Introduction to Artificial Intelligence

Daniel Deng

1 Agents

Definition 1.1 (Reflex Agent). A reflex agent chooses actions based on its current perception of the world.

Definition 1.2 (Planning Agent). A planning agent chooses actions based on hypothesized consequences of actions.

General Search Problems $\mathbf{2}$

2.1 Heuristics

Definition 2.1 (Heuristic). A heuristic h(n) is a function that estimates the distance from state n to the goal state for a particular search problem. It is often solutions of relaxed problems.

- 1. A heuristic is <u>admissible</u> if $0 \le h(n) \le h^*(n)$ where h^* is the true cost to goal state;
- 2. A heuristic is consistent if $h(n) h(n+1) \le c(n, n+1)$ where c is the cost between states n and n+1.

Remark. Consistency necessarily implies admissibility.

2.2Search Algorithms

Table 1: Search algorithms.

	Fringe	Complete	Optimal	Time	Space
Depth-First Search	Stack	iff no cycle	No	$O(b^m)$	O(bm)
Breadth-First Search	Queue	Yes	iff uniform cost	$O(b^s)^1$	$O(b^s)^1$
Uniform Cost Search	$PQ(g(n))^2$	iff positive cost	Yes	$O(b^{c^*/\epsilon})^3$	$O(b^{c^*/\epsilon})^3$
Greedy Search	PQ(h(n))	-	No	-	-
A^* Tree Search	PQ(h(n) + g(n))	-	iff $h(n)$ admissible	-	-
A^* Graph Search ⁴	PQ(h(n) + g(n))	-	iff $h(n)$ consistent	-	-

Remark. Implementation of search algorithms differ only in fringe strategies.

 $^{^1}$ s= depth of solution. 2 g(n)= cumulative path cost. 3 $c^*/\epsilon=$ effective solution depth ($c^*=$ cost of the cheapest solution; $\epsilon=$ minimum cost of cost-contour arcs).

⁴ Compared to tree search, graph search keeps a closed set of expanded states to check against to prevent duplicate

3 Constrained Satisfaction Problems

Definition 3.1 (Constrained Satisfaction Problems). Constrained Satisfaction Problems (CSPs) are a type of **identification problem** defined by variable X_0, \ldots, X_n with values from a domain D that satisfies a set of constrains.

```
Algorithm 1: Backtracking search.
   Input: A constraint satisfaction problem P.
   Output: A complete assignment A.
 1 Function BS(P):
   return EXPLORE (P, \{\})
 3 Function EXPLORE (P, A):
       if A is complete then
 4
          return A
 \mathbf{5}
       unassigned \leftarrow \text{an unassigned VARIABLES}(P)
 6
       foreach value \in DOMAIN(unassigned) do
 7
           if value is consistent with all CONSTRAINTS(P) then
 8
               add \{unassigned \leftarrow value\} to A
 9
               attempt \leftarrow \texttt{EXPLORE}(P, A)
10
               if attemp failed then
11
                | \quad \text{remove } \{unassigned \leftarrow value\} \text{ from } A
12
               else
13
                  return attempt
14
       {\bf return}\ failed
15
```

3.1 Filtering

```
Definition 3.2 (Arc Consistency). Arc X \to Y is consistent \Leftrightarrow
```

 $(\forall x \in D_x)(\exists y \in D_y)(y \text{ can be assigned to } Y \text{ without violating a constraint.})$

```
Algorithm 2: Arc consistency filtering.
   Input: A constraint satisfaction problem P.
1 Function AC-3(P):
\mathbf{2}
       Q \leftarrow \text{empty Queue}
       enqueue all arcs \in P
       while Q is not empty do
4
           (X_i, X_i) \leftarrow \text{dequeue from } Q
 5
           if FILTER(X_i, X_j) is successful then
 6
               foreach X_k \in \texttt{NEIGHBORS}(X_i) do
 7
                  enqueue (X_k, X_i)
 8
9 Function FILTER (tail, head):
       result \leftarrow false
10
11
       foreach value \in DOMAIN(tail) do
           if value violates some constraint with all values in DOMAIN(head) then
12
               delete value from DOMAIN(tail)
13
               result \leftarrow true
14
       return reuslt
15
```

3.2 Ordering

Definition 3.3 (Minimum Remaining Values). The MRV policy chooses an unassigned variable that has the fewest valid remaining values in order to induce backtracking earlier and reduce potential node expansions.

