## Efficient Algorithms and Intractable Problems

#### Daniel Deng

## 1 Complexity Analysis

#### 1.1 Partial Sums

$$S_k = \sum_{n=1}^k a_n = \frac{k}{2}(a_1 + a_k)$$
 (Arithmetic Series)  
$$S_k = \sum_{n=1}^k a_1(r)^n = a_1\left(\frac{1-r^k}{1-r}\right)$$
 (Geometric Series)

#### 1.2 Asymptotic Relations

$$f = O(g) \approx f(n) \le c \cdot g(n)$$

$$f = o(g) \approx f(n) < c \cdot g(n)$$

$$f = \Omega(g) \approx f(n) \ge c \cdot g(n)$$

$$f = \omega(g) \approx f(n) > c \cdot g(n)$$

$$f = \Theta(g) \approx f(n) = c \cdot g(n)$$

Remark.  $O(i^n \mid i > 1) > O(n^j) > O(\log^k n)$ 

**Theorem 1.1** (Master Theorem). If  $T(n) = aT([n/b]) + O(n^d)$  for some constants a > 0, b > 1, and  $d \ge 0$ , then

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \Theta(n^d) & a < b^d \\ \Theta(n^d \log n) & a = b^d \\ \Theta(n^{\log_b a}) & a > b^d \end{cases}$$

## 2 Polynomial Interpolation

Given a degree n polynomial  $A(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_nx^n$ , the relationship between its values and coefficients can be represented by

$$\begin{bmatrix} A(x_0) \\ A(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ A(x_{n-1}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_0 & x_0^2 & \cdots & x_0^{n-1} \\ 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \\ 1 & x_{n-1} & x_{n-1}^2 & \cdots & x_{n-1}^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (evaluation)

where the matrix M is a Vandermonde matrix.

#### 2.1 Fast Fourier Transform (FFT)

**Definition 2.1** (Discrete Fourier Transform Matrix). For polynomials of degree  $< n \ (n$  is even; polynomials can be 0-padded), the Discrete Fourier Transform can be represented by the matrix

$$M_n(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 & \cdots & \omega^{n-1} \\ & & \vdots & & & \\ 1 & \omega^j & \omega^{2j} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-1)j} \\ & & \vdots & & & \\ 1 & \omega^{n-1} & \omega^{2(n-1)} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-1)(n-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/n}$  is the *n*th root of unity, and  $M_n(\omega)$  is an unitary matrix whose columns forms the *Fourier Basis*.

Remark. 
$$M_n^{-1}(\omega) = \frac{1}{n}\overline{M_n(\omega)} = \frac{1}{n}M_n(\omega^{-1})$$

#### **Algorithm 1:** Fast Fourier transform

```
Input: A coefficient vector, \vec{a} = \langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle and the nth root of unity, \omega.
     Output: M_n(\omega)\vec{a}
 1 Function FFT(\vec{a}, \omega):
           if \omega = 1 then
 3
                return \vec{a}
           else
 4
                 // A(x) = A_{even}(x^2) + xA_{odd}(x^2)
                \langle A_e(0), \dots, A_e(n/2-1) \rangle \leftarrow \text{FFT}(\langle a_0, a_2, \dots, a_{n-2} \rangle, \omega^2)
 \mathbf{5}
                 \langle A_o(0), \dots, A_o(n/2-1) \rangle \leftarrow \text{FFT}(\langle a_1, a_3, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle, \omega^2)
 6
                for j := 0 to n/2 - 1 do
                   A(j) \leftarrow A_e(j) + \omega^j A_o(j)
A(j+n/2) \leftarrow A_e(j) - \omega^j A_o(j)
 8
 9
          return \langle A(0), \ldots, A(n-1) \rangle
10
```

Remark. If A is evaluated at points  $\pm \omega_0, \ldots, \pm \omega_{n/2-1}$ , then  $A_e(x^2)$  and  $A_o(x^2)$  will only need to evaluate half the amount of points due to squaring.

