

Allies at Heart? A Study of Ideational Continentalism in Canadians' Foreign Policy Attitudes

Diya Jiang, PhD candidate at McGill University
855 Rue Sherbrooke O, Montreal, QC, H3A 0C4, Canada

Benjamin Toettoe, PhD candidate at Université de Montréal
Pavillon Lionel-Groulx, PO Box 6128, Centre-ville Stn, Montreal, QC, H3C 3J7, Canada

Richard Turcsanyi, Assistant Professor at Palacky University Olomouc
Katedra asijských studií FF UP, tř. Svobody 26, 779 00 Olomouc, Czechia

April 15, 2024

Abstract:

The United States has long represented one of Canada's primary international allies. This partnership has remained strong despite turbulent times in the relationship such as the one brought forth by the Trump presidency. Our paper seeks to understand the sources of such continuity through the lens of continentalism. While historical accounts of continentalism have portrayed it as a passive force stemming from Canada's material self-interest, scholars have recently identified the emergence of an evolved form of continentalism that represents a dominant idea and a coherent analytical framework in Canadian Foreign Policy. Has this new form of continentalism indeed gained widespread acceptance among Canadians? We answer this question by considering continentalism in the ideational realm. Using novel public opinion data, our analysis investigates whether continentalist attitudes have become embedded in Canadians' national identity and foster closer alignment preferences vis-à-vis the United States. We find significant and robust evidence of such effects.

Les États-Unis représentent depuis longtemps l'un des principaux alliés internationaux du Canada. Ce partenariat est resté solide malgré certaines périodes de turbulences, comme celle provoquée par la présidence de Trump. Notre article cherche à comprendre les sources de cette continuité à travers le prisme du continentalisme. Alors que les récits historiques du continentalisme l'ont dépeint comme une force passive découlant de l'intérêt matériel du Canada, les chercheurs ont plus récemment identifié l'émergence d'une forme évoluée de continentalisme qui peut être conceptualisée comme étant une idée dominante et un cadre analytique cohérent dans la politique étrangère canadienne. Cette nouvelle forme de continentalisme a-t-elle été largement adoptée par les citoyens Canadiens ? Nous répondons à cette question en examinant le continentalisme dans le domaine idéationnel. À l'aide de données sondage récoltées récemment, notre analyse cherche à déterminer si certaines attitudes continentalistes sont désormais ancrées dans l'identité nationale du Canada et si elles favorisent des préférences d'alignement plus étroites vis-à-vis des États-Unis. Nous trouvons des preuves significatives et solides de tels effets.

Key words: Continentalism; National Identity; Public Opinion; Foreign Policy; US-Canada Relations

Introduction

“Americans and Canadians are like two people sharing one heart,” US President Joe Biden said during his official visit to Ottawa in March 2023. His speech points to the long-standing and multifaced ties between the two countries. In recent history, it is undeniable that the United States has been Canada’s most significant partner from both a security and economic perspective. However, this partnership has not always been smooth and without hurdles. Times when American and Canadian interests were considered divergent have indeed represented difficult periods in the bilateral relationship (Smythe, 2020). Canadian foreign policy decisions ranging from its refusal to join the US-led coalition in Iraq in the early 2000s to its protests of US policies in the trade and economic realms brought forth by Trump-era protectionism and the enactment of the 2022 Inflation Reduction Act are often pointed to as significant recent points of divergence between the two countries (Wilderman and Chapnick, 2023). The Trump presidency, in particular, introduced new tensions and prompted concerns in Canada about the reliability and trustworthiness of its Southern neighbour (Greaves, 2020).

However, strong elements of continuity in Canadian views and policies toward the United States can be discerned throughout the ups and downs of Canada-US relations. In the policy realm, during the Trump presidency, while many countries’ degree of foreign policy alignment with the United States, including among its longstanding Western and NATO allies, significantly declined, Canada’s remained virtually unchanged (Mosler and Potrafke, 2020). In foreign policy circles, acceptance of the notion that Canada’s security is inherently linked to the United States has remained solid despite the turbulence brought forth by Trump (Massie and Vucetic, 2020). Similarly, while Canadian public opinion has shown strong disapproval toward Trump himself, a majority of Canadians continued to see the United States as a reliable partner during his presidency (Wike et al., 2020). Support for policies such as free trade with

the United States also remained unaffected and has even risen to record levels in the early 2020s (Parkin, 2022).

Understanding the sources of this continuity is key to interpreting current-day Canada-US relations and holds important insights into their future. A shift in the study of continentalism in Canada that has occurred during the past decade offers a promising avenue of explanation. Historically, authors have primarily conceptualized it as an ever-present, but largely passive, force pushing the country toward cooperating and integrating with its Southern neighbour as a result of its geopolitical and economic positioning (Pennington, 2007; Bland and Young, 1988; Roussel, 2004). However, recent studies argue that continentalism has evolved into a more active political project under the Harper government and has emerged as a dominant idea in Canadian foreign policy (Massie and Roussel, 2013). If coherent continentalist attitudes have indeed gained widespread acceptance among Canadian actors, it could help explain recent support for continuity in close relations with the United States, both among political elites and the overall population. However, despite widespread claims supporting the emergence of a new form of continentalism as a meaningful analytical framework and dominant idea in Canadian foreign policy (Hancock, 2015), few have empirically tested the extent to which continentalist attitudes have become embedded in the views of broader Canadian actors, especially the general public.

This article seeks to bridge the above gap through an analysis of present-day public opinion in Canada on foreign policy preferences with the United States. We propose that continentalism, especially expressed in the ideational realm, has become embedded in Canadians' perceived national identity and can thereby shape their preferences on the Canada-US relationship. This argument aligns with the social constructivist school of International Relations in arguing that shared norms and values (or perceptions thereof) embedded in national identity through socialization on the international level, can affect individuals' preferences over foreign policy

(see Wendt, 1999; Goldstein and Keohane, 1993). Specifically, we seek to establish the existence of expressions of *ideational continentalism*, roughly defined as Canadians' perception of shared values, norms, and standards of behaviour with the United States, in Canadians' sense of national identity. Our paper then investigates whether any identified embedded continentalist ideas foster more US-aligned foreign policy attitudes among the public. In doing so, it contributes to understanding whether continentalism has truly become a dominant idea in Canadian society, as has been suggested by several studies over the past decade. We undertake such an analysis using recently collected representative survey data.

To preview our results, we find evidence that the strength of respondents' Canadian identity is significantly and positively tied to their desire to align the country's foreign policy with that of the United States. Importantly, much of the former effect is found to be mediated by embedded ideational continentalist attitudes. This relationship is robust across different provincial settings and the left-right political spectrum. The results provide evidence that continentalist orientations in Canadians' sense of national self are significant in engendering preferences toward closer foreign policy alignment with the United States. Our findings are relevant both theoretically and empirically. They provide evidence that an evolved form of continentalism that goes beyond simple self-interested and rational preferences for ties with the United States has become widespread in Canada. They further support the emergence of continentalism as a dominant idea in Canadian foreign policy. This form of continentalism is likely more resilient to perceptions of diverging interests between the United States and Canada than the realist notion of it having been shown to have existed for much of Canada's history. Relatedly, we suggest that the ideational form of continentalism on which our analysis is centred is long-lasting and is likely strengthened by the recent international developments characterized by rising tensions between the United States and China.

Continentalism in the Canadian Context

For much of Canada's history, continentalism has been portrayed as a largely passive ever-present force stemming from the country's geopolitical and economic positionality. Echoing a realist understanding of the notion (see Inwood, 1997), many argue that continentalism has reflected the rational self-interest of Canada given its geographic, economic, and political proximity with the United States as well as the latter's international primacy (Granatstein, 2007). In these accounts, continentalism is understood as an acknowledgment of positive outcomes associated with linkages between Canadian and American societies, cultures, and economies (Clarkson, 2006) rather than being a fully-fledged, coherent, and purposeful policy strategy or analytical framework (Massie and Roussel, 2013).

Many historical studies focus on the economic and security realms of continentalism. On the former, authors like Duquette (1995) and Martin (1995) have focused on continentalism in trade, treating it as the motivating force behind policies designed to maximize economic benefits for Canada by broadening available markets. Economic continentalism can be traced back to the 19th century, when support for a commercial union with the United States emerged in Canada, largely as a result of practical and self-interested considerations (Pennington, 2007). Support for closer economic ties and integration further grew in the twentieth century concurrently with the United States' increasing economic hegemony. Several studies of economic continentalism have emphasized this period, highlighting the establishment of notable treaties such as the US-Canada Free Trade Agreement in 1988 and the North American Free Trade Agreement in 1994 (Clarkson, 2001; Pennington, 2007).

