# **Assignment 5**

- 1. Choose a regression dataset (bikeshare is allowed), perform a test/train split, and build a regression model (just like in assingnment 3), and calculate the
  - Training Error (MSE, MAE)
  - Testing Error (MSE, MAE)
- 2. Choose a classification dataset (not the adult.data set, The UCI repository has many datasets as well as Kaggle), perform test/train split and create a classification model (your choice but DecisionTree is fine). Calculate
  - Accuracy
  - Confusion Matrix
  - Classification Report
- 3. (Bonus) See if you can improve the classification model's performance with any tricks you can think of (modify features, remove features, polynomial features)

```
In [175...
         import numpy as np
         import pandas as pd
          import seaborn as sns
         import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
         %matplotlib inline
         from sklearn import linear model
         from sklearn.model selection import train test split
          from sklearn.metrics import (mean squared error,
                                       mean absolute error,
                                       accuracy score,
                                       classification report,
                                       confusion matrix, auc, roc curve)
```

### Question 1

Choose a regression dataset (bikeshare is allowed), perform a test/train split, and build a regression model (just like in assingnment 3), and calculate the

- Training Error (MSE, MAE)
- Testing Error (MSE, MAE)

Adrian

Agnes Scott

College

1428

417

Yes

Yes

2

3

```
In [176...
          college = pd.read csv('../data/College.csv')
          college.head()
```

22

60

50

89

537

1227

99

63

1036

510

7440

12280

11250

12960

#### Out[176]: **Unnamed:** Private Apps Accept Enroll Top10perc Top25perc F.Undergrad P.Undergrad Outstate 0 Abilene 0 Christian Yes 1660 1232 721 23 52 2885 University Adelphi 2186 1924 512 16 29 2683 Yes University

336

137

1097

349

```
4
                  Pacific
                            Yes
                                  193
                                          146
                                                 55
                                                            16
                                                                       44
                                                                                  249
                                                                                               869
                                                                                                       7560
               University
In [177... | df = college[['S.F.Ratio','Grad.Rate']]
          df = df[(df['Grad.Rate'] <= 100) & (df['S.F.Ratio'] < 35)]</pre>
          x = np.array(df['S.F.Ratio']).reshape(-1, 1)
          y = np.array(df['Grad.Rate'])
          plt.scatter(x,y)
           <matplotlib.collections.PathCollection at 0x7fcc42cfca00>
Out[177]:
          100
           80
           60
           40
           20
                    Ė.
                            10
                                    15
                                            20
                                                    25
                                                             30
          For my regression model, I chose the College dataset to regress the dependent variable of graduation
          rate against student-to-faculty ratio.
In [178...
          x train, x test, y train, y test = train test split(x, y, test size=.25)
In [179... linear = linear_model.LinearRegression()
          linear.fit(x train,y train)
          linear.coef , linear.intercept
           (array([-1.25036188]), 83.13720320362248)
Out[179]:
In [180...] plt.scatter(x,y)
          plt.plot(x, np.dot(x, linear.coef) + linear.intercept)
           [<matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x7fcc336b8f10>]
Out[180]:
          100
           80
           60
           40
           20
                            10
                                            20
```

mean squared error(y test, np.dot(x test, linear.coef ) + linear.intercept )

College

Alaska

```
In [181...
Out[181]: 299.5440736081614

In [182... mean_squared_error(y_train, np.dot(x_train, linear.coef_) + linear.intercept_)
Out[182]: 252.3663149961931

In [183... mean_absolute_error(y_test, np.dot(x_test, linear.coef_) + linear.intercept_)
Out[183]: 13.73567513862891

In [184... mean_absolute_error(y_train, np.dot(x_train, linear.coef_) + linear.intercept_)
Out[184]: 12.67822124125226
```

## Question 2

Choose a classification dataset (not the adult.data set, The UCI repository has many datasets as well as Kaggle), perform test/train split and create a classification model (your choice but DecisionTree is fine). Calculate

