Summary of DDoS Industry Reports 2022

VENDOR	SUMMARY	TITLE	FORMAT	PERIOD	ATTACK COUNTS	R/A	INP	VECTORS	CHANGES END OF 2022	ATTACK DURATION/SIZE	ATTACK INTENSITY	CARPET BOMBING/PREFIX	MULTI-VECTOR	TARGET	VANTAGE POINTS/SOURCES
A10	DDoS landscape in 2022. Focuses on the number of "weapons", not attacks themselves.	2022 DDoS Threat Report	Form/PDF	2019 up to 2021 2H		p8: †161% R/A hosts and DDoS bots. p21: SSDP	No specific information about direct/path attacks and associated vectors.	p9: largest DDoS "weapons" (reflectors, bots) SSDP 2.92M, PORTMAP 2M, SNMP 1.88M, DNS resolvers: 1.59M	Ends 2021	No information about attack duration.	Report makes a link between the attack intensity or destructive impact and the number of "weapons"	No information about attacks with carpet-bombing.	number of vectors in attacks.	p5: Russia-Ukraine	p7: "A10 Networks' security research team gathers weapons intelligence by closely monitoring attack agents under the control of bothet command and control (c2), discovering malware innovations by deploying honeypots; intercepting self-replicating bothets; and scanning the internet for exposed reflected amplification sources."
Akamai	DDoS landscape in 2022	Dos Attacks in 2022: Targeting Everything Online, All at Once		2022	No information about attack counts	Fig. 3: "most common attack vectors targeting typis (by 44%, port 43, and port >1000." In our opinion, this seems incorrect adhough any IP can be attacked using seem feasable to attack top 80 using UDP, or TCP with a different port.	In Fig.3. "UIP floor" is lated as 15.7% of stlacks against TD-443, well as ofter UIP bested vectors. We believe the classification does not make sense. We believe the classification does not make sense.		"In recent months, we've observed a surge in horizontal attacks (Figure 1)." Carpet-bombing.	No information about attack duration.	No information about attack internsity, i.e. peak bandwidth or packet rate of attacks.	on carpet-bombing: "Horizontal attacks refer to simultaneous DDoS attacks aimed at multiple, unrelated targets (which is why they're sometimes called carpet bomb attacks)." I'n recent months, we've observed a surge in horizontal attacks' "Which thit 1813 iP addresses distributed across six physica data centers,"	the fraction of vectors used, depending on the stageted depending on the stageted IP-port. There are no comments about potential overlap of vectors in attacks.	Industries, regions or countries affected.	Thy analyzing the flow of data bevering our network was on an invalidation in the form of the second pair valuable integrit erbs on Physicians store can data valuable, which we refer to retensibly as its 40° day po
Akamai	10y evolution of DDoS vectors, with a graph showing the fractions of vectors used in attacks	Evolution of DDoS Attacks		2010-2022 (2022-05)		"Fig. 4: CharGEN attacks, SSDP floods, and CLDAP reflections are rarely seen today" NTP reflection 10.7%	Fig. 2: "UDP floods, SYN floods, and UDP fragmentation They continue to be seen in force, often alongside other vectors." Fig. 5: 2022 (DP) vectors: UDP flood 14.8%, UDP flagment 13.9%, SYN flood 9.2%, ACK flood 5.4%, DNS flood 5.3%	Fig. 1 represents the use of vectors through time; a long list of vectors.		No information about attack duration.	No information about attack intensity, i.e. peak bandwidth or packet rate of attacks.	with carpet-bombing.	vectors together	Industries, regions or countries affected.	No information about sources or methodology used.