In the defence realm, continentalism has been treated as a practical necessity given Canada's geopolitical positioning (Bland and Young, 1988; Roussel, 2004). It has frequently been studied as a series of defence policies with an emphasis on the rational benefits stemming from cooperation and integration with the United States (Paquin, 2009; Roussel 2004). Canada's

integration into US defence systems, as institutionalized by the North American Air Defense Command (NORAD) of 1958 and the Defense Production Sharing Arrangement of 1959, has constituted a significant portion of discussions on security continentalism (Clarkson, 2006; Roussel, 2004; Bercuson, 2003). It is interesting to note that Canadian leaders actively refrained from framing continentalist defence policies as being representative of a broader foreign policy strategy or framework. Instead, such actions were showcased as being consistent with a broader internationalist foreign policy, further restraining the emergence of continentalism as a fully-fledged framework, whether in an analytical or policy sense (Roussel, 2014).

From the above, we can conclude what continentalism, as historically conceptualized, is not. As argued by Massie and Roussel (2013), it has, in the past, not constituted a coherent foreign policy strategy in contrast to established dominant ideas and frameworks such as liberal internationalism (Smith and Turenne-Sjolander, 2013). It has also historically not constituted an active political project or been part of a partisan ideology (Granatstein and Hillmer, 1990). This is consistent with studies having shown that few partisan differences in overall diplomatic standing toward the United States existed during the late twentieth century (Vucetic and Ramadanovic, 2020). Relatedly, Bow and Black (2008) have shown that Canada's guiding strategies in navigating its relations with the United States have remained relatively stable between alternating partisan governments.

However, recent studies have shown a shift in expressions of Canadian continentalism over the past decade, challenging the previously prevailing realist conception of the concept. As a result, an increasing number of scholars view continentalism as a coherent analytical framework and a potentially leading paradigm in Canadian foreign policy (Smith and Turenne-Sjolander, 2013; Massie and Roussel, 2013). In particular, Massie and Roussel introduced the notion of "neocontinentalism" as an emerging dominant idea in 2013. In contrast to the notion of

continentalism traditionally embraced by most scholars, neocontinentalism is seen as a political project that actively promotes the idea that Canada can gain influence and secure its interests on the world stage by nurturing its already close relationship with the United States (see Hart, 2008). In addition, it has been linked with partisan and ideological orientations, with Massie and Roussel (2013) showing that it was partially motivated by conservative values and ideals under the Harper government. These studies suggest an evolved form of continentalism, increasingly seen as a coherent analytical framework and political project. Notably, it is increasingly recognized as a dominant idea in Canadian foreign policy, gaining prevalence alongside long-established ones such as liberal internationalism (Roussel and Robichaud, 2004; Dorion-Soulié and Roussel, 2014).

This shift has prompted new directions among researchers, with an increasing number of studies testing whether official policy has indeed become more continentalist through analyses of foreign policy and official rhetoric (Dorion-Soulié and Roussel, 2014; Paquin and Beauregard, 2013; Vucetic and Ramadanovic, 2020). Moreover, in line with the move away from a realist and interest-driven view of continentalism, authors have increasingly incorporated ideational elements into their studies. As demonstrated by recent literature, if we focus on the ideational realm, continentalism can be associated with a particular set of political ideologies (Massie and Roussel, 2013), specific beliefs or worldviews (McLaughlin, 2017), a strategic culture (Massie, 2009), and issue-specific values and judgments (Boucher, 2020). In a broader sense, ideational continentalism in these different realms can be summarized as stemming from perceptions of shared values, norms, and standards of behaviour with the United States. This rising acceptance of ideational and normative elements of continentalism closely mirrors the constructivist turn in IR, which has emphasized the inability of material factors and exogenously assumed interests to fully explain international outcomes (Wendt, 1992).

Despite the renewed interest, few studies have assessed the extent to which this evolved form of continentalism has gained widespread acceptance in Canadian society beyond narrow government elites. In contrast, such analyses have been undertaken regarding competing frameworks such as liberal internationalism (see Munton and Keatin, 2001; Paris, 2014). As shown above, much of the recent work on continentalism focuses on concrete policy actions or the official level (Vucetic and Ramdanovic, 2020; Dorion-Soulié and Roussel, 2014; Paquin and Beauregard, 2013). Several studies have focused on the ideational perspective and studied continentalism as a Canadian strategic culture in recent years (Massie 2009; Nossal et al., 2011). However, if adopting Snyder's (1977) definition of strategic culture, such analyses remain centred on political elites and decision-makers. Whether a novel form of continentalism has gained widespread acceptance among broader Canadian actors including the general public remains an understudied question.

Given the shift away from the realist understanding of continentalism and recent studies that have shown its development into a broader and more coherent political project and an emerging dominant idea since the Harper government (Massie and Roussel, 2013), this can be seen as a significant gap in understanding Canada-US relations and Canadian foreign policy more broadly. Indeed, following Nossal et al.'s (2011: 135) definition of dominant ideas as being shared by "a majority of members" within society, understanding whether a new form of continentalism has truly emerged as a dominant idea in Canada requires investigating the extent to which it has gained acceptance among a broader array of domestic actors. More specifically, given that many studies have empirically demonstrated that national publics hold predictable, principled, and stable preferences on matters of international relations (Herrmann et al., 2009; Rathburn, 2007; Kertzer and Zeitsoff, 2017; Boucher, 2020) and that public opinion holds the potential to significantly constrain Canada's actions on the world stage (Boucher and Nossal, 2015), understanding whether continentalism has become broadly accepted among the general

public is key to determining whether it has indeed become a dominant idea and a fully-fledged analytical framework suitable to the analysis of Canadian foreign policy.

We argue that ideational elements of continentalism, which have increasingly been incorporated into existing studies on the question (McLaughlin, 2017; McKay, 2018), constitute an especially interesting avenue of research since they represent guiding forces in shaping preferences (Nossal et al., 2011) and can be differentiated from simple pragmatic and self-interested preferences for a closer relationship with the United States. Indeed, preferences for closer economic and security ties could simply be attributed to perceived interests associated with the latter's international power, thereby reflecting the traditional realist conception of continentalism. In contrast, our conceptualization of ideational continentalism sees it as stemming from Canadians' perception of shared values, norms, and standards of behaviour with the United States. Such views, whether expressed in cultural, ideological, strategic, or identarian realms, are likely more resilient and less contextual in nature (see Parsons, 1951; Nossal et al., 2011). Especially if they become embedded in individuals' national identities through the processes outlined in constructivist literature, such elements can become embedded in citizens' national identities and represent strong guiding principles for their foreign policy attitudes and preferences. This link will be further explained in the following section.

Transnational Norms, Identity, and Foreign Policy

Based on the above, continentalism has increasingly been recognized as a coherent paradigm and dominant idea in Canadian Foreign Policy in recent years. As part of such a trend, studies have tied the notion to ideational elements such as ideologies, values, and culture (Dumas, 2015). Under the Harper government, scholars have argued that ideational elements of neocontinentalism were tied to perceptions that cooperation and alignment with the United

States were in line with the conservative values espoused by the governing majority (Massie and Roussel, 2013). However, the newly emergent expressions of continentalism seem to have survived the end of the Harper government and continued to be present under Trudeau (Vucetic and Ramadanovic, 2020). Moreover, no empirical research has conclusively established a link between present-day continentalism and conservative ideologies in a stable and context-independent manner. Therefore, we adopt the wider view that continentalism in the ideational realm is manifested by perceptions of shared norms, values, and identities by respective Canadian and American actors. Interestingly, available literature in International Relations has extensively demonstrated that such ideational elements and perceptions often become embedded in the identities of national actors, after which they play a structuring role in shaping foreign policy attitudes and preferences.

The study of national identity has greatly expanded in IR since the early 1990s when the rise of social constructivism took place. If accepting Anderson's (1983) conception of national identities as "imagined communities", it becomes clear that such a notion is inherently malleable and affected by social processes of interaction, including beyond national boundaries. This forms the basis for Wendt's (1992) claim that national identity is constantly reshaped by practice and interactions with other states. Several authors have pointed out that membership in treaties or international organizations plays a role in the international diffusion of norms, values, and standards of practice (see Finnemore and Sikkink, 1998). Through this mechanism, states sharing membership in the same international organizations can incorporate shared normative notions in their respective identities. Risse-Kappen (1997) and Adler and Barnett (1998) specifically showcase how membership in security alliances such as NATO and the OSCE has led to the rise of shared identities, values, and practices among their members. The above studies are particularly relevant to the study of continentalism in the Canadian context. Indeed, authors have shown that dominant ideas such as internationalism, through its

promotion of Canada's membership in numerous international bodies and organizations, have become deeply embedded in Canadians' sense of national self (Turenne-Sjolander, 2013). More specifically on the question of continentalism, the significant extent of institutionalized defence integration and cooperation between the United States and Canada through organizations such as NORAD and NATO make the above mechanism highly relevant.