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n) = O(n \log n)$$

#### 2.2 Applications of FFT

```
Algorithm 2: Fast Polynomial Multiplication
```

```
Input: Coefficient vectors, a and b, and the nth root of unity, \omega.

Output: The coefficient vector of A(x)B(x)

1 \hat{a} \leftarrow M_n(\omega)\hat{a} (FFT)

2 \hat{b} \leftarrow M_n(\omega)\hat{b}

3 for i = 0 to n - 1 do

4 \left[\hat{c}_i \leftarrow \hat{a}_i \hat{b}_i\right]

5 return \frac{1}{n} M_n(\omega^{-1}) \hat{c} (inverse matrix)
```

**Definition 2.2** (Cross-Correlation).  $corr(\vec{x}, \vec{y})[k] = \sum x_i y_{i-k}$ , which measures similarity.

## Algorithm 3: Cross-Correlation

**Input:** Two signal vectors,  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$ .

Output:  $corr(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ 

- 1  $X(t) \leftarrow x_{m-1} + x_{m-2}t + \dots + x_0t^{m-1}$ 2  $Y(t) \leftarrow y_0 + y_1t + \dots + y_{n-1}t^{n-1}$ 3  $Q(t) \leftarrow X(t)Y(t)$  (Fast Polynomial Multiplication)
- 4 return  $\vec{q}$

## 3 Graphs

**Definition 3.1** (Graph). A graph is a pair G = (V, E), typically represented by an adjacency matrix or an adjacency list.

Table 1: Graph representations.

	Space	Connectivity	getNeighbors(u)	DFS Runtime
Adjacency Matrix	$\Theta( V ^2)$	O(1)	$\Theta( V )$	$\Theta( V ^2)$
Adjacency List	$\Theta( V  +  E )$	$\Theta(degree(u))$	$\Theta(degree(u))$	$\Theta( V  +  E )$

#### 3.1 Depth-First Search

```
Algorithm 4: Depth-first search
   Input: V, E of directed graph G.
 1 Function DFS(V, E):
      n \leftarrow |V|
       clk \leftarrow 1
 3
       visited \leftarrow boolean[n]
 4
       preorder, postorder = int[n]
 \mathbf{5}
       for v \in V do
 6
          if !visited/v| then
 7
              \mathtt{EXPLORE}(v)
 9 Function EXPLORE(v):
       visited[v] \leftarrow True
10
       preorder[v] \leftarrow clk++
11
       for (v, w) \in E do
12
          if !visited/w| then
13
              \mathtt{EXPLORE}(w)
14
     postorder[v] \leftarrow clk++
   /* Preorder-postorder intervals are either nested or disjoint.
                                                                                         */
   /* postorder[u] \leq postorder[v] iff(u,v) is a back edge.
                                                                                         */
   /* G contains a cycle iff it contains a back edge.
                                                                                         */
```

#### 3.1.1 Applications of DFS

#### **Algorithm 5:** Topological sort.

**Input:** A directed cyclic graph G.

**Output:** An ordered list of V such that  $u_i$  comes before  $v_i$  for all  $(u_i, v_i) \in E$  (i.e., ordered by decreasing dependency).

- 1  $post \leftarrow DFS$ -visited vertexes ordered by postorder visits
- 2 return reverse(post)

**Definition 3.2** (Strongly Connected Component). A SCC is a maximal partition of a directed graph in which every vertex is reachable from every other vertex.

u is in sink SCC of graph  $G \Leftrightarrow u$  is in source SCC of reverse graph  $G \Leftrightarrow u$  is in source SCC if highest postorder number.

### 3.2 Single-Source Shortest Path

```
Algorithm 6: Single-Source Shortest Path

Input: A directed graph G and a start vertex S.

Output: Two arrays prev[|V|] (shortest-path predecessor) and dist[|V|] (shortest-path distance).

1 Function BFS(G, S):

| /* Must have uniform edge weights. O(|V| + |E|) runtime. */

2 Function Dijkstra (G, S):

| /* Must have positive edge weights. O(|V| \log |V| + |E|) runtime if implemented using Fibonacci heap. */

3 Function Bellman-Ford (G, S):

| /* Can have aribitrary edge weights. */
```

## 3.3 Minimum Spanning Tree

```
Algorithm 7: Minimum spanning tree.

Input: A graph G and a starting vertex v.

Output: The minimum spanning tree T of G.

/* Use the cut property.

*/

Function Prim(G, v):

/* Sequentially adds the closest neighbbor of the running set.

O(|E| + \log |V|) runtime if implemented using Fibonacci heap.

*/

Function Kruskal(G, v):

/* Sequentially adds the shortest edge that does not create a cycle. O(|E| \log |V|) runtime if implemented using Union-Find data structure.

*/
```

## 4 Greedy Algorithm

**Definition 4.1** (Greedy Algorithm). A greedy algorithm is one that builds the solution iteratively using a sequence of local choices.