Definition 3.4 (Least Constraining Value). The LCV policy chooses a value assignment that violates the least amount of constraints, which requires additional computation such as running arc consistency test on each value.

3.3 Structure

Given a tree-structured CSP, represent it as a directed acyclic graph. Enforcing arc consistency in reverse topological order then assigning in topological order ensures a runtime

of $O(nd^2)$ (as opposed to $O(d^n)$ in the general case). TODO: nearly tree-like CSPs and tree decomposition.

4 Local Search

Definition 4.1 (Local Search). A search strategy that improve a single option until no further improvements can be made. Typically, local search is faster and more memory efficient than other search algorithms but is generally neither complete nor optimal.

Definition 4.2 (Hill Climbing). A CSP strategy that randomly selects a conflicting variable and reassign values using min-conflicts heuristics.

Remark. Efficiency of the algorithm depends on $R = \frac{\text{number of constraints}}{\text{number of variables}}$; computation time is approximately constant time except when R approaches the *critical ratio*.

4.1 Simulated Annealing

```
Algorithm 3: Simulated annealing.
   Input: A problem P and a schedule/mapping from time to "temperature" T.
   Output: A solution state.
   /* Escape local maxima by allowing downhill movement based on a
       "temperature"-dependent probabilistic function.
                                                                                              */
1 current \leftarrow initial state of P
2 for t \leftarrow 1 to \infty do
       temp \leftarrow T[t]
3
       if temp = 0 then
 4
           return current
 5
       else
6
           next \leftarrow a randomly selected successor of current
 7
           \Delta \leftarrow \text{Value}[next] - \text{Value}[current]
           if \Delta > 0 then
 9
              current \leftarrow next
10
           else
11
               currnet \leftarrow next with probability e^{\frac{\Delta}{temp}}
12
```

4.2 Genetic Algorithm

Definition 4.3 (Genetic Algorithm). A strategy that keeps the best N hypothesis at each step based on a fitness function and generate next generation using pairwise cross-over operations (and, optionally, mutation operations).

5 Games

Definition 5.1 (Games). Games are multi-agent search problems that could be zero-sum (adversarial) or general sum.

5.1 Minimax

Definition 5.2 (Minimax). A zero-sum-game algorithm that assumes the opponent is an optimal adversary.

```
Algorithm 4: Minimax with alpha-beta pruning.
   Input: A game state S
    Output: The root minimax value.
    /* initialize \alpha \leftarrow -\infty and \beta \leftarrow \infty
                                                                                                           */
 1 Function VALUE(S, \alpha, \beta):
        if S is a terminal state then
            return knwon temrinal value
 3
        if the agent is maximizing then
 4
            return MAX-VALUE (S, \alpha, \beta)
 5
        if the agent is minimizing then
 6
            return MIN-VALUE (S, \alpha, \beta)
 7
 8 Function MAX-VALUE(S, \alpha, \beta):
        v \leftarrow -\infty
 9
        foreach successor S' of S do
10
            v \leftarrow \texttt{MAX}(v, \texttt{VALUE}(S'))
11
            if v \geq \beta then
12
                return v
            \alpha \leftarrow \texttt{MAX}(\alpha, v)
14
        \mathbf{return}\ v
15
16 Function MIN-VALUE(S, \alpha, \beta):
        v \leftarrow \infty
17
        foreach successor S' of S do
18
            v \leftarrow \texttt{MIN}(v, \texttt{VALUE}(S'))
19
            if v \leq \alpha then
20
                 return v
            \beta \leftarrow \text{MIN}(\beta, v)
22
23
        return v
```

5.2 Expectimax

Definition 5.3 (Expectimax). A zero-sum-game algorithm that assumes the opponent acts based on some probability distribution.

```
Algorithm 5: Expectimax.
   Input: A game state S
   Output: The root minimax value.
 1 Function VALUE(S):
       if S is a terminal state then
           return knwon temrinal value
 3
       if the agent is maximizing then
 4
           return MAX-VALUE(S)
 5
       if the agent is randomizing then
 6
           return EXP-VALUE(S)
 7
 8 Function MAX-VALUE(S):
       v \leftarrow -\infty
       foreach successor S' of S do
10
        v \leftarrow \texttt{MAX}(v, \texttt{VALUE}(S'))
11
       \mathbf{return}\ v
12
13 Function EXP-VALUE(S):
       v \leftarrow 0
14
       foreach successor S' of S do
          v \leftarrow \mathbb{E}\left[ \mathtt{VALUE}(S') \right] \ / / \ \mathtt{expected} \ \mathtt{value}
16
17
       \mathbf{return}\ v
```

6 Markov Decision Processes

Remark. Finite horizons (finite timestep before an agent terminates) and/or discount factors (γ) ensure an agent terminates in MDP.