The same underlying process can be discerned in the economic realm through an examination of classical liberal literature in IR. Indeed, following the findings of authors such as Russett and Oneal (2000), international commercial ties lead to additional interactions between the domestic actors of different countries and can thereby contribute to the development of shared transnational norms, values, and identities. In the North American context, Rankin (2004) found that debates surrounding economic agreements such as NAFTA engender collective values concerning economic integration among the Canadian and American public. On a broader level, authors such as Bow and Santa-Cruz (2012) have argued that diplomatic interactions and the process of negotiating regional economic agreements involving Canada, the United States, and Mexico have created a closer and more aligned identity between Canada and its southern neighbour. Numerous studies focused on economic cooperation between the two have linked these interactions to common norms and ideas (see Macdonald, 2020).

Through the above, a view emerges in which both the economic and security ties and integration that have been attained between the United States and Canada can be seen as leading to the development of identarian and ideational shared traits (or perceptions thereof) among actors from both countries, a phenomenon that can lead to the existence of ideational continentalism. It is clear from existing studies, that its emergence relies at least partially on preexisting ties in material realms. This further justifies our primary focus on ideational continentalism, as it can be considered to be a more deeply embedded form of such a phenomenon which can be differentiated from preferences or policies of alignment that are

more transient and contextual in nature yet rely on the existence of continentalist orientations in more material realms.

While this article focuses on an analysis of public attitudes and does not seek to investigate policy outcomes, it is important to note that numerous scholars have pointed out the constraining effects of national identity on countries' foreign policy orientations. Indeed, we treat continentalism in its ideational realm as an analytical framework helpful for the analysis of the guiding forces behind Canadians' foreign policy attitudes and preferences rather than a policy framework expressed through concrete government actions. However, continentalism in the ideational realm can still have important implications on policy. Authors such as Herrmann et al. (2009) argue that national identity has become especially important since the rise of mass politics on questions of foreign policy and demonstrate how it is associated with changes in support levels for international cooperation or military intervention. In the Canadian context, many have investigated different aspects of identity as driving forces shaping Canadians' foreign policy views (see Therien and Mace, 2013; Turenne-Sjolander and Cornut, 2016). Others have also shown that identarian attachment can heavily shape Canadians' perceptions and understandings of foreign policy (Boucher and Nossal, 2015; McDonough, 2013). When also considering studies having shown that the domestic public holds predictable, principled, and stable preferences on matters of foreign policy (Herrmann et al., 2009; Rathburn, 2007; Kertzer and Zeitzoff, 2017; Boucher, 2020) and that public opinion holds the potential to significantly constrain states' foreign policies (Gries and Turcsanyi, 2022; Boucher and Nossal, 2015), it becomes apparent that the identities of Canadians play a part in shaping and constraining the country's foreign policy. This makes our findings particularly relevant to further the understanding of how ideational continentalism can shape Canada's ties with the United States and foreign policy more broadly.

Hypotheses

To examine whether ideational continentalism has become a force shaping Canadians' foreign policy attitudes and preferences in the current-day context, we formulate two hypotheses. The focus on ideational continentalism is justified given that scholars of Canadian Foreign Policy increasingly see continentalism as having evolved into a coherent political project and dominant idea partially comprised of ideational elements. In addition, constructivist literature in IR elucidates that mechanisms supporting the development of ideational continentalism ground themselves on the existence of material ties in economic and defence realms without, however, being guaranteed by them. As a result, this analytical choice corresponds to the toughest possible test to assess whether a new form of continentalism has emerged as a meaningful analytical framework for explaining Canadian foreign policy.

In addition, we seek to differentiate continentalist attitudes deeply embedded in the attitudes of domestic Canadian actors from more transient and pragmatic desires to align with the United States to further certain contextual interests. While findings limited to the latter would not necessarily negate the existence of continentalism in Canada, they would lend greater support to the traditional realist conception of continentalism and would not indicate its emergence as a coherent analytical framework, a purposeful political project, or a dominant idea. Importantly, perceived ideological affinities and shared values with the United States become embedded in citizens' national identity through a long socialization and localization process (see Finnemore and Sikkink, 1998) and thus are stable and slowly shifting. This distinction helps to differentiate between interest-based transient preferences for alignment and ones associated with a deeper set of continentalist attitudes and beliefs more easily.

Hypothesis 1 hence aims to examine the direct link between the strength of Canadians' national identity and their foreign policy preferences with the United States. This first step is necessary for establishing whether there is indeed any significant linkage between Canadians' self-

proclaimed national identity and their foreign policy attitudes. Indeed, national identity is an inherently complex notion, with the possibility of it not holding unidirectional effects on alignment preferences with the United States representing a real possibility. The demonstrated heterogeneity in national identities among francophone and anglophone Canadians, and between ones residing in different provincial settings, further raises such a possibility (Breton, 2015; Nossal et al., 2011). Despite this, recent developments and evidence lead us to expect a positive tie between the two. Indeed, authors have pointed out that increasing continentalist integration between the United States and Canada in trade, commerce, and defence has countered historical expressions of Canadian nationalism which would have led us to expect an effect in the opposite direction (Clarkson, 2006). Studies have concurrently shown that Canadian identity has become more similar to that of Americans over time (Raney, 2011). Empirically, survey evidence since the turn of the century has consistently shown that Canadians' and Americans' political and cultural attitudes are significantly closer to each other than with any other state's population (Dalton, 2019). Finally, the emergence of neocontinentalism under the Harper government has been argued to have represented the birth of a political project actively pushing for closer ties and alignment with the United States, one which had been largely absent throughout Canada's recent history (Massie and Roussel, 2013). As a result, we hypothesize that a stronger sense of self-reported national identity is tied to a more aligned foreign policy preference with the United States among the Canadian public.

Hypothesis 1: Stronger senses of Canadian national identity drive preferences for closer foreign policy alignment with the United States.

Hypothesis 2 aims to further test whether any linkage found in line with Hypothesis 1 can be explained through ideational continentalism embedded in national identity. The two hypotheses combined point to a state of affairs would be suggested in which continentalism has, in recent years, emerged as a dominant idea and has led to the perception of shared norms,

values, and identities with the United States among Canadian domestic actors. Following this view, continentalism has embedded itself in Canadian national identity and plays a role in shaping Canadians' foreign policy preferences and attitudes toward closer alignment with the United States.

Hypothesis 2: Ideational continentalist attitudes embedded in Canadian national identity drive preferences for closer foreign policy alignment with the United States.

Notably, the focus on alignment in our hypotheses is consistent with historical Canada-US relations. It is important to acknowledge that different levels of bilateral engagement can exist in foreign policy, ranging from simple ties to institutionalized policy integration. Notably, these levels differ in terms of the extent to which they constrain Canada's sovereign policy orientations. While ties involve little such sacrifice, actual institutional integration significantly does so. We take the view that, historically, Canada-US relations have involved ties in numerous policy realms, alignment in some, and integration in a few. Using alignment preferences as our dependent variable constitutes a tougher test for the significance of ideational elements of continentalism as it presupposes an extensive degree of ties and involves some relinquishing of policy autonomy. In contrast, using support for ties only would involve little trade-off and would hence risk being seen in overwhelmingly favourable terms by survey respondents. Furthermore, using support for integration would risk misrepresenting the reality of Canada-US relations, as many expressions of cooperation between the two states do not necessarily require institutionalized integration (see Chatsko 2012).

It is important to note that failing to provide evidence in favour of Hypothesis 1 would not necessarily imply the lack of narrow interest-based continentalist views among Canadians, especially in some material realms. However, it would make the conclusive differentiation between contextual preferences for integration or alignment with the United States based on

perceived interests, which have been present throughout much of Canada's history, and more lasting and embedded continentalist orientations consistent with the emergence of continentalism as a dominant idea and coherent political project difficult. On the other hand, only finding evidence for Hypothesis 1 (while failing to establish the validity of Hypothesis 2) would make it difficult to support the relevance of ideational continentalism and would similarly place doubts on whether continentalism has truly evolved away from its traditional realist conception. This is because Canadian national identity may lead to foreign policy alignment through other mechanisms than continentalist attitudes, which lie beyond the scope of this analysis.

