

## Série 4

---

**Exercice 1.** 1. Montrer que tout sous-groupe d'un groupe commutatif est distingué.

2. Soit  $\phi : G \rightarrow H$  un morphisme montrer que si  $G$  est commutatif alors  $\text{Im}(\phi)$  est commutatif.

**Exercice 2.** soit  $G$  un groupe et  $A \subset G$  un sous-ensemble.

1. Montrer que l'intersection de tous les sous-groupes de  $G$  contenant  $A$  est un sous-groupe de  $G$  (pourquoi cette intersection n'est pas faite sur un ensemble vide.) On note ce sous-groupe  $\langle A \rangle$  et on l'appelle sous-groupe engendré par  $A$ .
2. Montrer que  $\langle A \rangle$  est le plus petit sous-groupe contenant  $A$ .
3. On suppose que  $G = \langle A \rangle$ . Soit  $\phi : G \rightarrow H$  un morphisme de groupes. Montrer que si

$$A \subset \ker \phi$$

alors  $\phi$  est le morphisme trivial  $\phi \equiv e_H$  (ie.  $\forall g \in G, \phi(g) = e_H$ ).

4. Montrer qu'un morphisme de groupe  $\phi : G \rightarrow H$  est complètement déterminé par ses valeurs prises sur les différents éléments de  $A$  (si deux morphismes  $\phi, \phi'$  coïncident pour tous les éléments de  $A$  alors  $\phi = \phi'$ ).

*Démonstration.* 1. First, we prove a more general fact. Let  $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$  be any family of subgroups of a given group  $(G, \cdot, (-)^{-1})$ . Then  $H = \bigcap_{i \in I} H_i$  is a subgroup. It suffices to show that for any  $h, h' \in H$  we have  $h' \cdot h^{-1} \in H$ ; this condition implies easily that the neutral element, inverses and products of elements of  $H$  belong to  $H$ . By the definition of  $H$ , we see that the elements  $h$  and  $h'$  belong to  $H_i$  for every  $i \in I$ . The sets  $H_i$  are subgroups of  $G$  and therefore  $h' \cdot h^{-1} \in H_i$  for every  $i \in I$ . This means that  $h' \cdot h^{-1} \in \bigcap_{i \in I} H_i = H$  which finishes the proof. To conclude, we apply the fact to the family of all subgroups containing  $A$ .

2. We remark that the set of subgroups is ordered by inclusion, that is, a subgroup  $H \subset G$  is smaller than a subgroup  $H' \subset G$  if and only if  $H \subset H'$ . We need to prove that  $\langle A \rangle$  is contained in every subgroup of  $G$  containing  $A$ . Let  $H$  be a such subgroup. By definition, the group  $\langle A \rangle$  is the intersection  $\bigcap_{i \in I} H_i$  of all subgroups  $H_i$  containing  $A$ . This means that there exists an  $i \in I$  such that  $H = H_i$ . Then clearly  $\langle A \rangle = \bigcap_{i \in I} H_i \subset H_i = H$ .

3. First, we observe that the homomorphism  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  is trivial if and only if  $G = \ker \phi$ . By assumptions, we know that  $A \subset \ker \phi$  which by the previous part of the exercise implies that  $G = \langle A \rangle \subset \ker \phi$ . Of course  $\ker \phi \subset G$  and therefore  $\ker \phi = G$ .
4. We consider the set  $\text{Eq}(\phi, \phi') = \{g \in G : \phi(g) = \phi'(g)\}$ . For every  $g, g' \in \text{Eq}(\phi, \phi')$  we see that

$$\phi(g' \cdot g^{-1}) = \phi(g') \cdot \phi(g^{-1}) = \phi(g') \cdot \phi(g)^{-1} = \phi'(g') \cdot \phi'(g)^{-1} = \phi'(g' \cdot g^{-1}),$$

since  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  are group homomorphisms. This means that  $\text{Eq}(\phi, \phi')$  is a subgroup of  $G$  containing  $A$ , and therefore  $G = \text{Eq}(\phi, \phi')$  which proves the claim.