#### **Algorithm 8:** Example greedy algorithms.

#### 1 Function SCHEDULING:

/\* Find the maximum set of jobs that can be completed within time by iteratively select the next job to have the smallest end time without conflicting existing schedule.  $O(n\log n)$  runtime if sorting the collection of jobs first.

#### 2 Function HUFFMAN:

/\* Find a prefix tree for prefix-free Huffman coding by iteratively combine the two least frequent elements of the alphabet and retrieve the order of the prefix tree accordingly.  $O(n\log n)$  runtime if implemented with min-heap.

**Input:** A set of partitions  $S = \{S_1, \ldots, S_m\}$  that covers the universe  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ . **Output:** The indices of the smallest sub-collection of S that covers the universe.

3 Function SET-COVER:

```
/* Greedy search yields sub-optimal but competitive solution to
           the set-cover problem. If the optimal solution uses k sets,
           then the greedy solution uses at most k \ln n sets.
       A \leftarrow \{1, \ldots, n\}
4
       B \leftarrow \emptyset
5
       while |A| > 0 do
6
           let i \in [m] \setminus B be s.t. |A \cap S_i| is maximum
 7
           A \leftarrow A \setminus S_i
 8
           B \leftarrow B \cup i
       return B
10
```

## 5 Union-Find

**Definition 5.1** (Amortized Analysis). Suppose a data structure supports k operations. Then the amortized cost of each operation is  $t_i$  if for any sequence of operations with  $N_i$  of  $O_i$  operations, the total time is at most  $\sum_{i=1}^k t_i N_i$ .

```
Algorithm 9: Union-find (disjoint forest implementation).
   /* O((m+n)\log^* n) runtime.
 1 parent[1, \ldots, n]
 2 rank[1,...,n] // rank is defined as the height if no path compression
 3 Function MAKE-SET(x):
       parent[x] \leftarrow x
     rank[x] \leftarrow 0
 6 Function FIND(x):
       if x = parent[x] then
         return x
 8
       parent[x] \leftarrow \texttt{FIND}(parent[x]) \text{ // path compression}
 9
       return parent[x]
11 Function UNION(x, y):
       x \leftarrow \text{FIND}(x)
       y \leftarrow \text{FIND}(y)
13
       if x = y then
14
        return // no work needed
       if rank[x] > rank[y] then
16
        | swap x and y
17
       parent[x] \leftarrow y \text{ if } rank[x] = rank[y] \text{ then}
18
         rank[y] \leftarrow rank[y] + 1
19
```

Remark. Union-Find Invariants:

- a tree rooted at x has  $\geq 2^{r[x]}$  items;
- $(\forall x)$ , if x is not a root, r[p[x]] > r[x];
- the number of items of exactly rank k is  $\leq \frac{n}{2^k}$ .

## 6 Dynamic Programming

**Definition 6.1** (Top-Down DP/Memoization). Recursion + look-up table

**Definition 6.2** (Bottom-Up DP). Fill up the look-up table iteratively instead of recursively *Remark*. Bottom-up DP sometimes have better memory

#### 6.1 Bellman-Ford

**Definition 6.3** (Bellman-Ford). An algorithm for finding the SSSP on a directed graph with potentially negative weights. The algorithm defines a function f(t, k) := the length of the shortest path from s to t using  $\leq k$  edges, and wishes to solve for f(t, n-1). The recurrence relation of the algorithm is

$$f(t,k) = \begin{cases} \infty & k = 0, t \neq s \\ 0 & k = 0, t = s \end{cases}$$

$$\min \begin{cases} f(t,k-1) & else \end{cases}$$

Remark. Negative cycle detection:  $\exists$  negative cycle  $\Leftrightarrow \exists v, f(v, n) < f(v, n-1)$ 

#### Algorithm 10: Bellman-Ford

Input: G, s.