Arelion	DDoS landscape in 2022, slide-based style	DDoS Threat Landscape Report 2023	Open/ <u>PDF</u>	2022	global network decreased by a 1/3 in 2022 – with 50% fewer attacks towards our customers.*	the underlying threat from reflection attacks is slowly but surely being reduced.* p11: "DNS & NTP are still the two most common attack vectors, with NTP decreasing slightly during the year."	more expensive to purchase since bots are a valuable asset for cyber criminals and if exposed, they risk being shut down when used extensively. Also, proxies are being used more —as a smike screen to protect the bots from being exposed." It is not clear if the increase is of DIP attacks, or DIP attacks from botnets.	p.11: "We also noticed a decline in UDP-based spoofing attacks as servers are slowly being secured throughout the internet and are consequently used less frequently for such attacks."	within our network during the final months of the year." p5: "there was a noticeable trend towards larger (bps) attacks targeting our customers at the end of the year." Reduction of NTP, increase of memcached, TCP SYN.	Most attacks were small. 50% of attacks last 5-10min. p10: "When looking at the overall size distribution of attacks in our backbone, we see that while there has been an increase in the number of large attacks, the vast majority of attacks are still small We saw the biggest increase in the 5-20 â. 20-50 Gbps attack ranges."	Сърв. 854 Миря	p15: "CB attacks have become increasingly less effective, more sporadic."	number of vectors in attacks.	No information about targets, such as Industries, regions or countries affected.	p2: "Operating the world's \$1 Internet backbone gives us unique global perspective on the constantly evolving DCOS threat landscape. Using our own network at the constant of the constant of the constant of attacks in our backbone"
Cloudflare	The reports are quarterly, and so most comparisons are QoQ, not YoY. Separates in two classes attacks: HTTP/S DDoS and "network layer" attacks.	DDoS Attack Trends for 2022 Q4	Open/ <u>Web</u>	2022 Q4	No information about attack counts	"In O4, Memcached-based DDoS attacks saw the highest growth — a 1,338% increase GoO." "In second place, SNMP-based DDoS attacks increased by 709% QoQ."	choice — in fact, almost half of all network-layer DDoS statacks were SN floods." The amount of HTTP DDoS attack traffic still streased by 79% 100°. Tapplication-layer DDoS attacks challed to the characteristic still still states. Distribution by the characteristic still states. Distribution by the characteristic states characteristic states of characteristic states. Clear downward trend in attacks each quarter this year." Bay plot shows that HTTP DDoS statecks decreased in each quarter: 28%, 27%, 24%, 21%.	Application layer, and Network layer (which actually includes the transport layer e.g. SYN attacks). "Network-layer DoS attacks: Distribution by quarter". Quarters: 21%, 24%, 30%, 26%. It does not indicate if the attacks are DIP or RIA.	data for the period considered.	less than 10 minutes decreased by 76% QoQ, and the amount of longer attacks increased. Most notably, attacks lasting 1-3 hours increased by 36% QoQ and the amount of attacks lasting more than three hours increased by 87% QoQ. Most of the attacks, over 67% of them, lasted 10-20 minutes."	No information about attack intensity, i.e. peak bandwidth or packet rate of attacks.	with carpet-bombing.	number of vectors in attacks.	"Source and targets of DDoS attacks". Contains a discussion about countries and industries attacked.	"This report includes insights and trends about the DOcS threat landscape - as observed across Clouditare's global network."
Comcast	Generic report, includes DDoS landscape in 2022, from p21	Business Cybersecurity Threat Report	Open/ <u>PDF</u>	2022	worldwide DIoS attacks	total traffic (similar to Clouditare): DNS RIA 7%, 1% RIA other. p23: "While amplification attacks continued to play a role, they represented a smaller percentage of attack traffic." With beniga 85%, we assume DNS RIA was 7/15 or 46% of attack traffic.	attack traffic.	low-complexity, high-impact flooding techniques. This is validated by NetScout's review of all 9.4 million DOoS attacks they tracked last year, which identified total traffic, UDP, and TCP Sync as the top three vectors used "There is no link.	that the number of attacks went up July-Aug-Sept (2.8k, 4.5k, 8k), then decreased Oct-Nov-Dec (5k, 5.1k, 3k).	minutes long. The trend of short-burst states has continued since the prior year." 0-5m (22%), 6-10m (54%), 1-12h (3%).			multi-vector attacks. 82% of all multi-vectors contained DNS amplification vectors.*	Business in 2022"	No information about sources or methodology used.
Corero	DDoS landscape in 2022	2023 DDoS Threat Intelligence Report	Open/PDE	2022	No information about attack counts	attacka.	p13: "Data complied by the Center Threat Intelligence than and shown in large 8 confirms a significant 70% large 8 confirms a significant for the and minigated DDGs attacks using TCP-based vectors. In the figure. TCP represented 26.39% of datacks when compared to UDP. Note that unlike TCP. UDP is used to bit DP and DPA states, so it is not possible to say what the increment in DP.	p12: 1 600% in IPv6 traffic	data for the period considered.	60min	pf 8: 98% attacks < 10 Gbps, \$25% high packet rate attacks.	p.6. †300% CB. 1844 attacks in 2021, 7881 in 2022, p.6. "Carpet bomb attacks are difficult to defend against, stymying many of the traditional detect-and-redirect DOS miligation techniques." The report contains a long explanation of CB attacks, including an example (Section 2).	,	p14: 29% destination port \$3, 17% destination port \$0,7% 123 (NTP), p14: "Its not possible to prisporit be precise reason for the choice of these destination ports. But, based upon a mapping of the target ports on the intended victim PPsystem, our analysis advantages to the property of	No information about sources or methodology used.