Finding evidence to support both hypotheses would not imply that Canada's national identity has become fully continental or that elements of competing frameworks do not play concurrent roles in shaping Canadians' foreign policy views. Many scholars have indeed suggested other frameworks to be significant in shaping Canada's foreign policy orientation. These include but are not limited to, liberal internationalism (Paris, 2014), multilateralism (Brown and Olendar, 2013), and Atlanticism (Wolfe, 1991). National identities represent highly complex notions that are shaped by a multitude of factors and are often considered to be in constant evolution. In light of this, combined evidence in favour of both hypotheses should simply be taken to indicate that continentalist orientations have, to an extent, embedded themselves in Canadians' national identities and represent one factor among many that shape their foreign policy attitudes and preferences. In line with the many studies that have shown that the mechanisms through which ideational continentalism develops are dependent on pre-established economic and security linkages (see Risse-Kappen, 1997; Adler and Barnett, 1998; Russett and Oneal, 2000), evidence in favour of our two hypotheses would likely be indicative of existing continentalism in material realms such as the economy and defence in the Canadian context.

Data and Research Design

This article utilizes Canadian responses to the Sinophone Borderlands survey project conducted between August and October 2022 (Turcsanyi et al., 2022).¹ The survey, conducted in English and French, contains data from 1,512 respondents across Canada. To ensure the national representativeness of our sample, we applied survey weights provided by the Sinophone Borderlands project to the dataset.¹ The survey is selected as it represents one of the latest and most comprehensive projects targeting the understanding of individual foreign policy preferences designed specifically under the context of the current US-China rivalry.

It is important to note that the timing of this survey may raise concerns that it captures a period of unusually friendly orientations toward the United States as a result of the ongoing Ukraine war and the recent end of the Trump presidency. However, we believe that, while short-term contextual factors like these continuously play a role in shaping the public's attitudes and preferences, responses to survey items indicating ideational continentalist orientations embedded in respondents' sense of national identity represent longer lasting and more stable views (see Finnemore and Sikkink, 1998). Moreover, our analysis examines variations between respondents within the same period. As a result, the potential effects of the Ukraine war and the American domestic political context should apply evenly across units and can hence be controlled for. Additionally, our model includes multiple political attitude controls to absorb potential confounders in the above effect. The specific timing of the survey thus cannot be considered to represent a major limitation for our analysis and its results.


Measuring Foreign Policy Alignment

As the dependent variable intending to capture respondents' preferences on the extent to which Canada's foreign policy should be aligned with the United States, the corresponding survey

¹ To apply survey weights, we used the "survey" package in R (Lumley, 2024). More information about the use of survey weights in our study can be found in Appendix 2.

question was used. This question asks “How closely should Canada’s foreign policy align with the United States?” and records responses on an ascending scale, with 0 corresponding to a response of “not at all” and 10 corresponding to one of “completely”. This variable is chosen as it captures the overall preference for alignment with the United States on the foreign policy front without specifying any specific issue or referring to any geopolitical context. Recognizing that foreign policy alignment encompasses a wide range of areas from diplomatic stances and cultural exchanges to defence and economic policies, we intend to capture attitudes toward alignment vis-à-vis the United States on a wide spectrum, rather than on specific policies. The exclusion of more specific issues helps eliminate the potential bias introduced by issue framing and contextually related factors and renders the selected variable appropriate for detecting general attitudes toward foreign policy. However, we recognize that this generalization may also result in heterogeneity in respondents’ interpretation of alignment and thus include various socio-demographic and political controls in our analysis. The distribution of responses is shown in Figure 1. As illustrated, most Canadians prefer relatively high levels of alignment with the United States.

Figure 1: Distribution of Respondents' Preferred Level of Foreign Policy Alignment with the United States




Measuring Canadian National Identity

The key independent variable of the study is the strength of respondents' self-assessed national identity. To capture this, we construct an index based on several survey questions. The index takes the average of respondents' level of agreement with the following statements: "I love my country"; "I am glad to be Canadian"; "I feel a bond with Canadian people", and "The fact that I am Canadian is an important part of my identity". While the last two statements intend to directly measure the individual perceived level of resonance with being Canadian, the first two are included to infer the feeling of Canadian exceptionalism within the broader expression of national identity. Indeed, as established in existing literature, feelings of exceptionalism constitute an inherent aspect of states' national identities (Simonsen, 2022). It is also particularly relevant in the Canadian context in which a strong desire for differentiation vis-à-vis the United States has been argued by some to be tied to such exceptionalism (Doran and Sewell, 1988).

We recognize that the constructed index represents a relatively reductionist and simplistic measure of identity. However, relying on it is justified given that this article's focus lies not in

expanding knowledge regarding the complexities of Canadian national identity, but in offering a targeted analysis of its links with Canadians' foreign alignment preferences with the United States. Furthermore, this choice is consistent with the many existing studies in the field relying on similar measures in their instrumentalization of identity (see Huddy and Khatbi, 2007; Pehrson et al., 2009). To ensure the validity of the index, the internal consistency of the four statements used to construct it was tested statistically. The resulting Cronbach's Alpha score of over 0.90 indicates a high degree of internal consistency and thus validates the usage of the index. As shown in Figure 2, Canadians exhibit a strong sense of national identity, with responses of five or above (on a scale of seven) representing the most popular categories.

Figure 2: Distribution of Respondents' Perceived Level of Canadian Identity



Measuring Ideational Continentalism

A pivotal variable in our study is the one measuring ideational continentalism embedded in respondents' national identity. To capture it, an index is constructed using the weighted average based on the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) factor loading scores of four variables: perceived similarity of cultural values between the United States and Canada, the cultural

attractiveness of the United States, favourability toward US political values, and favourability toward Americans.

To identify the appropriate variables for the index, we perform an exploratory PCA on eight variables. These include seven variables related to the ideational dimensions which reflect respondents' opinions about American values, norms, and attitudes, and one variable indicating the perceived importance of the United States to Canada's economy. The material variable serves as a conceptual comparative point, representing one of the main traditional material sources of continentalism having been identified in historical literature. The PCA reveals high factor loadings for four variables. Consequently, these four variables are selected to construct the ideational continentalism index. The economic importance variable is found to have only a moderate loading score (0.51). This implies that it contributes much less to the principal component intending to capture ideational continentalism relative to included variables. This finding aligns with our conceptual framework in suggesting that, while expressions of the newly emergent form of continentalism in the ideational realm may have material roots, they remain conceptually distinct from simple material self-interest. Details of the exploratory PCA can be found in Appendix 5.

Table 1: Ideational Continentalism Index — Factor Loadings and Eigenvalue²

Variable	Factor Loading
Cultural Similarity with the US	0.70
US cultural attractiveness	0.83
Favourability toward US Political Values	0.80
Favourability toward Americans	0.78
<i>Eigenvalue: 2.40</i>	
<i>60% of the variance explained</i>	

² The table presents PCA performed using unweighted data. Survey weights are added after index construction. More details can be found in Appendix 5.

The single-component and no-rotation PCA results stemming from the final four variables (standardized for index construction) are shown in Table 1. The component achieves an eigenvalue of 2.40, accounting for 60 per cent of the total variance. This lies above the common greater-than-one threshold for standardized data, indicating its significance. All four variables have factor loadings higher than the common thresholds for index construction (Stevens, 1992; Field, 2005). This demonstrates the variables' strong and positive contribution to the final index of ideational continentalism.

The index captures ideational continentalism mainly from the perspective of cultural and political values. This renders it an appropriate measure of ideational continentalism which, as laid out in the previous sections, largely relies on the development of shared values, norms, and standards of behaviour between Canadian and American domestic actors, or at least on the perception of such proximity by the public (Raney, 2011). It furthermore relies on the accepted notion that respondents consequently view values closer to their own through more favourable lenses (Roccas et al., 2014; Rokeache, 1973), thereby justifying the inclusion of questions pertaining to both favourability and similarity.

Control Variables

A set of independent variables aimed at controlling for various characteristics of respondents that could hold an effect on both the strength of their national identity and their alignment preferences with the United States are included in the model. These pertain to respondents' demographic characteristics, socio-economic backgrounds, and political attitudes. Details of the question wordings and their descriptive statistics can be found in Appendix 1 and 2.

Regarding socio-demographic controls, we include age, gender, education, and urban/rural residence status. Age is obtained directly through respondents' self-provided answers. Gender is represented through a categorical variable with three levels: female, male, and other.