- Accuracy
- Confusion Matrix
- Classifcation Report

[185]:		wife_age	wife_edu	husband_edu	num_children	wife_religion	wife_work	husband_job	sol_index	m
	0	24	2	3	3	1	1	2	3	
	1	45	1	3	10	1	1	3	4	
	2	43	2	3	7	1	1	3	4	
	3	42	3	2	9	1	1	3	3	
	4	36	3	3	8	1	1	3	2	

For my classification dataset, I chose UCI's Contraception Method Choice dataset, a subset of the 1987 National Indonesia Contraceptive Prevalence Survey. The samples are married women who were either not pregnant or do not know if they were at the time of interview. The problem is to predict the current contraceptive method choice (no use, long-term methods, or short-term methods) of a woman based on her demographic and socio-economic characteristics.

```
Out[187]: ('wife edu', 0.08542633973340909),
           ('husband edu', 0.06921214948085026),
           ('num children', 0.20241098682850717),
           ('wife religion', 0.04604852009657069),
           ('wife work', 0.04282123283753735),
           ('husband job', 0.09893330963345284),
           ('sol index', 0.10666790090860613),
           ('media exposure', 0.01743715344320727)]
In [188... predictions = clf.predict(x2 test)
         accuracy score(y2 test, predictions)
          0.5
Out[188]:
In [189...
         confusion matrix(y2 test, predictions)
          array([[158, 35, 66],
Out[189]:
                 [ 44, 47,
                             49],
                 [ 58, 43,
                             90]])
In [190... print(classification_report(y2_test, predictions))
                       precision
                                  recall f1-score
                                                        support
                    1
                            0.61
                                      0.61
                                                 0.61
                                                            259
                    2
                            0.38
                                       0.34
                                                 0.35
                                                            140
                            0.44
                                       0.47
                                                 0.45
                                                            191
                                                 0.50
                                                            590
             accuracy
            macro avq
                           0.47
                                       0.47
                                                 0.47
                                                            590
                           0.50
                                       0.50
                                                 0.50
                                                            590
         weighted avg
```

### **Bonus**

See if you can improve the classification model's performance with any tricks you can think of (modify features, remove features, polynomial features).

```
In [191... improve = contra.copy()
  improve['contra_use'] = improve['contra_method']>1
  improve['contra_use'] = improve['contra_use'].astype(int)
  improve.head()
```

Out[191]:		wife_age	wife_edu	husband_edu	num_children	wife_religion	wife_work	husband_job	sol_index	m
	0	24	2	3	3	1	1	2	3	
	1	45	1	3	10	1	1	3	4	
	2	43	2	3	7	1	1	3	4	
	3	42	3	2	9	1	1	3	3	
	4	36	3	3	8	1	1	3	2	

```
('husband_edu', 0.0524814812329207),
            ('num children', 0.23347609013661205),
            ('wife religion', 0.03406725618236256),
            ('wife work', 0.04989072513071268),
            ('husband job', 0.09386182854096084),
            ('sol index', 0.08943011658197891),
            ('media exposure', 0.015964961444605595)]
In [194... predictions2 = clf2.predict(x3 test)
          accuracy score(y3 test, predictions2)
          0.6440677966101694
Out[194]:
          confusion_matrix(y3_test, predictions2)
In [195...
          array([[151, 107],
Out[195]:
                 [103, 229]])
In [196... print(classification report(y3 test, predictions2))
                        precision
                                     recall f1-score
                                                         support
                             0.59
                                       0.59
                                                  0.59
                                                             258
                     1
                             0.68
                                       0.69
                                                  0.69
                                                             332
                                                             590
                                                  0.64
             accuracy
                                                             590
            macro avg
                             0.64
                                       0.64
                                                  0.64
```

After failing to improve accuracy or the classification report after numerous iterations of removing features from my Question 2 model, I chose to re-classify the contraception method column as a dummy variable based on contraception use (short-term and long-term use both classified as 1). With this modification to the dependent variable, I was able to see a marked improvement in the model's accuracy and classification statistics, though precision of no contraception usage (0 in the second model, 1 in the first) dropped slightly.

0.64

590

weighted avg

0.64

0.64