Definition 6.1 (Transition Function). $T(s, a, s') = P(s' \mid s, a)$

6.1 Value Iteration

```
Algorithm 6: Value Iteration
    Input: A MDP (S, A, R, T, \gamma).
    Output: The optimal policy \pi^*(s) for all s \in S.
 1 Function POLICY-EXTRACTION():
         V^* \leftarrow \mathtt{VALUE}	ext{-}\mathtt{ITERATION()} // optimal value
         foreach s \in S do
 3
              \pi^*(s) \leftarrow \operatorname*{argmax}_{a} \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s')]
 4
         return \pi^*(s) \mid \forall s \in S
 5
 6 Function VALUE-ITERATION():
         Initialize V_0(s) \leftarrow 0 for all s \in S
 7
         while V_{k+1}(s) \neq V_k(s) \mid \forall s \in S \text{ do}
 8
           // repeat until values converge V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_{a} \sum_{s'} T(s,a,s') [R(s,a,s') + \gamma V_k(s')]
 9
         return V^*(s) \leftarrow V_{k+1}(s) \mid \forall s \in S
10
```

6.2 Policy Iteration

Definition 6.2 (Policy Iteration). Define an initial policy π_0 (can be arbitrary, but ideality close to the optimal policy). Then, iteratively solve $\forall s \in S$

$$V^{\pi_i}(s) = \sum_{s'} T(s, \pi_i(s), s') [R(s, \pi_i(s), s') + \gamma V^{\pi_i}(s')]$$
 (policy evaluation)
$$\pi_{i+1}(s) \leftarrow \underset{a}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^{\pi_i}(s')]$$
 (policy improvement)

until $\pi(s)$ converges for all $s \in S$ (yields pi^* .

Remark. Policy evaluation solves a system of |S| liear equations.

Remark. Policy iteration converges faster than value iteration.

7 Reinforcement Learning

Remark. Reinforcement learning operates on MDP problems where the T and R functions are unknown.

7.1 Model-Based Learning

Definition 7.1 (Model-Based RL). An algorithm that counts and normalizes sample outcomes s' for each s, a to construct $\hat{T}(s, a, s')$ and discovers each $\hat{R}(s, a, s')$ through exploration. The approximated MDP is then solved by value or policy iteration.

7.2 Model-Free Learning

Definition 7.2 (Direct Evaluation). An algorithm that fixes some policy π and empirically computes $V^{\pi}(s)$ for all $s \in S$ by averaging total sample utility for a given state.

Remark. Direct evaluation wastes information about state connections and will take a long time to learn.

Definition 7.3 (Temporal Difference Learning).

$$V^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow (1 - \alpha)V^{\pi}(s) + \alpha[R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V^{\pi}(s')]$$

Remark. Can't extract policy.

Definition 7.4 (Q-Learning).

$$Q(s, a) \leftarrow (1 - \alpha)Q(s, a) + \alpha[R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a')]$$

Remark. Q-learning is an example of off-policy learning, in which the algorithm can learn the optimal policy even by taking sub-optimal or random actions.

Definition 7.5 (Approximate Q-Learning). Represent q-values as weighted sums of features $Q(s,a) := \vec{w} \cdot \vec{f}(s,a)$. The update rule for Q-Learning then becomes

$$\Delta \leftarrow [R'(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a')] - Q(s, a)$$
$$w_i \leftarrow w_i + \alpha \cdot \Delta \cdot f_i(s, a)$$

Definition 7.6 (ϵ -Greedy Policies). Define some probability $0 \le \epsilon \le 1$ to act randomly and explore. ϵ should be lowered over time to favor more exploitation as the learning becomes complete.

Definition 7.7 (Exploration Function).

$$Q(s, a) \leftarrow (1 - \alpha)Q(s, a) + \alpha [R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} f(s', a')]$$
$$f(s, a) = Q(s, a) + \frac{\text{const.}}{\text{count}(Q(s, a))}$$

Remark. f(s,a) shown here is only a common example where the "bonus" for exploration diminishes as q-states are explored more and more.