# Introduction to Artificial Intelligence

## Daniel Deng

## 1 Agents

**Definition 1.1** (Reflex Agent). A reflex agent chooses actions based on its current perception of the world.

**Definition 1.2** (Planning Agent). A planning agent chooses actions based on hypothesized consequences of actions.

#### General Search Problems $\mathbf{2}$

#### 2.1 Heuristics

**Definition 2.1** (Heuristic). A heuristic h(n) is a function that estimates the distance from state n to the goal state for a particular search problem. It is often solutions of relaxed problems.

- 1. A heuristic is <u>admissible</u> if  $0 \le h(n) \le h^*(n)$  where  $h^*$  is the true cost to goal state;
- 2. A heuristic is consistent if  $h(n) h(n+1) \le c(n, n+1)$  where c is the cost between states n and n+1.

Remark. Consistency necessarily implies admissibility.

#### 2.2Search Algorithms

Table 1: Search algorithms.

	Fringe	Complete	Optimal	Time	Space
Depth-First Search	Stack	iff no cycle	No	$O(b^m)$	O(bm)
Breadth-First Search	Queue	Yes	iff uniform cost	$O(b^s)^1$	$O(b^s)^1$
Uniform Cost Search	$PQ(g(n))^2$	iff positive cost	Yes	$O(b^{c^*/\epsilon})^3$	$O(b^{c^*/\epsilon})^3$
Greedy Search	PQ(h(n))	-	No	-	-
$A^*$ Tree Search	PQ(h(n) + g(n))	-	iff $h(n)$ admissible	-	-
$A^*$ Graph Search <sup>4</sup>	PQ(h(n) + g(n))	-	iff $h(n)$ consistent	-	-

Remark. Implementation of search algorithms differ only in fringe strategies.

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  s= depth of solution.  $^2$  g(n)= cumulative path cost.  $^3$   $c^*/\epsilon=$  effective solution depth ( $c^*=$  cost of the cheapest solution;  $\epsilon=$  minimum cost of cost-contour arcs).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Compared to tree search, graph search keeps a closed set of expanded states to check against to prevent duplicate

### 3 Constrained Satisfaction Problems

**Definition 3.1** (Constrained Satisfaction Problems). Constrained Satisfaction Problems (CSPs) are a type of **identification problem** defined by variable  $X_0, \ldots, X_n$  with values from a domain D that satisfies a set of constrains.

```
Algorithm 1: Backtracking search.
   Input: A constraint satisfaction problem P.
   Output: A complete assignment A.
 1 Function BS(P):
   return EXPLORE (P, \{\})
 3 Function EXPLORE (P, A):
       if A is complete then
 4
          return A
 \mathbf{5}
       unassigned \leftarrow \text{an unassigned VARIABLES}(P)
 6
       foreach value \in DOMAIN(unassigned) do
 7
           if value is consistent with all CONSTRAINTS(P) then
 8
               add \{unassigned \leftarrow value\} to A
 9
               attempt \leftarrow \texttt{EXPLORE}(P, A)
10
               if attemp failed then
11
                | \quad \text{remove } \{unassigned \leftarrow value\} \text{ from } A
12
               else
13
                  return attempt
14
       {\bf return}\ failed
15
```

#### 3.1 Filtering

**Definition 3.2** (Arc Consistency).

```
Arc X \to Y is consistent \Leftrightarrow (\forall x \in D_x)(\exists y \in D_y)(y \text{ can be assigned to } Y \text{ without violating a constraint.})
```

```
Algorithm 2: Arc consistency filtering.
   Input: A constraint satisfaction problem P.
   /* O(|S||A|^3) runtime
                                                                                           */
1 Function AC-3(P):
       Q \leftarrow \text{empty Queue}
       enqueue all arcs \in P
3
       while Q is not empty do
4
           (X_i, X_i) \leftarrow \text{dequeue from } Q
5
          if FILTER(X_i, X_j) is successful then
 6
              foreach X_k \in \mathtt{NEIGHBORS}(X_i) do
 7
                  enqueue (X_k, X_i)
 8
9 Function FILTER (tail, head):
       result \leftarrow false
10
       foreach value \in DOMAIN(tail) do
11
          if value violates some constraint with all values in DOMAIN(head) then
12
              delete value from DOMAIN(tail)
13
              result \leftarrow true
14
15
       return reuslt
```

#### 3.2 Ordering

**Definition 3.3** (Minimum Remaining Values). The MRV policy chooses an unassigned variable that has the fewest valid remaining values in order to induce backtracking earlier and reduce potential node expansions.