1 initialize  $T[1, \ldots, n]$  to all  $\infty$ 2  $T[s] \leftarrow 0$ 

3 for k = 1 to n - 1 do

foreach  $(u, v) \in E$  do  $T[v] \leftarrow \min \begin{cases} T[v] \\ w(u, v) + T(u) \end{cases}$ 

 $\mathbf{6}$  return T

• Memory: O(n) with bottom-up

• Runtime:  $O(n^2 + mn)$ 

#### 6.2 Floyd-Warshall

**Definition 6.4** (Floyd-Warshall). An algorithm for finding the all pairs shortest path (APSP) on a directed graph. Assume the graph is complete (pretend  $w(e) = \infty$  for all

 $e \notin E$ ), the algorithm defines a function f(i, j, k) := the length of the shortest path from i to j when all intermediate vertices in the path must be in  $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ . The recurrence relation is

$$f(i,j,k) = \begin{cases} w(i,j) & k = 0, i \neq j \\ 0 & k = 0, i = j \\ \min \begin{cases} f(i,j,k-1) \\ f(i,k,k-1) + f(k,j,k-1) \end{cases} & else \end{cases}$$

#### Algorithm 11: Floyd-Warshall

```
Input: G
```

1 initialize  $T[1 \dots n][1 \dots n]$  such that  $T[i][j] \leftarrow w(i,j)$  for all i,j

2 for 
$$k = 1$$
 to  $n$  do

 $_{\mathbf{6}}$  return T

• Memory:  $O(n^2)$ 

• Runtime:  $O(n^3)$ 

#### 6.3 Longest Increasing Subsection

**Definition 6.5** (LIS). Define f(last, i) := length of the LIS of <math>A[i ... n] such that all values used are > A[last]. The recurrence relation is

$$f(last, i) = \begin{cases} 0 & i = n+1 \\ f(last, i+1) & A[i] \le A[last] \\ \max \begin{cases} f(last, i+1) \\ f(i, i+1) + 1 \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

• Memory: O(n)

• Runtime:  $O(n^2)$ 

#### 6.4 Knapsack

**Definition 6.6** (Knapsack). Given an array A[1...n] of items, each being a (weight, value) pair. Given a knapsack that can hold  $\leq W$  weight, find the maximum value containable in the knapsack. The algorithm for solving this problem defines a function  $f(i,C) := \max \text{ maximum value we can pack among } A[i...n]$  with capacity C. The recurrence relation is

$$f(i,C) = \begin{cases} 0 & i = n+1 \\ f(i+1,C) & w[i] > C \\ \max \begin{cases} f(i+1,C) & else \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

• Memory: O(W)

• Runtime: O(nW) \*pseudopolynomial

Remark. For knapsack problems with replacement, the recurrence relation is instead

$$f(C) = \max_{i} \left( f(C - w[i]) + v[i] \right)$$

#### 6.5 Traveling Salesman Problem

**Definition 6.7** (Traveling Salesman Problem). Given n locations with distances D[i][j]. The traveling salesman wishes to visit all locations, starting at 1, while minimizing total travel distance. The DP algorithm defines a function  $f(i, S) := \min \max \text{ traveling distance}$  to visit all locations in S when starting at 1. The recurrence relation is

$$f(i,S) = \begin{cases} 0 & S \neq \emptyset \\ \min_{x \in S} (D[i][x] + f(x, S \setminus \{x\})) & else \end{cases}$$

• Memory:  $O(\sqrt{n} \cdot 2^n)$ 

• Runtime:  $O(n^2 \cdot 2^n)$ 

### 6.6 Matrix Chain Multiplication

**Definition 6.8** (Matrix Chain Multiplication). Given  $s_1, \ldots, s_{n+1}$  such that  $A_i$  is a  $s_i \times s_{i+1}$  matrix, and we want to find the minimum number of flops to compute  $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$ . The DP algorithm defines a function  $f(i,j) := \text{minimum number of flops to compute } A_i \times \cdots \times A_j$ . The recurrence relation is

$$f(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & i = j \\ \min_{i \le k \le j} (f(i,k) + f(k+1,j) + s_i s_{j+i} s_{k+1}) & else \end{cases}$$

• Memory:  $O(n^2)$ 

• Runtime:  $O(n^3)$ 

## 7 Linear Programming

**Definition 7.1** (Linear Programming). Linear programming (LP) describes a broad class of optimization tasks in which both the constraints and the optimization criterion are linear functions. The optimum of a linear program is achieved at a vertex of the convex feasible region.