DDoS-Guard	DDoS landscape in 2022 (Russian)	DOoS Attack Trends in 2022		2022	attacks defected and successfully mitigated by DioS-Guard in 2022." We observe the greatest upsurge in DioS attacks in recorded history. Ongoing geopolitical events made the number of attacks on Househand websites in recrease by 700%, compared to 2021."	attacks.	associated vectors.	Emphasis on Application Layer Attacks. We observed an over 600% increases in the number of DOS attacks in the first half of 2022. Mad of them were application layer attacks (£7, according to the OSI model):	data for the period considered.	decreased, in comparison to 2021. Nevertheless, the frequency has increased by 3-4 times." If 2022, the vast majority of DDoS attacks lasted up to 20 minutes, and a significant manufer of them lasted from 20 minutes to number of sense lasted from 20 minutes. To 2002, the sense of the sense of the sense manufer of them lasted from 20 minutes of noticents." 700k attacks < 20min, 28 k 24h 1-6h, 28k 6-12h. 3k > 24h	or packet rate of attacks.	No information about attacks with carpet-bombing.	number of vectors in attacks.	The primary targets were websites related to the media, government, and financial services. The number of DOSO stated on media The number of DOSO stated on media 76 times (51 842 incidents compared of 50 in 2021). Government-related websites were also constantly under attack, with the number of incidents was a constantly under a state, with the number of incidents of the constant	No information about sources or methodology used.
DDoS-Guard	DDoS landscape in 2022 (Russian). Infographic with a summary of numbers.	Analytical Report on DDoS Attacks for 2022	Open/ <u>PDF</u>	2022	2021. The one order of magnitude increase may be associated with the Russia-Ukraine conflict, as this is a Russian provider. Highest number of attacks in March.	attacks.	No specific information about direct/path attacks and associated vectors.	This report separates attacks into two classes Layer 7, and Layer 3-4. p2: 171k L3-L4 attacks vs 1M L7 attacks. This contradicts the reports that state that flooding attacks are the most common, by far.	attacks per month. There is a visible reduction in November and December 2022.	p4: "In 2022, we detected a new internal record: the longest DDoS attack lasted 8d days, compared to 52 days in 2021. Though, the overall trend has been as follows: short-duration attacks have become more common, with the total number increasing by 700%."	No information about attack intensity, i.e. peak bandwidth or packet rate of attacks.	with carpet-bombing.	number of vectors in attacks.	p2: "Distribution of Attacks by Industry"	No information about sources or methodology used.
F5	DDeS landscape in 2022	2023 DDoS Attack Trends	Open/ <u>Web</u>	2020-2022	"Overall observed events are down by -9.7%" Figure 2: 984 attacks in 2022, ~1100 in 2021.	No information about reflection/amplification attacks.	**In 2022, Application vector analysis give dramstically, 105%, even as the overall number of attacks went down. Own. The control of the con	This report separates attacks into the following classes: Volumetric, Protocot, Application, and Multiple Vector. Figure 2 2020-2022 DDoS Attack Category counts. This shows a large increase in the number of Application attacks, with an corresponding reduction in Volumetric and Multiple Vector categories' From plot, Total 984 attacks: Multi-vector (369), L7 (351), vol. (168), protocol (98).	See Figure 10 Peak bandwidth over time 2020-2022. We see no visible effect.	No information about attack duration.	"In 2022, the maximum peak bandwidh we observed was 800mps, down In 1.36tps the year before, a 42.4% observed (Figure 3)"	No information about attacks with carpet-bombing.	Fig. 2: 369/984 attacks multi-vector	DDoS attacks targeting DNS ports or services	A Notice on the Analysis. There are, however, a few finings to keep in mid-wher reading any analysis of DDoS trends and events. Bringing a critical frame of the control of

VENDOD	CHABAADY	Inn c	CODMAT	DEDIOD	ATTACK COUNTR	D/A	DID.	WECTORS	CHANCES END OF 2022	ATTACK DUDATIONISIZE	ATTACK INTENDITY	CARDET BOMBING PREELY	MULTIVECTOR	TARCET	VANTACE DOINTE/POLIDOEP
VENDOR Huswei	SUMMARY ODuS landscape in 2022	TITLE Global DNS Attack Status and Trend Analysis in 2022	FORMAT Open/EDE	PERIOD 2022	ATTACK COUNTS 916 Th 2022 Likeware defected 104,922 attacks exceeding 100 Copps, with example of 207 attacks per day. The name exceeding 4.02 attacks per day. The name exceeding 4.02 attacks per day. The name of 2021 and 2.1 times that of 2020. With this analysis, the perior immoves the large furnisher of attacks that are too small to be disreptive.	RIA. No information about reflection/amplification attacks.	DP Og. p14 "The largest application-layer attack in the internet history occurred in June 2022, peaked at 46 million rige"	VECTORS 17 the past here years. Re proportions of ACK flood and UDP flood affacts in the restrictive System and the vector of DP affacts after the restriction of DP affacts after the vector of DP affacts after a	CHANGES END OF 2002 191-18: Plots by month 2002-0202 show some change in the period Celeber 2002 meaningful frend. To see a meaningful frend.	