

MODEL VALIDATION REPORT

FRB SR 11-7 / OCC 2011-12 Compliance

MODEL INFORMATION






- **Model Name:** Customer Churn Prediction Model v2.1
- **Model ID:** MDL-2024-CHN-001
- **Model Type:** Gradient Boosting Classifier
- **Risk Tier:** HIGH
- **Business Unit:** Retail Banking - Customer Analytics
- **Validation Date:** March 25, 2024
- **Validation Team:** Independent Model Validation Group

VALIDATION STATUS:  **APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS**




EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Customer Churn Prediction Model v2.1 has been thoroughly validated according to FRB SR 11-7 requirements. The model demonstrates strong predictive performance with an AUC-ROC of 0.89 and meets most validation criteria. However, some fairness concerns were identified requiring ongoing monitoring and mitigation strategies.

Key Performance Metrics

Metric	Score	Status
Accuracy	0.870	 Pass
Precision	0.890	 Pass
Recall	0.820	 Pass
F1 Score	0.850	 Pass
AUC-ROC	0.890	 Pass

Risk Assessment Summary

-  **Model Risk Rating:** HIGH - Due to direct customer impact and financial implications
-  **Fairness Risk:** MEDIUM - Demographic parity difference of 8% detected across age groups
-  **Operational Risk:** LOW - Model demonstrates stable performance under stress testing

- ✔ **Data Quality Risk:** LOW – Comprehensive data validation procedures in place

Key Recommendations

- Implement fairness monitoring for age-based disparities
- Establish monthly performance monitoring with drift detection
- Develop contingency plans for model degradation scenarios
- Schedule quarterly revalidation for high-risk classification

PERFORMANCE VALIDATION RESULTS

Model Performance Analysis

The model underwent comprehensive performance testing including:

- ROC Analysis:** AUC of 0.89 indicates excellent discrimination ability
- Precision-Recall:** Well-balanced trade-off with F1 score of 0.85
- Calibration:** Model predictions are well-calibrated (Brier score: 0.18)
- Threshold Analysis:** Optimal threshold identified at 0.52

Confusion Matrix Results

	Predicted No Churn	Predicted Churn
Actual No Churn	8,543 (TN)	1,457 (FP)
Actual Churn	1,823 (FN)	7,177 (TP)

Key Metrics:

- True Positive Rate (Sensitivity): 79.7%
- True Negative Rate (Specificity): 85.4%
- Positive Predictive Value: 83.1%
- Negative Predictive Value: 82.4%

FAIRNESS AND BIAS ANALYSIS

Demographic Parity Analysis

Age Group	Positive Rate	Accuracy	Disparity
18-25	0.42	0.83	-6%
26-35	0.45	0.87	-3%
36-45	0.48	0.89	0% (ref)
46-55	0.47	0.88	-1%
56+	0.40	0.82	-8% ⚠️

Fairness Metrics Summary

Metric	Age Disparity	Gender Disparity	Status
Demographic Parity	0.08	0.03	⚠️ Age exceeds threshold
Equalized Odds	0.06	0.04	✅ Within limits
Equal Opportunity	0.05	0.03	✅ Within limits

Bias Mitigation Recommendations

- 1. **Age-based Disparity:** Implement age-aware calibration to reduce the 8% disparity
- 2. **Disparate Impact:** Continue monitoring selection rates across demographic groups
- 3. **Ongoing Monitoring:** Establish monthly fairness audits with automated alerts
- 4. **Model Retraining:** Consider fairness-constrained optimization in next iteration

ROBUSTNESS AND STABILITY TESTING

Stress Test Results

Scenario	Performance (AUC)	Status
Baseline	0.87	✅ Normal
Market Shock	0.82	✅ Acceptable
Data Drift	0.78	⚠️ Monitor
Feature Noise	0.75	⚠️ Monitor
Label Shift	0.73	⚠️ Monitor

Feature Sensitivity Analysis

Top sensitive features requiring monitoring:

- 1. Credit Score (15% impact)

2. Account Age (12% impact)
3. Transaction Volume (10% impact)
4. Support Calls (8% impact)

Temporal Stability

Model shows gradual performance degradation over 12 months:

- Month 1-3: 0.87 average AUC
- Month 4-6: 0.85 average AUC
- Month 7-9: 0.83 average AUC
- Month 10-12: 0.81 average AUC

Recommendation: Implement quarterly retraining schedule

MONITORING AND GOVERNANCE PLAN

Production Monitoring Configuration

- **Monitoring Frequency:** DAILY (High-Risk Model)
- **Alert Channels:** Email, Slack, PagerDuty
- **Performance Metrics Thresholds:**
 - Accuracy: Warning @ 0.85, Critical @ 0.80
 - AUC-ROC: Warning @ 0.85, Critical @ 0.80
 - F1 Score: Warning @ 0.78, Critical @ 0.73

Data Quality Monitoring

- Missing Feature Rate: Alert if > 5%
- Feature Distribution Drift: KS Test with threshold 0.1
- Prediction Drift: PSI threshold 0.2

Business Metrics

- False Positive Cost: Alert if > \$50,000/day
- Processing Time: Alert if p99 > 1000ms
- Daily Volume: Alert if outside 500-100,000 range

Governance Structure

- **Model Owner:** Customer Analytics Team Lead
- **Technical Validator:** Independent Model Validation Group
- **Business Approver:** VP of Retail Banking
- **Risk Oversight:** Model Risk Committee

Review Schedule

- **Monthly:** Performance metrics review
 - **Quarterly:** Full revalidation
 - **Annually:** Comprehensive model review
 - **Ad-hoc:** Triggered by performance degradation
-

APPENDIX: TECHNICAL VALIDATION DETAILS

Model Specifications

- **Algorithm:** XGBoost Classifier v1.7.0
- **Features:** 127 engineered features from 43 raw inputs
- **Training Data:** 2.3M customer records (Jan 2022 - Dec 2023)
- **Validation Split:** 70/15/15 (train/validation/test)
- **Hyperparameter Optimization:** Bayesian optimization with 5-fold CV

Validation Methodology





- **Performance Testing:** Stratified holdout test set (n=345,000)
- **Temporal Validation:** Out-of-time validation on Q1 2024 data
- **Cross-validation:** 5-fold stratified CV with consistent results
- **Benchmark Comparison:** Outperforms previous logistic regression by 12%

Key Limitations

- Limited performance on customers with <6 months history
- Potential seasonality effects not fully captured
- Feature drift risk for third-party data sources
- Model complexity may impact interpretability

Compliance Checklist

-  SR 11-7 Section IV: Model Development - Complete documentation

-  SR 11-7 Section V: Model Validation - Independent validation performed
 -  SR 11-7 Section V.1: Conceptual Soundness - Methodology reviewed
 -  SR 11-7 Section V.2: Ongoing Monitoring - Monitoring plan established
 -  SR 11-7 Section V.3: Outcomes Analysis - Backtesting completed
 -  SR 11-7 Section VI: Governance - Approval workflow defined
-

VALIDATION APPROVAL SIGNATURES

Model Developer: Dr. Sarah Chen, Lead Data Scientist Date: March 15, 2024

Independent Validator: Michael Rodriguez, Senior Model Validator Date: March 18, 2024

Business Sponsor: Jennifer Thompson, VP, Retail Banking Date: March 20, 2024

Risk Management: David Kumar, Chief Risk Officer Date: March 22, 2024

FINAL APPROVAL: MODEL RISK COMMITTEE

Status: APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS **Approval Date:** March 25, 2024 **Next Review:** June 25, 2024