**Definition 3.4** (Least Constraining Value). The LCV policy chooses a value assignment that violates the least amount of constraints, which requires additional computation such as running arc consistency test on each value.

## 3.3 Structure

Given a tree-structured CSP, represent it as a directed acyclic graph. Enforcing arc consistency in reverse topological order then assigning in topological order ensures a runtime of  $O(nd^2)$  (as opposed to  $O(d^n)$  in the general case).

TODO: nearly tree-like CSPs and tree decomposition.

#### 4 Local Search

**Definition 4.1** (Local Search). A search strategy that improve a single option until no further improvements can be made. Typically, local search is faster and more memory efficient than other search algorithms but is generally neither complete nor optimal.

**Definition 4.2** (Hill Climbing). A CSP strategy that randomly selects a conflicting variable and reassign values using min-conflicts heuristics.

Remark. Efficiency of the algorithm depends on  $R = \frac{\text{number of constraints}}{\text{number of variables}}$ ; computation time is approximately constant time except when R approaches the *critical ratio*.

#### 4.1 Simulated Annealing

```
Algorithm 3: Simulated annealing.
   Input: A problem P and a schedule/mapping from time to "temperature" T.
   Output: A solution state.
   /* Escape local maxima by allowing downhill movement based on a
       "temperature"-dependent probabilistic function.
                                                                                              */
1 current \leftarrow initial state of P
2 for t \leftarrow 1 to \infty do
       temp \leftarrow T[t]
3
       if temp = 0 then
 4
           return current
 5
       else
6
           next \leftarrow a randomly selected successor of current
 7
           \Delta \leftarrow \text{Value}[next] - \text{Value}[current]
           if \Delta > 0 then
 9
              current \leftarrow next
10
           else
11
               currnet \leftarrow next with probability e^{\frac{\Delta}{temp}}
12
```

#### 4.2 Genetic Algorithm

**Definition 4.3** (Genetic Algorithm). A strategy that keeps the best N hypothesis at each step based on a fitness function and generate next generation using pairwise cross-over operations (and, optionally, mutation operations).

#### 5 Games

**Definition 5.1** (Games). Games are multi-agent search problems that could be zero-sum (adversarial) or general sum.

#### 5.1 Minimax

**Definition 5.2** (Minimax). A zero-sum-game algorithm that assumes the opponent is an optimal adversary.

```
Algorithm 4: Minimax with alpha-beta pruning.
   Input: A game state S
    Output: The root minimax value.
    /* initialize \alpha \leftarrow -\infty and \beta \leftarrow \infty
                                                                                                           */
 1 Function VALUE(S, \alpha, \beta):
        if S is a terminal state then
            return knwon temrinal value
 3
        if the agent is maximizing then
 4
            return MAX-VALUE (S, \alpha, \beta)
 5
        if the agent is minimizing then
 6
            return MIN-VALUE (S, \alpha, \beta)
 7
 8 Function MAX-VALUE(S, \alpha, \beta):
        v \leftarrow -\infty
 9
        foreach successor S' of S do
10
            v \leftarrow \texttt{MAX}(v, \texttt{VALUE}(S'))
11
            if v \geq \beta then
12
                return v
            \alpha \leftarrow \texttt{MAX}(\alpha, v)
14
        \mathbf{return}\ v
15
16 Function MIN-VALUE(S, \alpha, \beta):
        v \leftarrow \infty
17
        foreach successor S' of S do
18
            v \leftarrow \texttt{MIN}(v, \texttt{VALUE}(S'))
19
            if v \leq \alpha then
20
                 return v
            \beta \leftarrow \text{MIN}(\beta, v)
22
23
        return v
```

