David Sun CMPS 102 Homework 2

**Problem 1:** Design 3 algorithms based on binary min heaps that find the kth smallest # out of a set of n #'s in time:

- a)  $O(n \log k)$
- b)  $O(n + k \log n)$
- c)  $O(n + k \log k)$

Note: For all problems, an array will be used to represent the heap.

a) For part a, we initially allocate an array of size k for our heap with all the elements initialized to  $-\infty$  (according to CLRS, a heap containing all duplicate keys can still be classified as a valid min-heap). Then, we will make a pass through our array A from A[1...length[A]]. For each  $A_i$  where  $i \in \{1...length[A]\}$ , check if  $-A_i$  is greater than the minimum of the heap. If such is the case, call remove on the heap, and insert  $-A_i$  into the heap. We apply this operation for length[A] iterations. Once we've scanned through all the elements in A, the kth smallest element should be -1 \* smallest(heap).

Correctness for a: The constraint in part a is that only the smallest element can be removed. In this case, we construct a heap using the negatives of the array elements. Thus if the negative of an array element is greater than the minimum heap value, then replace the minimum heap value with the negative of the array element. Since  $\forall a,b \in \mathbb{R}$ , if a > b then -b > -a, replacing the minimum value in the heap containing negatives is equivalent of replacing the maximums in a max-heap. By the end of one pass through the array, the min-heap would contain the negatives of the k-smallest values with the k-th smallest of the array as the smallest value of the heap. To obtain the minimum, multiply the smallest value of the heap by -1 and that value would be the original kth smallest element of the array.

Runtime analysis for a: One pass through the array is an O(n) operation. Each array element comparison with the smallest heap element is an O(1) operation. In the worst case, we would need to replace the minimum heap at element at every compare, which would cost us  $2 \log k$  for a remove and insert operation. Thus, in the worst case, the algorithm generally runs in  $n \cdot 2 \log k$  time, which suggests the algorithm is  $O(n \log k)$ 

b) Call builtheap on the array A. This ensures A is now an array that satisfies the heap property. Since we want the kth smallest element, call remove k-1 times. After k-1 removes, the kth smallest element would be the smallest element on the heap.

Correctness for b: If A is a proper min-heap containing the original array elements, then a call to remove ensures the next smallest element is at the top of the heap. For j removes on the heap the  $j + 1^{th}$  smallest element is at the top of the heap. Thus, calling remove k - 1 times extracts the  $(k - 1) + 1^{th}$  smallest element, which is the kth smallest.

Runtime analysis for b: Buildheap on an array of size n is an O(n) operation. After buildheap executes, calling remove on a heap of size n k-1 times costs  $(k-1) \log n$  time. In general, the algorithm runs in  $n + (k-1) \log n$  time, which means that the algorithm is  $O(n + k \log n)$ .

c) Call buildheap on the array, ensuring the array is now a valid min-heap, call this heap A. Allocate another array of size k for our second heap. Let H be a heap containing a key-value pair, with its keys being the elements of A and the values being the index at which the element is found. Initially, insert the smallest of A along with the index of the smallest into H. At each iteration, remove the smallest value-index pair (A[i], i) from H and insert (A[2i], 2i) and (A[2i+1], 2i+1) into H (Note: if length[A] < 2i, do not insert either pair into H. If length[A] < 2i + 1, insert only (A[2i], 2i) into H). After k-1 iterations, the kth smallest element is the smallest key in H. Correctness for  $\mathbf{c}$ : !!NEEDS TO BE COMPLETED!!

Runtime analysis for c: If the original array has size n, buildheap on the original array is an O(n) operation. After buildheap, we execute k-1 operations of insert and remove on H, which has size k. In the worst case, one remove and two inserts will be called, which has a runtime of  $3 \log k$ . In general, the algorithm takes  $n + (k-1) \cdot 3 \log k$  time to execute, which means the algorithm is  $O(n + k \log k)$ .

**Problem 2:** Consider the following sorting algorithm for an array of numbers (Assume the size n of the array is divisible by 3):

- Sort the initial 2/3 of the array.
- Sort the final 2/3 and then again the initial 2/3.

**Correctness:** Use induction on n, the size of the array, which we'll call A.

**Base Case:** n=3. Sorting the initial 2/3 ensures  $A[1] \leq A[2]$ . Sorting the final 2/3 ensures  $A[2] \leq A[3]$ , thus ensuring that  $A[1] \leq A[3]$  and  $A[2] \leq A[3]$ . Sorting the initial 2/3 ensures again  $A[1] \leq A[2]$ , ensuring  $A[1] \leq A[2] \leq A[3]$ .

**Inductive Step:** Assume for all k,  $3 \le k < n$  that the algorithm properly sorts an array of size k. Then, for an array A of size n, we can partition up A into three segments B, C, and D, with B containing  $A[1 \dots \frac{n}{3}]$ , C containing  $A[\frac{n}{3} + 1 \dots \frac{2n}{3}]$ , and D containing  $A[\frac{2n}{3} + 1 \dots n]$