□

**Exercice 3.** soit  $G$  un groupe et  $g \in G$ . Pour  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  on définit

$$g^n = \begin{cases} e_G & \text{si } n = 0 \\ g \star \cdots \star g \text{ (} n \text{ fois)}, & \text{si } n \geq 1 \\ g^{-1} \star \cdots \star g^{-1} (|n| \text{ fois)}, & \text{si } n \leq -1 \end{cases}$$

et on pose

$$g^{\mathbb{Z}} = \{g^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

1. Montrer que l'application

$$g : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z} & \mapsto & G \\ n & \mapsto & g^n \end{array}$$

est un morphisme de groupe.

2. Montrer que  $g^{\mathbb{Z}} = \langle \{g\} \rangle$ .
3. On suppose que  $G$  est fini de cardinal  $|G| = p$  premier. Montrer que pour tout  $g \neq e_G$  on a

$$G = g^{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

(On pourra considérer le morphisme d'inclusion  $g^{\mathbb{Z}} \hookrightarrow G$ .)

4. Montrer que  $G$  est commutatif.

**Exercice 4.** On considère l'application exponentielle (réelle)

$$\exp : x \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \exp(x) = e^x.$$

1. Montrer que  $\exp$  un isomorphisme du groupe additif  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  vers le groupe multiplicatif  $(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \times)$ . Quel est l'isomorphisme inverse ?
2. Soit  $\phi : (\mathbb{R}, +) \mapsto (\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \times)$  un morphisme de groupes. On suppose de plus que l'application  $x \mapsto \phi(x)$  est continue et on pose  $a = \phi(1)$ . Soit  $\lambda = \log a$ , on va démontrer que  $\phi(x) = \exp(\lambda x)$

- Montrer que pour tout  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , on a  $\phi(n) = \exp(\lambda n)$ .
- Montrer que pour tout  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  et  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \neq 0$ , on a  $\phi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) = \phi(x)^{1/n}$ . En deduire que pour tout  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ , on a  $\phi(q) = \exp(\lambda q)$ .
- Conclure (utiliser le fait que tout nombre reel est la limite d'une suite de nombres rationnels).

*Démonstration.* 1. In order to prove that  $\exp: (\mathbb{R}, +) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \times)$  is an isomorphism it suffices to prove that  $\exp(a + b) = \exp(a) \times \exp(b)$  which is clear.

2. We divide our proof in three steps suggested in the exercise.

- First, we prove  $\phi(n) = \exp(\lambda n)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . This follows by induction with respect to the parameter  $n$  using the relation

$$\phi(n + 1) = \phi(n) \times \phi(1) = \exp(\lambda n) \times \exp(\lambda) = \exp(\lambda(n + 1)).$$

To extend the relation for all elements  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we just observe that  $1 = \phi(0) = \phi(n) \times \phi(-n)$ .

- Again using induction, we observe that  $\phi(ny) = \phi(y)^n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Setting  $y = \frac{x}{n}$  and taking the  $n$ -th root, we obtain

$$\phi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) = \left(\phi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^n\right)^{1/n} = \phi\left(n \cdot \frac{x}{n}\right)^{1/n} = \phi(x)^{1/n}.$$

For every  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ , such that  $q = \frac{m}{n}$  for  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we take  $x = m$  to see that  $\phi\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = \phi(m)^{1/n} = \exp(\lambda m)^{1/n} = \exp(\lambda q)$ .

- To conclude, we take a number  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and a sequence of rational numbers  $q_n \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = x$ . Since continuity allows us to exchange taking limits and operations  $\phi$  and  $\exp$ , we may compute as follows

$$\phi(x) = \phi\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(q_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp(\lambda q_n) = \exp\left(\lambda \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n\right) = \exp(\lambda x).$$

□