ATTACK DURATION SIZE 70: "75 470% of end-object attacks and 40.46% of application-layer attacks last for s 6 minutes*	ATTACK INTENSITY Or "There were 222 attacks above 800 Gbps, 1.67 kmes that in 2021." Colors Teleconth security team gift. "Novements." Colors Teleconth security team gift. "Novements with the annual maximum brandwidth, which peaked at 3.198 Tbps." 27" "A part, China Telecont's security team delected the attacks with the annual highest packet rate, which peaked at 301 Mpps."	severe challenges to defense costs."	p.81 *In 2022, the proportion of mills vector attitions arounded for 63.47%, which is less than for 63.47%, which is less than 2022 39% of attitions to 2022 39% of attitions vector, while 20% has do for p.00° attitions to 2022 World corp. DIOS attition than 65 pp.6° During the 2022 World Corp. DIOS attition were stitlacts on payment platforms and APIs courted in Ohma. —The entire attack process can and a boal of 14 static vectors were used: UIDP flood, spoofed cource IP addresses, near the properties of the properties source IP addresses, near the process, p. 100° addresses, p. 100° addresses, p. 100° and p. 100° and p. 100° and p. 100° addresses, p. 100° addresses, p. 100° and p. 100° and p. 100° and p. 100° and p. 100° and	And security, And subserve state of borne enterprises. Countilizer also claims that is network security devices block more staffic attacking. Alte than that attacking websites. This indicates that APIs have traditionally a subserve of the security of the part of the subserve of the subserve of the subserve of the subserve of the subserve of the that APIs suffer most of the attacks that the DoS attacks targeting APIs we fine that APIs suffer most of the attacks that the top 5 types of attacks targeting APIs were network-layer CC, SYN flood, UDP Good, HTTP Bood, and UDP reflection	
Imperva	DDoS landscape in 2022	DDoS Threat Landscape Report 2023	Form/PDF	2021-2022	pê: "Application Layer DDoS attacks increasing year on year. The number of application siyer DOS attacks has been on an upward trajectory year on year as the chart below shows." The Y axis does not	No information about reflection/amplification attacks.	p8: "Application Layer (LT) DDoS attacks increased by a staggering 82% YOY in 2022 vs 2021."	This report separates attacks in two classes, Application Layer DDoS Attacks, and Network Layer DDoS Attacks, and presents results separately. pr 12: "Network Layer DDoS most common pr 12: "Network Layer DDoS most common	p8: The bar plot shows monthly Application Layer DDoS attacks. There is some growth in the last three months of	p10: "Application Layer DDoS Attack Duration. Our research shows that Layer 7 DDoS attack duration is becoming longer in duration with almost 40% of all Layer 7	p3: "The largest Layer 3 and 4 DDoS attack occurred in July and peaked at 1373 gigabits per second (Gbps). Layer 3 and 4 attacks rose dramatically in August 2022 in comparison to any other month of the year."	No information about attacks with carpet-bombing.	nood).	Note: CC = Challenge Collapsar. CC attacks are mainly used to attack web pages (via invalid requests). p39-49. Attacks against APIs. p59-51-72. Attacks against the finance industry. p9: "Application Layer DDoS attacks growth by industry. Application Layer DDoS attacks on the Telecoms and Internet Services Provider (ISP) sector	p3: "The report leverages intelligence provided by imperva Threat Research based on data from application and network DDS attacks we have mitigated. It also provides additional observations based
					show values or units, so it is not possible to read the mappaulos of the growth, even in read the mappaulos of the growth, even in other plots on the same page. The report does not comment about the global number of DOoS attacks, considering at categories.			attack vectors. "According to the par chart on the same page. UPS. SNR 27%, TOP 11%, DNG response 7.8%, NY 27%, TOP 11%, DNG response 7.8%, NY 27%, TOP 11%, DNG response 7.8%, NY 27.7%.		Julius attitudes disables it selecting length in DDOS attacks lasting more than 12 hours an increase of 3% of attacks in 2021." In closure of 3% of attacks in 2021. In closure of			wedness per COOS das Assets and everaging single vector attacks as part of a weder attack attacks as part of a weder attack attacks as part of a weder attack distraction tactic.*	reprincation Layer LuCos states for an experience of the previous year's increase by 121%. 1921 "Network Layer DioSi most targete industries. Financial Services and Banking largets accounted for around a relativistic production of the production has meant an explosion in the adoption of Art Andreas. As high to more modern applications has meant an explosion of the adoption of Art Andreas. As well to more modern applications has meant an explosion of the adoption of Art Andreas. As well not more modern applications has meant an explosion of the adoption of Art Andreas. As well not more modern applications has meant an explosion of the adoption of Art Andreas. As well not more modern applications have meant an explosion of the adoption of Art Andreas. As the Ar	on general DOoS activity throughout the year."