### 5.2 Expectimax

**Definition 5.3** (Expectimax). A zero-sum-game algorithm that assumes the opponent acts based on some probability distribution.

```
Algorithm 5: Expectimax.
   Input: A game state S
   Output: The root minimax value.
 1 Function VALUE(S):
       if S is a terminal state then
           return knwon temrinal value
 3
       if the agent is maximizing then
 4
           return MAX-VALUE(S)
 5
       if the agent is randomizing then
 6
           return EXP-VALUE(S)
 7
 8 Function MAX-VALUE(S):
       v \leftarrow -\infty
       foreach successor S' of S do
10
        v \leftarrow \texttt{MAX}(v, \texttt{VALUE}(S'))
11
       \mathbf{return}\ v
12
13 Function EXP-VALUE(S):
       v \leftarrow 0
14
       foreach successor S' of S do
          v \leftarrow \mathbb{E}\left[ \mathtt{VALUE}(S') \right] \ / / \ \mathtt{expected} \ \mathtt{value}
16
17
       \mathbf{return}\ v
```

#### 6 Markov Decision Processes

Remark. Finite horizons (finite timestep before an agent terminates) and/or discount factors ( $\gamma$ ) ensure an agent terminates in MDP.

**Definition 6.1** (Transition Function).  $T(s, a, s') = P(s' \mid s, a)$ 

#### 6.1 Value Iteration

```
Algorithm 6: Value Iteration
   Input: A MDP (S, A, R, T, \gamma).
   Output: The optimal policy \pi^*(s) for all s \in S.
 1 Function POLICY-EXTRACTION():
         V^* \leftarrow \mathtt{VALUE}\text{-}\mathtt{ITERATION()} // optimal value
        foreach s \in S do
 3
             \pi^*(s) \leftarrow \operatorname*{argmax}_{a} \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s')]
        return \pi^*(s) \mid \forall s \in S
 6 Function VALUE-ITERATION():
        // O(|S|^2|A|) runtime
        Initialize V_0(s) \leftarrow 0 for all s \in S
 7
        while V_{k+1}(s) \neq V_k(s) \mid \forall s \in S \text{ do}
 8
             // repeat until values converge
            V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_{a} \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s')]
 9
        return V^*(s) \leftarrow V_{k+1}(s) \mid \forall s \in S
10
```

#### 6.2 Policy Iteration

**Definition 6.2** (Policy Iteration). Define an initial policy  $\pi_0$  (can be arbitrary, but ideality close to the optimal policy). Then, iteratively solve  $\forall s \in S$ 

$$V^{\pi_i}(s) = \sum_{s'} T(s, \pi_i(s), s') [R(s, \pi_i(s), s') + \gamma V^{\pi_i}(s')]$$
 (policy evaluation)  
$$\pi_{i+1}(s) \leftarrow \underset{a}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^{\pi_i}(s')]$$
 (policy improvement)

until  $\pi(s)$  converges for all  $s \in S$  (yields  $pi^*$ .

Remark. Policy evaluation solves a system of |S| liear equations.

*Remark.* Policy iteration converges faster than value iteration.

## 7 Reinforcement Learning

Remark. Reinforcement learning operates on MDP problems where the T and R functions are unknown.

#### 7.1 Model-Based Learning

**Definition 7.1** (Model-Based RL). An algorithm that counts and normalizes sample outcomes s' for each s, a to construct  $\hat{T}(s, a, s')$  and discovers each  $\hat{R}(s, a, s')$  through exploration. The approximated MDP is then solved by value or policy iteration.

#### 7.2 Model-Free Learning

**Definition 7.2** (Direct Evaluation). An algorithm that fixes some policy  $\pi$  and empirically computes  $V^{\pi}(s)$  for all  $s \in S$  by averaging total sample utility for a given state.

*Remark.* Direct evaluation wastes information about state connections and will take a long time to learn.

**Definition 7.3** (Temporal Difference Learning).

$$V^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow (1 - \alpha)V^{\pi}(s) + \alpha[R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V^{\pi}(s')]$$

Remark. Can't extract policy.

