

PERCOLATION ANALYSIS:

1. Doubling N increased the runtime for all cases. Doubling N causes runtimes to be multiplied with the corresponding numbers (approximately these numbers) found below:  
PercolationDFS: x 16.  
PercolationDFSFast: x 4.  
PercolationUF (QuickFind) x 16.  
PercolationUF (QuickUWPC): x 4.
2. Doubling T increased the runtime for all cases. Doubling T caused the runtimes to be multiplied with the following numbers (approximately) for each case:  
PercolationDFS: x 2.  
PercolationDFSFast: x 2.  
PercolationUF (QuickFind) x 2.  
PercolationUF (QuickUWPC): x 2.
3. PercolationDFS:  $O(N^4T)$   
PercolationDFSFast:  $O(N^2T)$   
PercolationUF (QuickFind):  $O(N^4T)$   
PercolationUF(QuickUWPC):  $O(N^2T)$
4. Seconds in a day: 86400. For all the following runtimes, I ran the code with N=200 and T=100. Thus, make calculations dividing this number by each runtime:  
PercolationDFS: 1100  
PercolationDFSFast: 67000  
PercolationUF (QuickFind): 1220  
PercolationUF (QuickUWPC): 123000
5. Both PercolationDFS and PercolationDFSFast uses approximately  $4N^2$  bytes of memory given that they store an integer grid of size  $N^2$  and an integer takes up 4 bytes of space.  
Because a Boolean uses a single byte of space, the Boolean grid created in PercolationUF with QuickFind or QuickUWPC should use about  $N^2$  bytes. These terms are going to dominate the calculations.