Kapersky	Dbo's landscape in 0.3 2022. Report his/high's threat actors and high-profile attacks, but does not describe them. If the control of the con	DDeS attacks in Q3 2022	Open/ <u>Web</u>	2022 Q3, No 2022 Q4 report.	The number of DioS statucks in 0.3 2022 feld again. Naving decreased by 13.72 specient in the previous reporting period relative to the one before, thin quadra of 2.75 period. The previous reporting period relative to the one before, thin quadra of 2.75 period. The previous reporting period of 2.75 period. The previous reporting period. The attack can be previous reporting period. The attack cannot fold 2.05 attacks of all pper relative to the previous reporting period. The attack cannot fold 2.05 attacks of all pper relative to the previous reporting period. The attack cannot fold 2.05 attacks.	No information about reflection/amplification attacks.	The stans of LIDP floor fiel from 12.5 to 51 fb. The process, but remained the most common by set ObuS fine sector front common, SYN 10.00 in the costate). The sector front common, SYN 10.00 in the costate, 10.10 fb. The cost of the costate of the costate of the cost of	In Q3 2022, the ranking of DOs attack byes unchanged from the previous respecting. **Moreover, DOSG attacks on HTTP(S) the quarter exceeded from on TCP for the first low, despite their laters, despite the first lower. The properties of the prope	No plot or table with longitudinal data for the period considered.	ourshon of attacks and to "4.2" percent of the total number of attacks. Set of 0.0 hours for the total number of attacks. Set of 0.0 hours or more accounted for 10.0 percent of the total duration of attacks. In Q3, 19% of attacks > 20h; 3.16% of attacks 3.16% 5-9 hours.	No information about attack internetly, i.e. peak bandwidth or packet rate of attacks.	this report is counted by the number of unique IP addresses in the quarterly statistics. "Carpet bombing attacks would count as many different targets.	No information about the number of vectors in attacks.	A total of 36.5 percent of targets, addresd by 36.0 percent of altasox, were located in the U.S.* **No.2 3022. the top four countries in terms of resources attacked remained period. The U.S.* **Prod.2 3022. the top four countries in terms of resources attacked remained period. The U.S. 300% (in emained in first place, despite losing 6.5 percentage points. Mariand China's status (13.95%) increased by aimost the points, securing second place. Cermany (5.07%) remains in third and France (4.81%) in fourth place.**	**DDS Intelligence statistics are limited to bothetic detected and analyzed by Ksapersky. **
LINK11		DDOS-REPORT 2022	Form/PDE	2022	by 78% compared to last year*	p19: "In 2022_LISOC recorded a flood of amplification techniques. The Internet service most frequently exploited for attack and abused as an amplifier in 2022 was DNS (60%), followed by NTP (21%), SNPM (60%), followed by NTP (21%), SNPM (60%), Market Mar			o15: Figure shows that B/W peak decreased around Oct-Dec 2022.	and another 8% were up to 60 minutes long. Only about 1% of attacks were longer than 60 minutes." Longest attack 28h15m. 71% attacks <5min. 20% 5-15 min. 1% >1h.					No information about sources or methodology used.
Lumen	DDoS landscape in Q4 2022 DDoS landscape in 2022	Lumen Quarterly DDoS Report Q4 2022	Open/PDF	2022	me 4 reports of 2021: 6.1K Q1, 4.5K Q2, 5.5K Q3, 9K Q4.	only accounted for 1% of activity."	p12 "TOP SYN Flooding was all used frequently, accounting for 20 of activity, with was a 10% increase from Q3 and an 85% increase from Q4 2021.	o12: "State Filtering accounted for 16% of accidingly nG without is a 4% decrease quarter-over-quarter." This seems to be the only report to mention static filtering as a class of attack, but it is actually a mitigation form. UDP 22% of attacks.	data for the period considered.	ol 0: 198% of all attacks on Lumen On-Demand DloS mitigation customers in Q4 were under 10 minutes.* See plots on the same page.	of: *h the first half of the year. Lumen mitigated several large attacks, including 75 Gogs in of 2 and 1.0 fittings in Q2; However, the median attack size all fuses limited were According to talke, 2 rough 1.0 first size of the control according to talke, 2 rough 1.0 first size of the control smaller (bps) than 2021.	with carpet-bombing.	with DNS amplification combined with TCP SYN Flooding being the most leveraged multi-vector attack*.	important to hote that a single government customer represented 60% of all the attacks Lumen mitigated in Q4"	65: Where does this threat intelligence come from? The report is developed with the participation of a few threat period of the property of
yellorosox		2022 in review: DosS attack trends and insights			This total, we mitigated upwards of \$50,000 unique attacks again our gribbal infrastructure during \$202.2*	new association et alluming anomalogie in individeboxes, such as freewalls and deep packet inspection devices, to elicit amplified responses that can reach a mampfilied responses that can reach a sin example. In April 2022, see monitored an example, in April 2022, see monitored and Azura resource in Asia. The attack or an Azura resource in Asia. The attack reached on alluming packets per second (pape) and only very high, however there were 900 reflectiors involved, each with reframensissions, resulting in high paper and resolved in the page of the page	TCP datases remain he note common attack vector. TCP datases were home foregamen from of color state desconsilence in 2022, comprising SW, of all states where, went in colored and TCP makes vectored and Top and colored and Top and colored and Top and colored and Top and colored and Top and the colored	pur and SIBSON.	Figure 1. Attack volume shows how the attack volume varies daily throughout the year. Apart from the very end, the volume stips; above the a verage for most of the last quarter.	spanning one to two minutes made up spanning one of the minutes and table of the database often use manuface short attacks over the gap of missigle hours to make the most impact within using the fewerl number of resources."	To May, we mitigated a 3.25 teathlis per second (TBps) attack in Azure, the largest attack in 2022.*	No information about attacks with carpet-bombing.	No information about the number of vectors in attacks.	*US. India, and East Avia top regions targeted by attack; USA represents 45% of attacks, and India, 13%.	