**Definition 7.4** (Q-Learning).

$$Q(s, a) \leftarrow (1 - \alpha)Q(s, a) + \alpha[R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a')]$$

*Remark.* Q-learning is an example of off-policy learning, in which the algorithm can learn the optimal policy even by taking sub-optimal or random actions.

**Definition 7.5** (Approximate Q-Learning). Represent q-values as weighted sums of features  $Q(s,a) := \vec{w} \cdot \vec{f}(s,a)$ . The update rule for Q-Learning then becomes

$$\Delta \leftarrow [R'(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a')] - Q(s, a)$$
$$w_i \leftarrow w_i + \alpha \cdot \Delta \cdot f_i(s, a)$$

**Definition 7.6** ( $\epsilon$ -Greedy Policies). Define some probability  $0 \le \epsilon \le 1$  to act randomly and explore.  $\epsilon$  should be lowered over time to favor more exploitation as the learning becomes complete.

**Definition 7.7** (Exploration Function).

$$Q(s, a) \leftarrow (1 - \alpha)Q(s, a) + \alpha [R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} f(s', a')]$$
$$f(s, a) = Q(s, a) + \frac{\text{const.}}{\text{count}(Q(s, a))}$$

Remark. f(s,a) shown here is only a common example where the "bonus" for exploration diminishes as q-states are explored more and more.

## 8 Bayesian Network

**Definition 8.1** (Conditional Independence).  $\forall x, y, z : P(x|z, y) = P(x|z)$  (i.e.,  $x \perp \!\!\! \perp y \mid z$ )

**Definition 8.2** (Bayes' Net). Bayes' Net is a graphic model (DAG) that describes complex joint distributions using simple, local distributions (conditional probabilities)

- Nodes—variables (with domains) [assigned = observed, unassigned = unobserved]
- Arcs—interactions (i.e., encode conditional independence when lacking arrows)  $\Longrightarrow$   $P(x_i|x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1}) = P(x_i|parents(X_i))$
- A conditional distribution for each node

Remark. Bayes' Net does not imply causation, only the lack thereof

#### 8.1 Inference

**Definition 8.3** (Inference by Enumeration). General procedure:

- 1. Join Factors:  $\forall r, t : P(r, t) = P(r)P(t|r)$
- 2. Eliminate:  $P(T) = \sum_{r} P(r, T)$
- 3. Normalize:  $P(Q|e_1,...,e_k) = \frac{P(Q,e_1,...,e_k)}{\sum_q P(Q,e_1,...,e_k)}$

*Remark.* Variable elimination is faster than inference by enumeration via interleaving joining and marginalizing.

#### 8.2 D-Separation

**Definition 8.4** (Causal Chains).  $X \to Y \to Z$ 

$$P(x, y, z) = P(x)P(y \mid x)P(z \mid y) \implies X \perp \!\!\!\perp Z \mid Y$$

**Definition 8.5** (Common Causes).  $X \leftarrow Y \rightarrow Z$ 

$$P(x, y, z,) = P(y)P(x \mid y)P(z \mid y) \implies X \perp \!\!\!\perp Z \mid Y$$

**Definition 8.6** (Common Effect).  $X \to Z \leftarrow Y \implies X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y$ 

**Definition 8.7** (D-Separation).  $X \perp \!\!\! \perp Y \mid Z \text{ iff } X \text{ and } Y \text{ are "d-separated" by } Z \text{ (i.e., all undirected path from } X \text{ to } Z \text{ are inactive}). A path is active if all triple it contains is active:$ 

- Causal chain  $A \to B \to C$  where B is unobserved
- Common cause  $A \leftarrow B \rightarrow C$  where B is unobserved
- Common effect  $A \to B \leftarrow C$  where B or one of its descendants is observed