Education level also contains three categories: primary (elementary school), secondary (high school), and tertiary (university/college). For urban/rural residency, four categories are obtained, ranging from “village” to “big city”. As political attitude controls, we include respondents’ level of political interest, their self-identification on a left-right ideological scale, and their degree of support for capitalism. Political interest, measured on a 10-point ascending scale, is included as it is recognized as an important factor in shaping political understanding and behaviour (Luskin, 1990). The political ideology variable measured on a left-right scale takes a value from 1 to 7, with 1 representing “far left” and 7 “far right”. Regarding this variable, scholars have highlighted the importance of considering political beliefs and ideology when studying policy attitudes (Jacoby, 1991; Gromet et al., 2013). Particularly in the case of Canada-US relations, empirical evidence suggests that the large divide on political and social spectrums concerning issues such as welfare, gun control, and abortion could affect Canadians’ willingness to align with the United States (Parkin, 2022). This is also why the level of support for capitalism is included, which is measured on an ascending continuous scale. The inclusion of the latter control also stems from the observation that the general impression of the United States is empirically associated with favourability toward capitalism (Abdelfatah and Arablouei, 2021). Given that these ideological traits are likely to also be linked with Canadians’ sense of national identity, it is important to include them in the model.

Furthermore, we include variables indicating respondents’ region of residence. Regions are coded as respective binary variables with a value of 1 indicating respondents’ residency in the region in question. This is included to account for the potential that significant heterogeneity in Canadian national identity exists across regional clusters, leading to different provincial baselines. Indeed, many studies have established different degrees of national and provincial belonging based on individuals’ province of residence (Statistics Canada, 2015). In some settings such as Quebec, national identity has been shown to take on significantly different

expressions than in other regions holding less pronounced regional identities (Raney, 2009). Specifically, the “two solitudes” model has been argued to affect various aspects of Canadians’ perceptions and attitudes (Gagnon, 2013). In terms of identities, many studies have found Quebecers to hold strong provincial identities that differ from the sense of Canadian national identity that is prevalent in other provinces (Brie and Mathieu, 2021). This distinctiveness also manifests in perceptions of certain ideational notions such as liberalism (Turgeon et al., 2019), individualism (Rousseau and Côté, 2018), and support for economic welfare (Johnston et al., 2010). On the foreign policy front, Quebecers have also shown significant differences in their level of support for militarism (Massie and Boucher, 2014) and North American free trade (Martin, 1995) among other international issues. Given the existence of strong provincial heterogeneity in attitudes, especially in Quebec, it is important to include these provincial controls to obtain a complete view of the relationship of interest.

Specifying a Model of Foreign Policy Alignment

To test Hypothesis 1, the study employs an OLS regression. While ordinal regression strategies were, in the past, preferred for the estimation of coefficients derived from variables consisting of Likert scale survey responses, recent studies have questioned the benefit derived from such a choice and have instead privileged standard linear models whose results are easier to interpret and contextualize (Robitzsch, 2020). We therefore decide to privilege a linear regression strategy for the analysis. The regression specification is shown below in Equation 1.

Equation 1: Regression Model Specification

FP alignment_i

$$\begin{aligned} &= a + \beta_1 \times \text{lvl of CanID}_i + \sum_j \delta_j X_{ji} + \sum_g \theta_g \text{Gender}_{gi} \\ &+ \sum_e \varphi_e \text{Education}_{ei} + \sum_u \sigma_u \text{Urban/Rural}_{ui} + \left(\sum_k \gamma_k \text{province}_{ki} \right) + \varepsilon_i \end{aligned}$$

“FP alignment” is the preferred level of foreign policy alignment with the United States for individual *i*. The level of “CanID” is the indicated strength of person *i*’s self-assessed strength of Canadian identity. *X* represents the set of continuous control variables including age, political interest, political ideology and support for capitalism. The next three terms are three categorical socio-demographic controls: gender, education and urban/rural. The last term represents the regional dummies for all Canadian regions, which we will include in certain iterations of the model. Several different model iterations were run as a test for robustness, with the above equation representing the iteration in which all mentioned control variables are included.


Devising a Mediation Analysis

To test the mediating role of ideational continentalism as outlined in Hypothesis 2, we employ a mediation analysis. This allows us to conclusively determine how much (if any) of the demonstrated link found between the strength of respondents’ national identities and their alignment preferences with the United States is attributable to elements of ideational continentalism embedded within their national identities.

The pathways linking our index of ideational continentalism, the strength of respondents’ national identity, and their resulting foreign policy alignment preferences with the United States are shown in Figure 3. The mediation analysis aims at untangling any demonstrated

effect between Canadian national identity and alignment preferences with the United States into distinct direct effects (c') and effects mediated through ideational continentalism. The latter would require significant correlational pathways between both Canadian national identity and ideational continentalism (a), and between the latter and alignment preferences with the United States (b). The mediation analysis result will help to reveal whether any correlation between Canadian national identity and alignment preferences with the United States found in the first regression outlined above is, in fact, due to some embedded notions of ideational continentalism and not some other components of Canadians' national psyche that lie beyond the interest of this article.

Figure 3: Mediation Analysis Diagram




Findings

We ran several model iterations with different combinations of controls relating to demographic characteristics, political attitudes, and region of residence. All model iterations show robust and consistent results. The full table of results can be found in Appendix 6. Figure 4 presents the output of the full model.³ As shown, we find a significant and positive correlation between respondents' sense of Canadian identity and preferences for a greater level of foreign

³ The results of “gender: other” (n=2) and of “other regions” (n=5), while included in the full model, are not displayed in the visualization due to low sample sizes and high levels of uncertainty. Full results are available in Appendix 6.

policy alignment with the United States. In other words, Canadians with a strong sense of national identity tend to hold more favourable foreign policy preferences vis-à-vis their southern neighbour, providing support for Hypothesis 1.

Figure 4: Full Regression Model Coefficient Plot



All demographic controls are found to be insignificant while the political attitude controls, such as favourability toward capitalism and political interest, are found to be positively correlated with more pro-US foreign policy stances. Moreover, respondents expressing support for more right-wing political ideologies are found to favour closer alignment with the United States ($p = 0.04$). As many existing empirical studies have identified linkages between nationalistic sentiments and different political ideologies (Thompson, 2023), we further tested the potential moderating effect of political ideology on the relationship of interest and found no significant results (See Appendix 7). This suggests that a stronger level of Canadian national identity


would hold similar effects on alignment preferences with the United States across the left-right political spectrum.

Our primary model includes regional dummy variables in recognition of the existing literature having established the importance of accounting for regional differences in studying public opinion and policy preferences in the Canadian context (Cochrane and Perrella, 2012). Figure 4 illustrates such differences using Ontario ($n = 586$) as the baseline. The results showcase little variation in the effects of interest at the regional level, with only Quebec ($n = 340$) showing significant differences. This finding is consistent with existing literature highlighting the distinctiveness of Quebecers in holding attitudes significantly different from other provinces' populations (Florino, 2015). This difference can be linked to Quebec's distinctive culture and provincial identity (Mendelsohn, 2003; Gagnon, 2013). On the foreign policy front, although Quebecers have historically demonstrated different foreign policy attitudes on defence and trade issues (see Massie and Boucher, 2014; Martin, 1995), recent studies have found that they do not diverge significantly from anglophone Canadians (Boucher 2021). Our findings suggest that, on the issue of foreign policy alignment with the US, Quebecers hold more favourable attitudes relative to other Canadians.

To understand whether the key independent variables included in the model operate differently in Quebec and the rest of Canada, we conducted further statistical tests. Firstly, Wald tests reveal no significant evidence that any of our explanatory variables operate differently in shaping alignment preferences between Quebec and Canada (see Appendix 4). Figure 5 presents models conducted using subsets of Quebec residents and non-Quebec residents. While the result suggests that the effect of our main variable of interest is insignificant when considering only residents of Quebec, the overlapping confidence intervals shown in Figure 5, alongside non-significant Wald test results, make it impossible to establish the existence of significant differences in effects. Hence, our results provide support for the existence of some

stable and unified orientations in Canadian national identity across different provincial settings, in line with the recent findings of authors such as Bilodeau and Turgeon (2020). However, we acknowledge that drawing straightforward conclusions on these questions on the basis of our findings is difficult, partially as a result of the relatively small sample size of Quebec respondents. Hence, further investigation of these effects represents a welcome avenue for future studies.