NBIP	DDoS landscape in Q4 2022	DDoS attack figures from the fourth quarter 2022	Open/ <u>PDF</u>	2022 Q4	379 attacks in Q4 2022. Bar plot attacks/quarter: 686, 514, 442, 379.	19.8% DNS amplification; 24% NTP amplification, 47% LDAP amplification, 47% LDAP amplification, 89 comparing the quarterly reports in 2022, we observe that these fractions fluctuate substantially. For example, in Q2, there was substantially For example, in Q2, there was substantially For example, in Q2, there was substantially For example, in Q2, there was present with 8%.	Pie chart shows 21.5% TCP flag; 10.3% UDP flood.		"A consistent decline in the number of DDoS attacks quarter over quarter, with this trend continuing since the fourth quarter of 2021."	"Prolonged attacks >4 hours" 38 in Q4	"Significant DDcS attacks, with large attack sizes (381 Gbps and 364 Mpps)."	No information about attacks with carpet-bombing.	is on the rise, with an increasing number of attackers attempting	"Geopolitically, the ongoing conflict in Ukraine has led to an increase in largeled DDoS attacks on government services and critical infrastructure. This trend is expected to continue in 2023, with an emphasis on attacks on the financial, energy, and healthcare sectors."	No information about sources or methodology used.

Summary of DDoS Industry Reports 2022

VENDOR	SUMMARY	TITLE	FORMAT	PERIOD	ATTACK COUNTS	R/A	D/P	VECTORS	CHANGES END OF 2022	ATTACK DURATION/SIZE	ATTACK INTENSITY	CARPET BOMBING/PREFIX	MULTI-VECTOR	TARGET	VANTAGE POINTS/SOURCES
vesscout	DOoS landscape in 2H 2022	5th Anniversary DOS- Threat Intelligence Report Unveiling the New Threat Landcape		<i>ast2 a</i> 1	of 13 million for 2022"	18 percent since 2000** 19 Figure 10 Tigo Svedars. 1;189,774 9F Figure 10 Tigo Svedars. 1;189,774 SYNACK Amp 1;188,008 TCP	p3.1 til vas in early 2021 where we detected a factorise full impreference by adversaria to TCP-Dasad, and till impreference by adversaria to TCP-Dasad, 2022 and one that organizations and enterprises must address to protect statel divides and downstream continues. 2022 miles of the control of the contro		Direct-Path Atlancks. Shows a signification of the amount of a semicont of the amount	30% 10-00mm, 7% 1-12h	No information about attack internsity, i.e. peak bandwidth or packet rate of attacks.	Daily attacks using this method rose form an average method rose form an average of 1,734 in 2022, a 88 percent increase. "Figure 13: Carpet-Bornbing Attacks above the daily attack count.	breakdown. 60% 1 vector, 25% 25 wectors, 85 6-10 vectors. 2% 11+ vectors	p12: DDoS Attack Motivations	p3. "More than two decades of working with more than 500 internet services providess (15%) in a slowed us perceived in the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the world's largest relevable." The part of the part of the part of the world's largest relevable. The part of the part of the world's largest relevable. The part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of part of
NexusGuard	DDoS landscape in 2022	DDs Statistical Report for 2022	Form/PDE	2022	average attack size both recessed by 148,07% and decreased to the 237% registered in 2021.** The size of the size	Allack', Pcf. 22.233.16% Memcandred Allack', Toes values are box hight we are pcf. Figure 2- Top 10 Allack Metelons in 2021 and 2022. Or the previousne of state, vectors. NTP 31%, Memcandred 14%, DIS 277. The 2022. VITP Americans of all and Memcandred Allacks were the predominant too attack types, contributing \$3.01% and 14.33% respectively, while UDP Allacks of 278. Vital and 14.33% respectively, while pconding \$1.25% of the total attacks recorded in 2022, increased by 414.43%, Yor'.	p6.* ± 0.23% DP volumetric flood* p6.* ± 718%. Application attacks*	p? Types of Attack Vectors. Figure 2	data for the period considered.	than 90 minutes, while the rest bisted incoper than 90 minutes, 120% of attacks or the proper than 10 minutes, 120% of attacks of the proper than 10 minutes, with the longest attack lasting minutes, with the longest attack lasting 27844.12 minutes, 100% the maximum and everage duration invested by 79 40% and 61 by 10.40% suspectively. You'r		ASN-level Communications Service Providers (CSPs) around the world, especially 18-9, confinue to be impacted by the situation of the confine the confi	Vectors. Single-vector attacks or played the leading role in 2022. 85.64% of attacks were single vector, with the rest were possible vector with the rest were possible vector attack combination recorded in 2022 was "TCP AICA Klatack cought with UIP Attack", contributing 18.89%, in second place was a combination of "MEMCACHED Attack and INF Panguillication And third place was a combination of TMEMCACHED And the place was a combination of TMEMCACHED and HTTPS Flood, contributing and HTTPS Flood, contributing 6.79%.	pG3 Reflected Attack Destination Date button.	p.62. Thexappand observes and collects real firm data or intreats burge interprets and service provided for a stack data framework and the stack data research, publicly available reformation, Hernepots, ISPs, and opper recording falled between statistics and their largest. The entrylest conducted by massive and their largest. The entrylest conducted by massive statistics and their largest. The entrylest conducted by massive statistic work work of their largest three provides a comprehensive view of CDDS threats.
