

1) 6 5 3 11 7 5 2

→ Highlighted green elements to the left are always sorted. We begin with the element in position 0 in the sorted part, and we will be moving the element in position 1 (in blue) to the left until it is sorted.

→ Move the blue element to the left until it reaches the correct position.

Swap ⇒ 5 6 3 11 7 5 2

→ Processing element in position 2.

Swap ⇒ 5 3 6 11 7 5 2

3 5 6 11 7 5 2

→ Processing element in position 3.

3 5 6 11 7 5 2

→ Processing element in position 4.

Swap ⇒ 3 5 6 7 11 5 2

→ Processing element in position 5.

→ move the blue element (position 5) to the left until it reaches the correct position

Swap ⇒ 3 5 6 7 5 11 2

3 5 6 5 7 11 2

3 5 5 6 7 11 2

→ Processing element in position 6.

Swap ⇒ 3 5 5 6 7 2 11

3 5 5 6 2 7 11

3 5 5 2 6 7 11

3 5 2 5 6 7 11

3 2 5 5 6 7 11

2 3 5 5 6 7 11

Done Sorting!

2) a)

```
for (int i=1; i<n; i++) {
    for (int j=1; j<=n; j++) {
        print ("x");
        break;
    }
}
```

→ (n-1) times
→ 1 time

If n is 1, it enters an if statement and the program ends. (Best case $O(1)$). In the first loop, (n-1) operations are done. Since there is a break in the second loop, it performs once and finishes the loop no matter how many n times.

Therefore; time complexity = $O(n)$

b)

c. for (int i=n/3; i<=n; i++) → (n/3) times
b. for (int j=1; j+n/3<=n; j=j+1) → (n/3) times
a. for (int k=1; k<=n; k=k*2) → (log n) times
count++;

→ a. $k=1 \rightarrow 3^0$
 $k=3 \rightarrow 3^1$
 $k=9 \rightarrow 3^2$
 $k=27 \rightarrow 3^3$
 $k=n \rightarrow 3^i$

$n=3^i \rightarrow \log_3 n = i$
 $O = (\log n)$

→ b. $\frac{n}{3} + 1 + \frac{n}{3} + 2 + \dots + n \rightarrow O(n - \frac{n}{3}) = O(\frac{2n}{3}) = O(\frac{n}{3})$

→ c. $\frac{n}{3} + 1 + \frac{n}{3} + 2 + \dots + n \rightarrow O(n - \frac{n}{3}) = O(\frac{2n}{3}) = O(\frac{n}{3})$

Therefore; time complexity = $O(n^2 \log n)$

3. procedure

```
MergeSort (L[0:n])
if n <= 1
    return 0
end if
left = L[0:n/2]
right = L[n/2+1:n]
MergeSort (left)
MergeSort (right)
Merge (left, right, L)
end
```


procedure Merge (Left[0:n/2], Right[n/2+1:n], L[0:n])

$i = j = k = 0$
while $i < \text{len}(\text{Left})$ and $j < \text{len}(\text{Right})$ do

if $\text{Left}[i] < \text{Right}[j]$

$L[k] = \text{Left}[i]$

$i++$

else

$L[k] = \text{Right}[j]$

$j++$

end if

$k++$

end while

while $i < \text{len}(\text{Left})$ do

$L[k] = \text{Left}[i]$

$i++$

$k++$

end while

while $j < \text{len}(\text{Right})$ do

$L[k] = \text{Right}[j]$

$j++$

$k++$

end while

end

procedure

Find Pairs (Arr[0:n], number)

Merge Sort (Arr)

$\text{left} = 0$

$\text{right} = n - 1$

while $\text{left} < \text{right}$ do

$\text{sum} = \text{Arr}[\text{left}] + \text{Arr}[\text{right}]$

if $\text{sum} < \text{number}$

$\text{left}++$

elif $\text{sum} > \text{number}$

$\text{right}--$

else

print ("Number : " + Arr[left], Arr[right])

$\text{left}++$

$\text{right}--$

end if

end while

end

→ MergeSort Analysis

Master Theorem: Let $x(n)$ be an eventually non-decreasing function that satisfies the recurrence relation:

$$x(n) = a x(n/b) + f(n) ; n = b^k (k = 1, 2, \dots)$$

$$x(1) = c, \text{ where } a > 1, b > 1, c > 0$$

If $f(n) \in \Theta(n^d)$ where $d > 0$ then

$$x(n) \in \begin{cases} \Theta(n^d) & \text{if } a < b^d \\ \Theta(n^d \log n) & \text{if } a = b^d \\ \Theta(n^{\log_b a}) & \text{if } a > b^d \end{cases}$$

So $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n$
 ↗ dividing part ↘ merge part

$$\begin{aligned} a &= 2 \\ b &= 2 \\ d &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$a = b^d \Rightarrow 2 = 2^1 \quad \underline{\underline{\Theta(n \log n)}}$$

→ Find Pairs Analysis

As seen in our while loop, it starts scanning from the beginning of the left array and right from the end. At worst, only one of these values (left or right) changes and one stays in place. This means the loop continues only $(n-1)$ times

$$\text{So, } \Theta(n \log n + (n-1)) \Rightarrow \underline{\underline{\Theta(n \log n)}}$$

4) We can think of this problem in 2 ways. The first is array logic. In this we need to insert element by element.

In the second case, we think with node logic. So, when root is inserted, the whole tree is connected. Because we can traverse the tree from the root as right child and left child. Nodes are interconnected

Now $x \rightarrow$ element to insert. Since it will be inserted into an existing tree, it is always inserted at the end of the tree.

$$x = L[1] \text{ or } x = L[n]$$

$$\text{Compare } x \text{ with } L[2^{k-1}], L[2^{k-2}], \dots, L[1]$$

$$n = 2^{k-1} \rightarrow k = \log_2(n+1)$$

$$\text{So, Search} \rightarrow \Theta(\log n)$$

$$\text{node insert } \Theta(1) \rightarrow \in \Theta(\log n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{array element} \rightarrow \in \Theta(n \log n) \\ &\text{one by one} \\ &\text{(n elements)} \\ &\text{(n times search)} \end{aligned}$$

5) We can only do this problem with the hash method in linear time. In python, what it meet is the dictionary.

Get dictionary $\rightarrow O(1)$

procedure check (big-arr, small-arr):

```
for i in big-arr:
    dict[i] = 1
end for dict
for i in small-arr:
    if dict.get(i) == None:
        return 0
    end if
end for
return 1
```

end

Size of big-arr is n and size of small-arr is m .

First loop, adding big-arr to dictionary is $O(n)$ complexity.

Second loop, function of get() is $O(1)$ complexity. Loop returns m times

Best Case: First element of small-arr is not in the dict.

$$\text{So, } O(n+1) = O(n)$$

Worst Case: Each element of small-arr is element of big-arr

$$\text{So, } O(m+n)$$