

The War on Drugs and Mass Incarceration (1980–Present)

The War on Drugs is a controversial topic that has shaped American society for decades. Debate continues over which substances should be legalized or criminalized; after all, citizens can purchase over-the-counter medications, smoke cigarettes, drink alcohol, and obtain prescriptions for some pharmaceuticals. How can some substances be legal while others are criminalized? In June 1971, former US President Richard Nixon declared drug abuse to be "public enemy number one," officially launching the War on Drugs. Despite harsh punishments and mass incarceration, drug use has not decreased. Instead, drug cartels continue to profit in the United States, much like bootleggers did during Prohibition. The resulting overcrowding of prisons and strain on law enforcement highlight the systemic issues created by this policy. A staggering 85% of the American prison population has a history of substance abuse or was incarcerated for a drug-related offense.

The War on Drugs bears striking similarities to Prohibition (1920–1933), which forbade the sale, transportation, and manufacture of alcohol. Like the War on Drugs, Prohibition failed to eliminate consumption and did little to curb offenders. Public perception of drug policy has shifted dramatically over time; early support for criminalization has given way to criticism over systemic racism and disproportionate impacts on Black communities. Interpretation of this issue often depends on personal experience: individuals with loved ones imprisoned for drug-related offenses perceive the consequences differently than those who have lost loved ones to overdoses.

Background and Historical Context

Richard Nixon's designation of drugs as "public enemy number one" in the 1970s was widely covered by print, radio, and television media. Secondary sources documenting these events provide fact-checked accounts of the campaign's objectives, penalties, and societal impact. Norman Stamper, a retired police chief, provides a primary perspective on nonviolent crimes and the collateral damage caused by failed prohibition efforts, offering insight into the real-world consequences of drug enforcement policies. Secondary sources from HISTORY.com and Vox describe the evolution of drug laws, the growth of the prison population, and the financial and social costs of mass incarceration.

The establishment of the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) and escalating penalties for drug-related offenses illustrate the government's commitment to enforcing prohibition. Evidence suggests that the campaign was not only ineffective but also racially motivated. Nixon administration officials reportedly used drug policy as a political tool against Black communities and anti-war activists, shaping public perception of substance use as a moral and social threat

Deconstructing the Narrative

Both HISTORY.com and Vox rely on factual data, timelines, and visual representations to illustrate the rise in incarceration rates and continued drug use. Stamper's account offers a law enforcement perspective on the human consequences of these policies, emphasizing the inefficacy of punitive measures for nonviolent offenders. This narrative highlights systemic racial disparities: Black Americans often received harsher sentences for drug-related offenses than others, a reflection of enduring prejudice and inequities in the judicial system.

Historical accounts also demonstrate the social and political framing that contributed to public fear of drug use. Nixon's administration linked drug use to violence, portraying certain cultural groups, such as hippies and Black Americans, negatively. This manipulation influenced voter perception and policy support. Research indicates that despite strict enforcement, drug availability and consumption remained largely unchanged.

Shifting the Perspective

Examining the War on Drugs in historical context allows for a critical evaluation of policy effectiveness. Like Prohibition, punitive approaches failed to eliminate substance use while generating significant social and financial costs. Recognition of drug addiction as a public health issue, rather than solely a criminal matter, could provide a more effective framework. Recent legalization of marijuana in many states highlights evolving societal norms and questions the fairness of previous convictions. This shift underscores the importance of multiple perspectives in understanding historical events and developing ethical solutions.

Conclusion

The War on Drugs and resulting mass incarceration reveal systemic flaws in policy, enforcement, and public perception. Historical parallels to Prohibition, racial disparities, and the continued ineffectiveness of punitive measures demonstrate the need for a more informed, public health-oriented approach. Evaluating these policies through multiple perspectives offers critical insights into social justice, ethics, and the consequences of legislation that disproportionately impacts marginalized communities.

Works Cited

Norman Stamper (2011) "Prohibition: A parallel to modern war on drugs" | The Seattle Times Retrieved from: <https://www.seattletimes.com/opinion/prohibition-a-parallel-to-modern-war-on-drugs/>

War on Drugs - Timeline in America, Definition & Facts | HISTORY (2019) Retrieved from: <https://www.history.com/topics/crime/the-war-on-drugs>

Lopez, German (2016) "The war on drugs, explained" - Vox Retrieved from: <https://www.vox.com/2016/5/8/18089368/war-on-drugs-marijuana-cocaine-heroin-meth>

LoBianco, Tom (2016) CNN Report: Nixon aide says war on drugs targeted blacks, hippies | CNN Politics Retrieved from: <https://www.cnn.com/2016/03/23/politics/john-ehrichman-richard-nixon-drug-war-blacks-hippie/index.html>