Figure 5: Differences in Effects between Quebec and Other Provinces



Turning to Hypothesis 2, Figure 6 presents the result of the mediation analysis using the constructed index of ideational continentalism as a mediator. The analysis was performed using the “mediation” package in R (Tingley et al., 2014). This is necessary to establish whether the above-demonstrated link between Canadian national identity and preferred alignment with the United States is at least partially mediated by elements of embedded ideational continentalism.

The mediation analysis was run multiple times using weighted survey data with different combinations of control variables. It is particularly important to address any individual-level differences as both of our key variables attempt to measure identity and related ideational elements (see Cislighi and Heise, 2019). Both the naïve and the full models of the mediation analysis yield consistent results, with the detailed output shown in Appendix 8. Figure 6 presents the result of our full mediation analysis, including the full set of demographic and political attitude controls, as well as province-level heterogeneity.

Figure 6 Mediation Analysis Result (Full Model)



The results reveal a significant and positive linkage between levels of Canadian identity and ideational continentalism, suggesting that people with a stronger sense of Canadian identity are indeed more likely to have a higher level of embedded continentalist beliefs, providing sound justification for the mediator choice. However, although the previous regression results reveal a positive and significant link between Canadian national identity and alignment with the United States, the direct coefficient becomes insignificant after the inclusion of the mediating variable. This indicates that general feelings of Canadian identification hold no significant direct effect on foreign policy preferences vis-à-vis the United States beyond the continentalist attitudes embedded within it. On the other hand, the indirect pathway through ideational continentalism is highly significant. This suggests that a stronger sense of Canadian national identity is associated with more US-aligned foreign policy preferences through the channel of

embedded ideational continentalism. This is further confirmed by the highly significant Average Mediation Effect (see Appendix 8). Overall, the result provides strong support for Hypothesis 2.

Discussion and Conclusion

The United States has long represented Canada's foremost partner as a result of its geographic proximity, economic weight, and role as a security partner. This partnership has remained strong even after the political turbulence brought forth by the Trump presidency. Our paper set out to understand the sources of this continuity. Specifically, we investigated whether continentalism, a concept historically linked to Canada's interest-based seeking of closer ties with the United States in economic and security realms, has evolved to become a dominant idea and paradigm as argued by recent studies (Massie and Roussel, 2013). To do so, the paper examined the extent to which elements of ideational continentalism can be discerned in Canadians' sense of national identity and play a role in pushing them toward favouring closer policy alignment with the United States. Our empirical analysis provides significant evidence of such a reality, thereby supporting the emergence of continentalism as a dominant idea in Canadian society.

The results of the two-stage analysis hold direct implications for our hypotheses and the emergence of an evolved form of continentalism in Canada. Firstly, the positive and significant link between Canadians' self-professed sense of national identity and their desire to align their country's foreign policy with the United States supports the influence of identity in shaping foreign policy preferences. The mediation analysis then links the initial findings of linkages between Canadian national identity and US-aligned foreign policy attitudes to the article's object of interest: ideational continentalism. Indeed, the significant positive correlation between the strength of respondents' national identities and the strength of their continentalist

attitudes suggests that ideational continentalism has become embedded in the national identities of Canadians. This provides direct evidence supporting the emergence of continentalism as a dominant idea that is widely accepted among the Canadian public. Moreover, the mediation analysis also reveals that a large majority (88%) of the positive correlation between the two above variables can be attributed to embedded continentalist attitudes. In fact, following the introduction of the mediation, the direct effect between the former two variables is no longer significant. This finding suggests that the evolved form of continentalism on which we have focused, and which has become embedded in Canadians' identity, helps shape foreign policy preferences vis-à-vis the United States. These findings lend credence to the many studies having argued that a new form of continentalism has emerged since the Harper government and today represents a coherent analytical framework helpful to the understanding of contemporary Canadian foreign policy.

In terms of the characteristics of this new form of continentalism, our analysis reveals no significant influence of political ideology on the observed positive correlation (see Appendix 6). This suggests that this evolved continentalism in the ideational realm has consolidated beyond its conservative roots (see Massie and Roussel, 2013). The robust results across different Canadian provinces including Quebec show that the effect of ideational continentalism is consistent across different provincial settings. This further suggests the high level of acceptance of continentalism among subgroups of the Canadian population having long been seen as holding diverging policy attitudes. This lends further credibility to the emergence of continentalism as a dominant idea in Canadian society.

Although the analysis does not focus on the policy realm, our findings pertaining to ideational continentalism suggest a related state of affairs in material areas of cooperation and alignment. Indeed, policy dimensions of continentalism are better considered through the analysis of actual government policies than through an examination of public opinion and hence lie beyond the

scope of this article (see Dolata, 2017). However, in light of the findings of authors such as Clarkson (2001) and broader constructivist currents in IR (Risse-Kappen, 1997; Russett and Oneal, 2000), it is reasonable to argue that the shared values, norms, and identities constituting the basis for ideational or identitarian continentalism at least partially rely on the interpersonal exchanges facilitated by priorly established economic and security partnerships. Thus, besides establishing the existence and significance of ideational continentalism, our results suggest the relevance of broader and more material expressions of the continentalist paradigm in the Canadian context. It's also important to note that, while the result supports the emergence of continentalism as a dominant idea, our findings do not preclude that other paradigms such as liberal internationalism or Atlanticism do not play a concurrent role in shaping Canadians' foreign policy attitudes, whether toward the United States or other international actors. Scholars of Canadian foreign policy have indeed long argued that multiple strategic cultures coexist within the country and promote close cooperation with different partners (Massie and Vucetic, 2020). Therefore, conclusive findings of similar effects tied to other paradigms would not contradict our findings by denying the contemporary relevance of ideational continentalism but would help establish the simultaneous effects of competing frameworks of analysis.

The implications of our findings for the contemporary and future trajectory of the Canada-US relationship are significant. Indeed, existing literature showcases that embedded norms, values, and standards of behaviour such as those constituting the ideational continentalism analyzed in our study represent relatively stable and long-term orientations (see Finnemore and Sikkink, 1998). While preferences toward specific defence or economic cooperation or integration with the United States might be subject to contextual influences, perceptions of US values, norms, and culture as closely aligned with those of Canada, and the associated positive views of them are likely to represent more deeply engrained attitudes that will persist in the medium to long term. As a result, we suggest that this form of embedded continentalism will represent a force

pushing Canada toward closer foreign policy alignment with the United States in the upcoming years.

In addition, ongoing developments in the international system can further contribute to the gradual reinforcement of the effects we find over the upcoming years. A detailed analysis of such a trend lies beyond the scope of our analysis and represents a welcome avenue for future research on the question. However, it is important to recognize that US-China competition has become increasingly pronounced in recent years, with Sino-Canadian tensions intensifying following events such as the detention of Michael Kovrig and Michael Spavor in 2018. These events, which have been identified as contributing to the rise of bipolarity on the world stage by many scholars (Zhao, 2022; Kaplan, 2019), have likely pushed Canadians to see their relationship with the United States increasingly in contrast to their significant political and value-based differences with China. This contrast could further strengthen elements of ideational continentalism found in their identities and attitudes. As a result, the relationships demonstrated through our analysis are likely to continue playing a role in engendering positive Canada-US relations and closer foreign policy alignment between the two states for the years to come. While President Biden's assertion that the two countries "share one heart" might represent an embellished euphemism, ideational continentalism will likely continue to play a significant role in pushing Canada toward closer foreign policy cooperation and alignment with its Southern neighbour.

