Fairness in Lazy Quantum Random Walks

David F. Dolphin and Michael McGettrick[†]

Department of Information Technology, National University of Ireland, Galway
email: {d.dolphin1,micheal.mcgettrick}@nuigalway.ie

Introduction

Random walks are a statistical tool, used to study patterns in randomness. They can be applied over a finite space (typically a graph) or an infinite continuum.

Quantum (random) walks are the quantum equivalent of classical random walks. They are studied to observe the statistical properties of quantum systems. These results can aid the design of randomized quantum algorithms, particularly regarding efficiency concerns for those algorithms[1]. Much of the work concerning discrete quantum walks deals with two-state bit's, known as qubits. At each time step in a qubit system the particle must move. Our work looks at three state systems, who's particles are known as qutrits. The particle is not forced to move at each time step; there is a possibility that it can remain in the same location. This possibility to remain in place gives rise to the name "lazy" quantum walks.

Classical Walks

The most approachable application of a discrete classical random walk is a fair coin toss, the result of which moves a particle left or right on an infinite line. After this experiment has been run a number of times, the distance from the origin is recorded. This series of experiments is then run a number of times, and the distance from the origin is recorded each time. When a histogram of these results is plotted, we see that the distribution of distances from the origin is approximately normal (Figure 1). As the particle can only land on an odd numbered space after an odd number of steps and an even numbered space after an even number of steps, over a larger number of steps every second value will be zero.


Figure 1: Histogram of final positions, 10,000 iterations of 100-step classical walk.

This can be generalized to show the probability of the particle being at a certain position after a certain number of steps[1].

Figure 2: The probability of being at position i after T steps of the classical random walk on the line starting in 0.

However, on a closed graph the probabilities converge over time. On a 4 position circle, where the particle can move clockwise or counter-clockwise after each timestep, the probabilities converge to $\frac{1}{4}$ for each of the four positions.

We now consider a three state system on a graph. A particle can move left, right or remain stationary at each time step. Figure 3 shows the generalized probability distributions of a lazy classical walk.

Figure 3: The probability of being at position i after T steps of the lazy classical random walk on the line starting in 0.

The lazy classical walk has a normal distribution; the introduction of the lazy step removes the odd/even restriction. Like the standard classical walk, the lazy classical walk converges to equal values on a closed graph.

It is possible to vary the lazy bias of a classical walk. A common bias is to choose a probability per iteration of $\frac{1}{2}$ for the lazy move, $\frac{1}{4}$ for the clockwise move, and $\frac{1}{4}$ for the counter-clockwise move. This bias can be modeled as two coin tosses, where one coin signals movement or its absence, the second signals the direction of movement (if the first coin signals movement).

However, we have chosen to give each movement possibility a probability of $\frac{1}{3}$. This is for comparison with the quantum randomization function we will be examining.

Hadamard Gate

The quantum randomizing function we are examining is known as a Hadamard Gate (also known as a Hadamard Coin). A coin used for a two state (qubit) system is H_2

$$H_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

It is unitary and has been shown[1] to be fair.

The Hadamard gate has been generalized by Marttala[2] to H_m, where m is the number of states in the system.

As we are interested in a lazy walk we want a three state gate H_3, where the three states represent a left movement, a right movement, and no movement.

$$H_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -(-1)^{\frac{1}{3}} & (-1)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ 1 & (-1)^{\frac{2}{3}} & -(-1)^{\frac{1}{3}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2}$$

We are satisfied that H_3 is unitary as

$$H_3 H_3^{\dagger} = I_3 \tag{3}$$

Quantum Walks on a Graph

A Lazy One Dimensional Discrete Quantum Walk takes place on the state space spanned by vectors

$$|n, p\rangle \tag{4}$$

where $n \in Z$ and $p \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ is a three-state variable. n represents the position of a particle on the walk and is the walk's classical component. p is the quantum component; it is typically a two-state spin, but we have added a third state to represent our lazy state.

One step of the walk is given by the transitions

$$|n, 0\rangle \longrightarrow a|n, 0\rangle + b|n + 1, 1\rangle + c|n - 1, 2\rangle \tag{5}$$
$$|n, 1\rangle \longrightarrow d|n, 0\rangle + e|n + 1, 1\rangle + f|n - 1, 2\rangle \tag{6}$$
$$|n, 2\rangle \longrightarrow g|n, 0\rangle + h|n + 1, 1\rangle + i|n - 1, 2\rangle \tag{7}$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{pmatrix} = H_3 \tag{8}$$

This has been expanded from the two-state walk equations given elsewhere[1, 3].

Figure 4: State transition diagram of four position unbiased graph.

Multiple randomizing iterations were performed for a four-position graph with no directional biasing. Varying input conditions were used to obtain a variety of results. A four position non-lazy circular quantum walk was also analyzed for comparison to the lazy results.

Results

The non-lazy graph converges to $\frac{1}{4}$ for each step. This is due to the fact that the positional probabilities repeat after 8 steps, caused by constructive and destructive interference on the graph.

Figure 5: The probability of being at position i after T steps of the quantum random walk on a closed four step graph, starting at $|0, 0\rangle$.

As a result, the positional probabilities converge to equal values for all positions on a four step classical walk (lazy or not), and a non-lazy quantum walk. However, the positional probabilities do not converge to equal values for the lazy quantum walk on a four position circle.

We define our input condition as

$$x|0, 0\rangle + y|0, 1\rangle + y|0, 2\rangle \tag{9}$$

$$y = \sqrt{\frac{1 - x^2}{2}} \tag{10}$$

Figure 6: Final value of positional probability for each position after a number of iterations, with x varying from -1 to 1. Values are for Position 0 (dashed), 1 & 3 (solid), and 2 (dotted). Results are for 100(top left), 500(top right), and 2000(bottom) iterations.

	Minimum Probability		Maximum Probability	
	x-value	Probability	x-value	Probability
Position 0	-0.464476	0.277144	0.885579	0.313895
Position 1& 3	0.887671	0.186246	-0.460463	0.223129
Position 2	-0.45647	0.276597	0.889731	0.313614

Figure 7: The maximum and minimum positional probabilities for each position after 2000 iterations.

Conclusion and extensions

The Hadamard gate is commonly considered to be fair and balanced. It produces some results equal to those obtained from a fair classical coin, and some different.

We have investigated input with real numbers, but intend on also looking at the results when complex inputs are used.

We have only investigated a graph with four steps. The profile of x vs. probability values may be unique to 4-step lazy quantum walks, odd numbered walks or may be common to all graphs. We intend on investigating graphs with many more positions.

The values for Position 0 and 2 look likely to converge. We intend to investigate if these positional profiles do converge. We also intend to find the maximum and minimum cumulative probabilities for each position.

References

[1] Julia Kempe. Quantum random walks - an introductory overview. *Contemporary Physics*, 44(4):307–327, Mar 2003.

[2] Peter Marttala. An extension of the Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm to arbitrary qudits. Master's thesis, University of Saskatchewan, 2007.

[3] Michael McGettrick. One Dimensional Quantum Walks with Memory. Apr 2010.