌握一门语言最好的方式就是实战运用。

如果说lab_1还是熟悉实验环境,这次就算是小试牛刀了吧。对相关工具的运用也有了进步,本来只是跟着教程重复,现在可以比较深入地理解其内部逻辑,甚至自己主动去探索进一步的用法。

最后,祝愿我们的Q&A小园地能越办越好,方便大家讨论的同时也可能造福以后的学弟学妹!!

总结与感想