References

- Abdelfatah, Rund and Ramtin Arablouei, 2021. "Capitalism Has Become An Ideology in Today's America. Here's How it Happened." NPR, July 5.
- Adler, Emanuel and Michael Barnett. 1998. *Security Communities*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Anderson, Benedict. 1983. *Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism*. London, England: Verso Books.
- Bercuson, David. 2003. "Canada-US Defense Relations Post-11 September" in *Canada Among Nations 2003: Coping with the American Colossus*, ed. David Carment, Fen Hampson and Norman Hillmer. Son Mills, Ontario: Oxford University Press.
- Bilodeau, Antoine, Stephen E. White Turgeon L, and Alisa Henderson . 2020. "Feeling Attached and Feeling Accepted: Implications for Political Inclusion Among Visible Minority Immigrants in Canada." *International Migration* 58 (2): 272-288.
- Bland, Douglas, and John Young. "Trends in Canadian Security Policy And Commitments." *Armed Forces and Society* 15 (1): 113-130.
- Boucher, Jean-Christophe, and Kim Richard Nossal. 2015. "Lessons Learned?: Public Opinion and the Afghanistan Mission." In *TElusive Pursuits: Lessons From Canada's Interventions abroad*, ED. Fen Osler Hampson and Stephan M. Saideman. Vancouver: UBC Press.
- Boucher, Jean-Christophe. 2020. "Public Opinion and Canadian Defense Policy". in *Canadian Defence Policy in Theory and Practice*, ed. Thomas Juneau Philippe Lagasse and Srdjan Vucetic. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Boucher, Jean-Christophe. 2021. "Opinion publique et politique de défense du Canada à l'ombre de la compétition des grandes puissances." *Etudes Canadiennes* 91.
- Bow, Brian and Arturo Santa-Cruz. 2012. "Diplomatic Cultures: Multiple Wests and Identities in US-Canada and US-Mexico Relations." In *Anglo-America and Its Discontents*, ed. Peter J. Katzenstein. London: Routledge.
- Bow, Brian and David Black. 2008. "Does Politics Stop at the Water's Edge in Canada? Party and Partisanship in Canadian Foreign Policy". *International Journal* 64, no.1: 7-27.
- Breton, Charles. 2015."Making National Identity Salient: Impact on Attitudes toward Immigration and Multiculturalism". *Canadian Journal of Political Science* 48, no.2: 357-381.
- Brie, Evelyne and Felix Mathieu. 2021. *Un pays divise: identite, federalisme et regionalisme au Canada*. Quebec City : Presses de l'Universite Laval.
- Brown, Stephen and Michael Olende. 2013. "Canada's Fraying Commitment to Multilateral Development Cooperation." In *Multilateral Development Cooperation in a*

Changing Global Order, ed. Hany Besada and Shannon Kindornay. London: Palgrave Macmillan.

Chatsko, Paul. 2012. "Anonymity and Ambivalence: The Canadian and American Oil Industries and the Emergence of Continental Oil". *Journal of American History* 99, no.1: 166-176.

Cislaghi, Beniamino and Lori Heise. 2019. "Gender Norms and Social Norms: Differences, similarities and why they matter in prevention science." *Sociology and Health & Illness* 42(2).

Clarkson, Stephan. 2001. "The Multi-Level State: Canada in the Semi-Periphery of Both Continentalism and Globalization". *Review of International Political Economy* 8(3): 501-527.

Clarkson, Stephan. 2006. "Continentalism" in *The Canadian Encyclopedia*. February 6.

Cochrane, Christopher and Andrea Perrella. 2012. "Regions, Regionalism and Regional Differences in Canada." *Canadian Journal of Political Science* 45(4): 829-853.

Dalton, Russell J. 2019. "Political Culture and Values." in *The United States and Canada: How Two Democracies Differ and Why It Matter*, ed. Paul J. Quirk. New York: Oxford Academic.

Dolata, Petra. 2017. "Canada and/in the World". *Canadian Journal of Political Science* 50 (1): 351-367.

Doran, Chales F. and James Patrick Sewell. 1988. "Anti-Americanism in Canada". *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 497(1): 105-119.

Dorion-Soulie, Manuel, and Stephane Roussel. 2014. "Oui' a l'Irak ? Le baptême du feu de Stephen Harper et l'émergence du neocontinentalisme (2002-2003). » *Canadian Foreign Policy Journal* 20 (1) : 9-18.

Dumas, Philippe. 2015. "La politique iranienne du Canada: Manifestation d'une culture stratégique néocontinentaliste?" *Études internationales* 45(4): 625-647.

Duquette, Michel. 1995. "Domestic and International Factors Affecting Energy Trade." in *NAFTA in Transition*, ed. Stephen Randall and Herman Konrad. Calgary: Calgary University Press.

Field, Andy. 2005. *Discovering Statistics Using SPSS*. London: SAGE Publications.

Finnemore, Martha and Kathryn Sikkink. 1998. "International Norm Dynamics and Political Change." *International Organization* 52(4): 887-917.

Florino, Joseph. 2015. "Why Canada Really Didn't Go to Iraq in 2003." *NATO Association of Canada*, June 9.

Gagnon, Alain-G. 2013. "The Five Faces of Quebec: shifting small Worlds and Quebec's Evolving Political Dynamics." In *L'Europe en formation* 3 (369): 39-52.

- Goldstein, Judith and Robert O. Keohane. 1993. *Ideas and Foreign Policy: Belief, Institutions and Political Change*. Cornell University Press.
- Granatstein, J.L. 2007. *Whose War Is It? How Canada Can Survive in the Post-9/11 World*. Toronto: Harper Collins.
- Granatstein, J.L. and Hillmer, Norman. 1990. *For Better or for Worse Canada and United States to the 1990s*. Copp Clark Pitman.
- Greaves, Will. 2020. "What a Biden presidency means for climate change and Canada." *Policy Options*, November 10.
- Gries, Peter and Richard Turcsanyi. 2022. "The East Is Red... Again! How the Specters of Communism and Russia Shape Central and Eastern European Views of China". *Communist and Post-Communist Studies* 55 (1): 1-23.
- Gromet, Dena M. Howard Kunreuther and Richard P. Larrick. 2013. "Political Ideology affects energy-efficiency attitudes and choices" *PNAS* 110 (23):9314-9319.
- Hancock, John. 2015. "The Third Option: An idea whose time has finally come?" *International Journal* 70 (2): 322-338.
- Hart, Michael. 2008. *From Pride to Influence*. Vancouver: UBC Press.
- Herrmann, Richard K. Pierangelo Isernia and Paolo Segatti. 2009. "Attachment to the Nation and International Relations: Dimensions of Identity and Their Relationship to War and Peace." *Political Psychology* 30 (5): 721-754.
- Huddy, Leonie and Nadia Khatib. 2007. "American Patriotism, National Identity, and Political Involvement." *American Journal of Political Science* 51(1): 63-77.
- Inwood, Gregory. 1997. *Nationalism versus continentalism, ideology in the mirror of the Macdonald Royal Commission*. University of Toronto.
- Jacoby, William G. 1991. "Ideological Identification and Issue Attitudes." *American Journal of Political Science* 35 (1): 178-205.
- Johnston, Richard, Keith Banting, Will Kymlicka, and Stuart Soroka. 2010. "National Identity and Support for the Welfare State." *Canadian Journal of Political Science* 43 (2): 349-377.
- Jung, Na Young. and Yoo-Kyoung Seock, 2016. "The Impact of corporate reputation on brand attitude and purchase intension." *Fashion and Textiles* 3 (20).
- Kaplan, Robert D. 2019. "A New Cold War Has Begun." *Foreign Policy*, January 7.
- Kertzer, Joshua D. and Thomas Zeitzoff . 2017. "A Bottom-Up Theory of Public Opinion about Foreign Policy". *American Journal of Political Science* 61 (3): 543-558.
- Lumley, Thomas. 2024. "survey: analysis of complex survey samples." R package version 4.4.

- Luskin, Robert C. 1990. "Explaining Political Sophistication." *Political Behavior* 12 (4): 331–361.
- Macdonald, Laura. 2020. "Canada in the North America Region: Implications of the Trump Presidency." *Canadian Journal of Political Science* 53(3): 505-520.
- Martin, Laurence. 1995. "Continental Union". *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 538 (1): 143-150.
- Martin, Pierre. 1995. "When nationalism meets continentalism: The politics of free trade in Quebec." *Region & Federal Studies* 5(1): 1-27.
- Massie, Justin and Jean-Christophe Boucher. 2014. " Militaristes et anti-impérialistes: Les Québécois face à la sécurité international." *Études internationales* 44(3): 359-385.
- Massie, Justin and Vucetic S. 2020. "Canadian Strategic Cultures: From Confederation to Trump." in *Canadian Defence Policy in Theory and Practice: Canada and International Affairs*, ed. Thomas Juneau Philippe Lagassé and Srdjan Vucetic, Palgrave Macmillan.
- Massie, Justin, and Stephane Roussel. 2013. "The Twilight of Internationalism ? Neocontinentalism as an Emerging Dominant Idea in Canadian Foreign Policy." in *Canada in the World: Internationalism in Canadian Foreign Policy*, ed. Heather Smith and Claire Turenne-Sjolander. Don Mills: Oxford University Press.
- Massie, Justin. 2009. "Making Sense of Canada's 'Irrational' International Security Policy: A Tale of Three Strategic Cultures." *International Journal* 64 (3): 625–45.
- Mcdonough, David S. 2013. "Getting It Just Right: Strategic Culture, Cybernetics, and Canada's Goldilocks Grand Strategy." *Comparative Strategy* 32 (3): 224-244.
- Mckay, J.R. 2018. "Why Canada is best explained as a 'reliable ally' in 2017." *Journal of Transatlantic Studies* 16(2): 137-164.
- McLauchlin, Theodore. 2017. "Partnerships in Military Interventions and the Canadian Public". *Canadian Journal of Political Science* 50 (3):773-793.
- Mendelsohn, Matthew. 2003. "Rational Choice and Socio-Psychological Explanation for Opinion on Quebec Sovereignty." *Canadian Journal of Political Science* 36 (3): 511-537.
- Mosler, Martin and Niklas Potrafke. 2020. "International Political Alignment during the Trump Presidency: Voting at the UN General Assembly." *IFO Working Papers*.
- Munton, Don, and Tom Keating. 2001. "Internationalism and the Canadian Public". *Canadian Journal of Political Science* 34 (3): 517-549.
- Nossal, Kim Richard Stephane Roussel and Stephane Paquin. 2011. *The Politics of Canadian Foreign Policy*. Queen's Policy Studies Series.
- Nossal, Kim Richard. 2011. "Don't talk about the neighbours: Canada and the regional politics of the Afghanistan mission." *Canadian Foreign Policy Journal* 17 (1): 72-79.

