CS 170 HW 13

Daniel Deng, SID 3034543526

1 Study Group

- (a) None
- (b) Yes

2 One-Sided Error and Las Vegas Algorithms

- (a) Since the randomized algorithm R(x) runs in polynomial time in the size of the input, the number of coin-flips must also be at most polynomial in the size of the input. Therefore, we can modify each instance of the R(x) algorithm as a deterministic A(x,r) where r is a poly-length sequence of coin flips. Now, consider a nondeterministic Turing machine that runs A(x,r) with all possible sequences of coin flips. If the correct answer to the RP instance is "No", then all instances of A(x,r) will return "No". If the correct answer is "Yes", at least half of the instances of A(x,r) will return "Yes". Therefore, since at all times some computation path of the nondeterministic returns an accepting state for RP, $RP \subseteq NP$.
- (b) Given that the problem has a ZPP algorithm, we construct a new algorithm that runs the ZPP algorithm on a given input, but terminates after running for a fixed amount of time and return "No" as a default. Under this scheme, if the true answer is "No", then the algorithm will always return "No" correctly; if the true answer is "Yes", then the algorithm will return "Yes" correctly as long as the algorithm completes running in the allotted time. We can find the termination time that guarantees that the algorithm return "Yes" correctly with probability greater than 1/2 using Markov's inequality.

Let X = the runtime of the ZPP algorithm (non-negative), and $\mathbb{E}[X]$ is polynomial in the size of the input. Using Markov's inequality, we have

$$P(X > \lambda) < \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{\lambda}$$

Substitute $\lambda = 2\mathbb{E}[X]$, we get

$$P(X > 2\mathbb{E}[X]) < \frac{1}{2}$$

This inequality reveals that as long as we set the termination time to be double the expected runtime, the probability of the algorithm terminated without outputting a "Yes" is less than 1/2, which means that the probability the algorithm returns "Yes" correctly is greater than 1/2.

Therefore, we can always construct a RP algorithm from a ZPP algorithm, and that if a problem has a ZPP algorithm, then it has an RP algorithm.

3 Quick Select

(a) QuickSelect finds the kth smallest element in A. Since X_{ij} is an indicator R.V.

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{ij}] = Pr(X_{ij} = 1)$$

Case 1: $k \le i < j$. If p < k or p > j, k, i, j will be placed on the same side for the next step, and i, j might still be compared. If $k \le p < i$, i, j will both be placed in the right side and never looked at again. This leaves $i \le p \le j$, and we know that i and j are compared only if the pivot is i or j. Therefore, i and j must be selected as pivots in the range [k, j] for them to be ever compared.

$$Pr(X_{ij} = 1 \mid k \le i < j) = \frac{2}{j - k + 1}$$

Case 2: i < k < j: Picking pivot outside [i, j] will keep i, j, k on the same side and continue to be evaluated. Within the range [i, j], i, j will only be compared if either i or j is selected as the pivot.

$$Pr(X_{ij} = 1 \mid i \le k \le j) = \frac{2}{j - i + 1}$$

Case 3: $i < j \le k$. Similar logic to Case 1.

$$Pr(X_{ij} = 1 \mid i < j \le k) = \frac{2}{k - i + 1}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[runtime \mid k \leq i < j] &= \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \frac{2}{j-k+1} \\ &= 2\left((1)\frac{1}{2} + (2)\frac{1}{3} + \dots + (n-k)\frac{1}{n-k+1}\right) \\ &= 2\sum_{a=1}^{n-k} \frac{a}{a+1} < 2(n-k) \implies O(n) \\ \mathbb{E}[runtime \mid i < k < j] &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \frac{2}{j-i+1} \\ &= 2\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{k-i+2} + \frac{1}{k-i+3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n-i+1}\right) \\ \text{(know from lecture that } \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} < \ln n) \\ &< 2\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\ln(n-i+1) - \ln(k-i+1)\right) \\ &= 2\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \ln \left(\frac{n-i+1}{k-i+1}\right) \\ &= 2\ln \left(\frac{(n)(n-1)\dots(n-k+2)}{(k)(k-1)\dots(2)}\right) \\ &= 2\ln \left(\frac{n!}{k!(n-k+1)!}\right) \\ &< 2\ln \binom{n}{k} \leq 2ln2^n \implies O(n) \\ \mathbb{E}[runtime \mid i < j \leq k] &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{k} \frac{2}{k-i+1} \\ &= 2\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{k-i}{k-i+1} < 2(k-1) \implies O(n) \end{split}$$

Therefore, the expected runtime is O(n).

4 Pairwise Independent Hashing

- (a) By the pigeonhole principle, if \mathcal{H} has strictly less than m^2 functions, it is impossible to have at least one function correspond to each of the m^2 combinations of values. Therefore, the probability of picking any pair of values is not uniform, and \mathcal{H} cannot be pairwise independent.
- (b) If \mathcal{H} is pairwise independent, then the probability of a pair of values being any of the m^2 combinations is uniform. In other words, the probability of two values colliding is exactly $\frac{1}{m^2}$. Since there are m ways to collide, the total probability of two values colliding is $\frac{1}{m}$, indicating that \mathcal{H} is also universal.

(c)