Nokia	General security report that includes some DDoS from p16. Not much information	Threat Intelligence Report 2023	Form/PDE	2021-2023	No information about attack counts	No information about reflection/amplification attacks.	No specific information about direct/path attacks and associated vectors.		Based on Fig. 9, it looks like there were fewer attacks from Sept 2022 til March 2023 than in the preceding 6 months.	No information about attack duration.	p16: "Figure 9. Distribution of DDoS attacks by peak intensity (Gbps), January 2022 – March 2023" There is no explanation of the figure, but we believe there are ~7-8 attacks at the Tbps level.	No information about attacks with carpet-bombing.	No information about the number of vectors in attacks.	Comments about DDoS being used in cyberwarfare.	Nokia Deepfield
NSFocus	DDoS landscape in 2022	2022 Global DDoS Attack Landscape Report	Form/PDF	2022		p8: "As a popular attack method in the past two years, TCP reflection attacks represented 3%"	flood attacks, SYN flood attacks, and UDP fragment flood attacks were top 3 network-layer DDoS attacks."	p6: Three pizza plots show vector prevalence, but without actual numbers.	month-by-month. No discernible effect at the end of the year. On the contrary, high-volume attacks increase in Oct-Nov-Dec.	p8: "Nearty 70% of global DDoS attacks were shorter than 10 minutes, about 20% lasted 10 to 30 minutes, and the rest exceeded 30 minutes. Currently, "quick spike" attacks prevail." ~70% of attacks <10m, ~20% 10-30m.	p1: "High-Volume DLOS Attacks Were on the Rise. In 2022, the rumber of treathlie-were DLOS attacks was approximately 40, and the attack peak exceeded 1 Tbps in six months! p2: "On average, an attack exceeding 100 Gbps happened every hour."	No information about attacks with carpet-bombing.	number of vectors in attacks.	p5: "DDoS Attacks Increasingly Targeted Critical Infrastructure" p4: "DDoS attacks against a single target became increasingly pensistent. 56,91% of victime sepreinenced only one DDoS attack in 2021, whereas victims were more prone to multiple DDoS attacks once identified as the target in 2022."	No information about sources or methodology used.
Criator	Ouarterly report about DoSs and RGP incidence. DoS sond distingues between L3-L4 and L7 attacks.	O4 2022 DIDOS attacks and BCP incidents	Open/ <u>Meth</u>	2022 Q4		amplifications/infection publicly available on feeding and public and public and public and public and Presents for number of exploitable servers and the corresponding amplification facts and the corresponding amplification facts and the corresponding amplification facts and provide information about sexth attacks.	37 05% of all 1.3.14 stanks, SYN flood, responsible for smaller statuer of 1.3.1%, and 1.	is more accurate than similar plots in other reports since it represents both isolated and	L3-A4 and L7 attack fractions L3-L4 and L7 attack fractions L3-L4 and L3-L4	Guardion decreased for the first first series escendis. "But the compared to 210 decreased for "But the longist attack" in CA based for which is all less than what we've seen many the compared to the compar	To the bandwidth side of the attacks, we see that UDP tool and TCP flood one object after the resummer. 421.86 flood and TCP flood one object after the resummer. 421.86 flood one object and the results of the results of a side of the results of t		Dagram provides numbers about the concurrent use of about the scinaries of all stacks. It should be possible to attacks. It should be possible to attacks the factor attacks the factor attacks the manufactor attacks the manufactor attacks the manufactor attacks the att	No information about targets, such as industries, regions or countries affected.	No information about sources or methodology used.