Paquin, Jonathan and Philippe Beauregard. 2013. "Shedding Light on Canada's Foreign Policy Alignment". *Canadian Journal of Political Science* 46 (3): 617-643.

Paquin, Jonathan. 2009. "Canadian Foreign and Security Policy: Reaching a Balance between Autonomy and North American Harmony in the Twenty-First Century." *Canadian Foreign Policy* 15 (2).

Paris, Roland. 2014. "Are Canadians Still Liberal Internationalists? Foreign Policy and Public Opinion in the Harper Era". *International Journal* 69 (3): 274-307.

Parkin, Adam. 2022. "Public Opinion in Canada and the United States." *Environics Institute*.

Pehrson, Sameul Vivian L. Vignoles and Rupert Brown. 2009. "National Identification and Anti-Immigrant Prejudice: Individual and Contextual Effects of National Definitions." *Social Psychology Quarterly* 72 (1): 24-38.

Pennington, Christopher John. 2007. "The Continentalist Movement in the Politics of Canada and the United States, 1887-1894." University of Toronto.

Pituch, Keenan A. and James P. Stevens. 1992. *Applied Multivariate Statistics for the Social Sciences*. Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Inc.

Raney, Tracey. 2009. "As Canadian as possible... under what circumstances?" Public opinion on national identity in Canada outside Quebec." *Journal of Canadian Studies* 43 (3): 5-29.

Raney, Tracey. 2011. "Quintessentially Un-American? Comparing Public Opinion on National Identity in English Speaking Canada and the United States." *International Journal of Canadian Studies* 42: 105-123.

Rankin, David M. 2004. "Borderline Interest or Identity? American and Canadian Opinion on the North American Free Trade Agreement." *Comparative Politics* 36(3): 331-351.

Rathbun, Brian C. 2007. "Hierarchy and community at home and abroad: Evidence of a common structure of domestic and foreign policy beliefs in American elites". *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 51, no.3: 379-407.

Risse-Kappen, Thomas. 1997. *Cooperation among Democracies: The European Influence on US Foreign Policy*. Princeton: Princeton Studies in International History and Politics.

Robitzsch Alexander. 2020. "Why Ordinal Variables Can (Almost) Always Be Treated as Continuous Variables: Clarifying Assumptions of Robust Continuous and Ordinal Factor Analysis Estimation Methods". *Assessment, Testing and Applied Measurement* 5.

Roccas Sonia, Lilach Sagiv, Shani Oppenheim, Ausrey Elster and Avigail Gal. 2013. "Integrating Content and Structure Aspects of the Self: Traits, Values, and Self-Improvement". *Journal of Personality* 82, no.2: 144-157.

Rokeach Milton. 1973. *The Nature of Human Values*. New York: Free Press.

Rousseau, Guillaume and François Côté. 2018. « A Distinctive Quebec Theory and Practice of the Notwithstanding Clause: When Collective Interests Outweigh Individual Rights.» *Revue générale de droit* 47(2).

Roussel, Stephane, and Chantal Robichaud. 2004. "L'état postmoderne par excellent? Internationalisme et promotion de l'identité internationale du Canada". *Etudes Internationales* 35 (1) : 149-170.

Roussel, Stephane. 2004. "Canada-US Security and Defense Relations: A Continentalist Institutional Perspective." *Globalization and Governance*.

Russett, Bruce and John R. Oneal. 2000. *Triangulating Peace: Democracy, Interdependence, and International Organizations*. New York: WW Norton and Company.

Schwartz, Mildred A. 1998 "NAFTA and the Fragmentation of Canada." *American Review of Canadian Studies* 28.

Simonsen, Sandra. 2022. "Swedish exceptionalism and the Sars-CoV2 pandemic crisis: Representations of crisis and national identity in the public sphere." *Risks, Hazards & Crisis in Public Policy* 13 (3): 277-295.

Smythe, Elizabeth. 2019. "Change or continuity? Coping with the US in the era of Trump." *Canadian Foreign Policy Journal* 26 (2): 223-227.

Snyder, Jack. 1977. "The Soviet Strategic Culture: Implications for Limited Nuclear Operations." Rand Corporation.

Statistics Canada. 2015. "Sense of Belonging to Canada, the Province of Residence and the Local Community".

Therien, Jean-Philippe and Gordon Mace. 2013. "Identity and Foreign Polity: Canada as a Nation of the Americas". *Latin American Politics and Society* 55 (2): 150-168.

Thompson, Nicole. 2023. "Conservative voters less likely to say they're proud to be Canadian, survey suggests." CBC News, June 29.

Tingley, Dustin, Teppei Yamamoto, Kentaro Hirose, Luke Keele, Kosuke Imai, Minh Trinh and Weihuang Wong. 2014. "mediation: R Package for Causal Mediation Analysis." *Journal of Statistical Software*, 59(5): 1-38.

Turcsanyi, Richard Q., Kristina Kironka, Alfred Gerstl, Monika Arnez, Jiri Cenek, Rong Chen, Andrew Chubb, Peter Gries and Tao Wang. 2022. "Sinophone Borderlands Indo-Pacific Survey." *Palacky University Olomouc*.

Turenne-Sjolander, Claire and Heather A. Smith. 2013. "Canada, the World and the Inside/Outside of Internationalism." in *Canada in the World: Internationalism in Canadian Foreign Policy*, ed. Heather A. Smith and Claire Turenne-Sjolander. Don Mills: Oxford University Press.

Turenne-Sjolander, Claire and Jeremie Cornut. 2016. "Mothers, Militarization, and War: Quebec in Afghanistan". *American Review of Canadian Studies* 46 (2): 273-289.

Turenne-Sjolander, Claire. 2013. "Canada and the Afghan 'Other': Identity, Difference, and Foreign Policy." in *Canada in the World: Internationalism in Canadian Foreign Policy*, ed. Heather A. Smith and Claire Turenne-Sjolander. Don Mills: Oxford University Press.

Turgeon, Luc Antoine Bilodeau Stephen White and Ailsa Henderson. 2019. "A Tale of Two Liberalisms? Attitudes toward Minority Religious Symbols in Quebec and Canada". *Canadian Journal of Political Science* 52 (2): 247-265.

Vucetic, Srdjan, and Bojan Ramadanovic. 2020. "Canada in the United Nations General Assembly from Trudeau to Trudeau." *Canadian Journal of Political Science* 2020 (1): 79-98.

Wendt, Alexander. 1992. "Anarchy is what States make of It: The Social Construction of Power Politics." *International Organization* 46, no.2: 291-425.

Wendt, Alexander. 1999. *Social Theory of International Politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Wike, Richard, Jacob Poushter, Janell Fetterolf, and Shannon Schumacher. 2020. "Trump Ratings Remain Low around the Globe, While Views of the US Stay Mostly Favorable." *Pew Research Center*.

Wilderman, Jeremy and Adam Chapnick. 2023. "Canada at a crossroads in twenty-first-century global affairs: or, an independent Canadian foreign policy for what?" *Canadian Foreign Policy Journal*.

Wolfe, Robert. 1991. "Atlanticism without the Wall: Transatlantic Co-Operation and the Transformation of Europe". *International Journal* 46 (1): 137-163.

Zhao, Suisheng. 2022. "The US-China Rivalry in the Emerging Bipolar World: Hostility, Alignment, and Power Balance". *Journal of Contemporary China* 31 (134): 169-185.