*Remark.* A linear program does not have an optimum *iff* its feasible region is infeasible and/or unbounded.

**Definition 7.2** (Simplex Method). A standard greedy algorithm for solving LP by hill-climbing on vertices of the feasible region.

Remark. Solves real-life LP in polynomial time.

#### 7.1 LP Conversion

- 1. (Maximization  $\leftrightarrow$  minimization) multiply the coefficients of the objective function by -1
- 2. (Inequality  $\rightarrow$  equality)  $ax \leq b \rightarrow ax + s = b \mid s \geq 0$
- 3. (Equality  $\rightarrow$  Inequality)  $ax = b \rightarrow ax \le b \land ax \ge b$
- 4. (Signed  $\leftrightarrow$  unsigned)  $x \leftrightarrow x^+ x^- \mid x^+, x^- \ge 0$

#### 7.2 Duality

**Theorem 7.1** (Duality theorem). If a linear program has a bounded optimum, then so does its dual, and the two optimum values coincide (strong duality; weak duality states that primal opt.  $\leq$  dual opt.).

Primal LP:

Dual LP:

$$\begin{aligned} \max c^{\mathsf{T}} x & \min y^{\mathsf{T}} b \\ Ax &\leq b & y^{\mathsf{T}} A \geq c^{\mathsf{T}} \\ b &\geq 0 & y \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Remark. Dual/Primal unbounded  $\implies$  Primal/Dual unfeasible.

#### 7.3 Network Flow

**Definition 7.3** (Flow). Given a directed graph G = (V, E) with capacities  $c_e > 0$  on all edges. The flow f from source s to sink t satisfies the constraints:

1. For all  $e \in E$ ,

$$0 \le f_e \le c_e$$

2. For all  $e \in E \setminus \{s, t\}$ ,

$$\sum_{(w,u)\in E} f_{wu} = \sum_{(u,z)\in E} f_{uz} \quad \text{(conservation of flow)}$$

*Remark.* By the conservation principle,

$$\operatorname{size}(f) = \sum_{(s,u)\in E} f_{su}$$

#### **Algorithm 12:** Ford-Fulkerson

```
// Simplex algorithm for solving max-flow problem. Pseudopolynomial time complexity; O(|E|F)

Input: G = (V, E), s, t

Output: f

// G_f := residual graph (also contains back-edges)

1 while \exists an augmenting path in G_f do

2 | Find an arbitrary augmenting path P from s to t

3 | Augment flow f along P

4 | Update G_f
```

**Theorem 7.2** (Max-flow Min-cut Theorem). The size of the maximum flow in a network equals the capacity of the smallest (s,t)-cut (L,R) (total capacity of the edges crossing the cut)

Remark. L contains all reachable vertices from s in the final residual  $G^f$  and R contains all remaining vertices.

### 7.4 Zero-Sum Game

**Theorem 7.3** (Min-Max Theorem). For zero-sum games, there exists an equilibrium such that

$$\max_{x} \min_{y} \sum_{i,j} G_{i,j} x_i y_j = \min_{y} \max_{x} \sum_{i,j} G_{i,j} x_i y_j$$

Remark. To convert game strategies to LP:

• 
$$\max_{x} \min_{y} \sum_{i,j} G_{i,j} x_i y_j \implies$$

$$\max z$$

$$\forall y, \sum_{i,j} G_{i,j} x_i y_j \ge z$$

$$\sum_i x_i = 1$$

$$\forall i, x_i \ge 0$$

• 
$$\min_{y} \max_{x} \sum_{i,j} G_{i,j} x_i y_j \implies$$

$$\min w$$

$$\forall x, \sum_{i,j} G_{i,j} x_i y_j \leq w$$

$$\sum_j y_j = 1$$

$$\forall j, y_j \geq 0$$

It is apparent that the two LPs are dual; therefore, the equilibrium can be found in polynomial time via LP.