Radware		2022-2023 Global Threat Analysis Report	Form/PDF	2022	slocked by Radware's Cloud DioS Service 2022 grew by 23%, compared to 2021. The number of DioS attacks grew by 90. "Throughout by eart, the number of DioS attacks per outstoner kept increasing per quarter in 64 of 2021 to over 2,500 attacks per customer kept increasing per quarter in 64 of 2021 to over 2,500 attacks per customer in Q4 of 2022. By the end of 2022, the average number of attacks three limits." 100. "The number of attacks a customer wintersed by over wintersed per day at the confo 2021 was wintered of 2021, as 2,600 attacks per day by the end of 2022, a 3 &600 attacks per day by the en	amplification states vetor that generated the most volume in 2002 representing 77.1% of the total amplification volume. 77.1% of the total amplification volume. 2002 representing 57.1% of the total amplification volume. 2002 representation of the volume. 2002 r	p14. "By a significant margin, the top attack vector was UDP flood (71%, followed by UDP flood (71%) followed by UDP flood (71%) followed by UDP flood (71%) followed by Gardina (71%) followed flood (71%)		reduction in the last quarter.	attack size	(Spa) altakus, reduction in all other rates. 1.46Tips, 2.5 fees compared to the largest attacks of \$200Gaps in 2021. *In the Americas and globally.*	with carpet-bombing.	dissimilar attack vectors per attack as a function of attack size". Attacks > 100Gbps had -9 vectors.	p7. "Regions and Industries. Finance was the most allacted industry in 2022, with 52.6% of the overall allack activity compared to 2021*	No information about sources or methodology used.
Zayo	DDoS landscape in 2023 1H. Zayo is a tier-1 ISP.	Protecting Your Business From Cyber Attacks: The State of DDoS Attacks DDoS (Insights From Q1 & Q2, 2023)	Open/PDE	2023 1H	p6: From bar plot, number of attacks in 2022 1H is approximately 19k (and ~72k attacks in 2023 1H)	No specific information about the use of reflection or amplification.	No specific information about direct/path attacks and associated vectors.		No plot or table with longitudinal data for the period considered.	p11: "The Duration of DDSA Attacks Attacks are getting longer, but over 83% of all attacks are still short-burst, lasting 10 minutes or less." p11: The longest attack in Q2 was 42 days" p11: "Duration of Attacks by Industry Q1 and Q2. 2023" Piot shows that attacks against the Government sector are much longer.	No information about attack intensity, i.e. peak bandwidth or packet rate of attacks.	No information about attacks with carpet-bombing.	No information about the number of vectors in attacks.	ps: Total Number of Attacks Per Industry. CoQ: Plot shows the number of attacks per industry, with Telecom being much higher than the rest. prilo: I'm both Q1 and Q2, telecommunications companies consistently experienced more DDoS attacks than any other industry. And from Q1 to Q2, this industry's attack activity grew a staggering 1,175%.*	pls. This report reviews DDGS stack data collected from Zayo's network-based DDGS Protected customers.*

Summary of DDoS Industry Reports 2022

VENDOR	SUMMARY	TITLE	FORMAT	PERIOD	ATTACK COUNTS	R/A	D/P	VECTORS	CHANGES END OF 2022	ATTACK DURATION/SIZE	ATTACK INTENSITY	CARPET BOMBING/PREFIX	MULTI-VECTOR	TARGET	VANTAGE POINTS/SOURCES
Discussion			reports are open, while 7 require completing a form. Most (16) reports are PDFs, while the remaining 8 are Websites.	are said to be yearly, usually covering 2022, and comparing with 2021 or earlier. Not all yearly reports have been	number of attacks over the previous year (or years, as in Netscout case). 3 reports did not include a comparison, and 5 did not provide attack counts. 4 reports indicated a	attacks in 8 reports. While 3 reports indicate a reduction in R/A (Arelion, Comcast, and Netscout), 2 reports show an increase (Link11 and NexusGuard), with Microsoft reporting an increase of TCP reflection.	vectors in 5 reports. No report indicated a decrease in DIP attacks. Several reports stated the prevalence of DIP attacks, but did not indicate whether this form of attack increased or decreased compared to the previous year. 5 reports observed an increase in either	data about attack vectors. There were differences in the name of the vectors and potential overlaps, with occasional ambiguous use of terms. Almost no report clarified how attacks with multiple vectors were counted; the notable exception was Qrator.	present or had to be inferred from graphs showing the variation of some metric through time (weeks, months, quarters, years). The graphical	report may have used 0-1min, 1-10min, and > 10min, another may have used < 5min, 5-10min, 10-60min, > 60min.	group attack intensity, making it very hard to compare results among reports. For example, while on export uses < 1 Gbps, 1-100 Gbps, > 100 Gbps, another could have used 0-5 Mbps, 5-1000 Mbps, > 1000 Mbps.	Many different terms were used to refer to carpet bornbing. These micule thorizontal attacks." This and spices." Some reports of the control of the point that a	growing complexity of attacks.	different aspects of attacks. We considered analysis of targeted industries and/or regions/countries (potentially linked to geopolitical issues); we did not consider the attack layer or type of service (e.g. DNS). It is possible	networks. One (F5) acknowledges explicitly the impact that this may have on the generalization of trends. Generally, reports do not claimly how the data was collected, from which regions of the globe, if from enterprises or 15%, etc. There are some notable exceptions, such as Netscout. Many reports (A10, Arelion, Lumen, Netscout, and NexusGuard) highlighth