#### 8.3 Sampling

## **Algorithm 7:** Sampling. 1 Function PRIOR-SAMPLING:

```
for i = 1, 2, ..., n in topological order do
        Sample x_i from P(X_i | Parents(X_i))
      return (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)
5 Function REJECTION-SAMPLING:
      // Will reject lots of samples if evidence is unlikely.
      for i = 1, 2, ..., n in topological order do
6
          Sample x_i from P(X_i | Parents(X_i))
 7
          if x_i inconsistent with evidence then
 8
           return // no sample generated
      return (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)
11 Function LIKELIHOOD-WEIGHTING-SAMPLING:
      w \leftarrow 1.0
12
      for i = 1, 2, ..., n in topological order do
13
          if X_i is an evidence variable then
14
              X_i \leftarrow \text{observation } x_i \text{ from } X_i
15
             w \leftarrow w \times P(x_i \mid \text{Parents}(X_i))
16
          else
17
            Sample x_i from P(X_i | \text{Parents}(X_i))
18
      return (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), w
20 Function GIBBS-SAMPLING:
      /* keep track of a full instantiation x_1, \ldots, x_n. Start with an
          arbitrary instantiation consistent with the evidence.
```

one variable at a time, conditioned on all the rest, but keep evidence fixed. Keep repeating this for a long time.

#### 9 Decision Networks

**Definition 9.1** (Decision Networks). A combination of both Bayes' nets and expectimax that has three types of nodes: 1) chance nodes, 2) action nodes (act as observed evidence and cannot have parents), and 3) utility nodes (depends on both action and chance nodes). The goal of decision networks is to identify the action a that yields the maximum expected utility (MEU) given evidence e and an instance/state s for the chance nodes

$$\mathrm{MEU}(e) = \max_{a} \mathrm{EU}(a|e) = \max_{a} \sum_{s} P(s|e) \, U(s,a)$$

Remark. A decision network can be represented as an outcome tree (TODO: example)

#### 9.1 Value of Perfect Information

**Definition 9.2** (Value of Perfect Information). The value of perfect information (VPI) quantifies the amount an agent's maximum expected utility is expected to increase if it observes some new evidence.

$$VPI(E'|e) = MEU(e, E') - MEU(e) = \sum_{e'} P(e'|e)MEU(e, e') - MEU(e)$$

VPI has several important properties:

• Nonnegativity:

$$(\forall E', e) \text{VPI}(E'|e) \ge 0$$

• Nonadditivity: (intuitively, observing  $E_j$  might chance how much we care about  $E_k$ )

$$VPI(E_i, E_k|e) \neq VPI(E_i|e) + VPI(E_k|e)$$

• Order-independence:

$$VPI(E_j, E_k|e) = VPI(E_j|e) + VPI(E_k|e, E_j) = VPI(E_k|e) + VPI(E_j|e, E_k)$$

#### 10 Markov Models

**Definition 10.1** (Markov Models). The Markov model is analogous to a chain-like, infinite-length Bayes' net that is time-dependent. The model assumes that:

- 1. The memoryless property holds at all timesteps, and
- 2. The transition model is stationary (i.e., for all values of i,  $P(W_{i+1}|W_i)$  is identical)

### Algorithm 8: Mini-forward algorithm.

1 
$$P(W_{i+1}) \leftarrow \sum_{w_i} P(W_i + 1|w_i)P(w_i)$$

#### **Algorithm 9:** Finding stationary distribution.

**Output:** The stationary distribution  $W_t$ 

1 Given constraint  $\sum_{w_t} = 1$ , solve system of equations  $P(w_i^t) = \sum_{w_j^t} P(w_i^t | w_j^t) P(w_j^t)$ //  $w^t = w^{t+1}$ 

Definition 10.2 (Hidden Markov Models). Hidden Markov Model

- Sensor model  $P(F_i|W_i)$  is stationary
- Belief distribution:  $B(W_i) = P(W_i|f_1,\ldots,f_i), B'(W_i) = P(W_i|f_1,\ldots,f_{i-1})$

#### **Algorithm 10:** Forward algorithm.

- 1  $B'(W_{i+1}) \leftarrow \sum_{w_i} P(W_{i+1}|w_i)B(w_i)$  // time elapse update
- 2  $B(W_{i+1}) \propto P(f_{i+1}|W_{i+1})B'(W_{i+1})$  // observation update