#### 8 Multiplicative Weight Updates

**Definition 8.1** (Online Decision Making). A problem where one chooses to follow expert  $i^{(t)}$  out of n experts on day  $t \in \{1, ..., T\}$ , who incurs a loss of  $l_i^{(t)}$  on day t  $(\forall i, t, l_i^{(t)})$  is bounded by [0, 1]; range can be normalized), with the goal of minimizing the total loss

$$L := \sum_{t=1}^{T} l_i^{(t)}$$

Realistically, the problem aims to minimize the regret

$$R := L - L^*$$
  $\left(L^* := \min_{i \in [n]} \sum_{t=1}^{T} l_i^{(t)}\right)$ 

Remark. It would be trivial to define the offline optimum  $L^*$  as  $\sum_{t=1}^T \min_{i \in [n]} l_i^{(t)}$  in minimizing regret.

#### 8.1 Hedge/MWU

### Algorithm 13: Hedge/MWU

/\* Defined expected loss on day t to be  $L_t := \left\langle x^{(t)}, l^{(t)} 
ight
angle$  and the total loss to be  $L := \sum_{t=1}^T L_t$  , where  $x^{(t)}$  is the probability distribution of choosing any expert on day t.

Input:  $\epsilon \in [0, \frac{1}{2}].$ 

$$\mathbf{1} \ \forall i \in [n], w_i^{(1)} \leftarrow 1$$

$$\mathbf{2} \ x_i^{(t)} \leftarrow \frac{w_i^{(t)}}{W^{(t)}} \ / / \left( W^{(t)} := \sum_{j=1}^n w_j^{(t)} \right)$$

$$\mathbf{3} \ w_i^{(t+1)} \leftarrow w_i^{(t)} (1 - \epsilon)^{l_i^{(t)}}$$

Lemma 8.1.  $W^{(T+1)} \ge (1 - \epsilon)^{L^*}$ 

Proof.

$$W^{(T+1)} \ge w_{i^*}^{(T+1)}$$

$$= \prod_{t+1}^{T} (1 - \epsilon)^{l_{i^*}^{(t)}}$$

$$= (1 - \epsilon)^{L^*}$$

Lemma 8.2.  $W^{(T+1)} \leq n \cdot \prod_{t=1}^{T} (1 - \epsilon \cdot L_t)$ 

Proof. TODO

**Theorem 8.3.**  $Hedge(\epsilon)$   $achieves <math>\mathbb{E}[R] \leq \epsilon \cdot T + \frac{\ln n}{\epsilon} \ (or \ \mathbb{E}[R] \leq 2\sqrt{T \ln n} \ if \ \epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{\ln n}{T}}).$  Proof.

$$(1 - \epsilon)^{L^*} \le n \cdot \prod_{t=1}^{T} (1 - \epsilon \cdot L_t)$$

$$\implies L^* \ln(1 - \epsilon) \le \ln n + \sum_{t=1}^{n} \ln(1 - \epsilon \cdot L_t)$$

$$\implies L^*(-\epsilon - \epsilon^2) \le \ln n - \epsilon \sum_{t=1}^{T} L_t$$

$$\implies \sum_{t=1}^{T} L_t - L^* \le \frac{\ln n}{\epsilon} + \epsilon \cdot L^*$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E}[R] \le \epsilon \cdot T + \frac{\ln n}{\epsilon}$$

*Remark.*  $\forall z \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], -z - z^2 \le \ln(1-z) \le -z$ 

# 9 Reductions

**Definition 9.1** (Reduction). Given two problems A and B. If A reduces to B,  $\exists$  efficient algorithm for  $B \implies \exists$  efficient algorithm for A.

# 10 Search Problems

Definition 10.1 (Binary relations).

$$(x, w) \in R \subseteq \{0, 1\}^* \times \{0, 1\}^*$$