## Chapter 1

## evaluation and simulation

The first thing to evaluate is the following equation.

$$\tilde{u} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{kP_k}{c} \tilde{u}^{k-1} \tag{1.1}$$

Here  $\tilde{u}$  can be solved by finding the fix points of this equation. However,  $\tilde{u}=1$  is always a solution, which can be an absorbing state during the numerical evaluation process. There should be some non-zero solutions when c is larger than a certain value

- k is a integer index range from 1 to 1000 (maybe 500 is enough)
- $P_k = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}$  is the distribution function in terms of k,  $\lambda$  is a constant parameter which can take value  $\{0.5, 1, 1.5, 2\cdots\}$  for different simulations
- c is a constant which can be calculated by  $c = \sum_{k} k P_k$ , here  $c = \lambda$

The second task is the following iterations:

If we denote by  $N_d$  the random variable that a random vertex has N neighbours at  $d_t h$  shells, then the joint probability of having  $\mathbf{k}^{(d)}$  degree structure for a random vertex

can be deduced as following:

$$P(N_1 = n_1) = p_{n_1}$$

$$P(N_1 = n_1, N_2 = n_2) = P(N_2 = n_2 | N_1 = n_1) P(N_1 = n_1)$$

$$= \delta_{\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (\alpha_i - 1), n_2} \left( \sum_{\mathbf{k}^{(1)}} \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{\alpha_i p_{\alpha_i}}{c} \right) p_{n_1}$$

$$P(N_1 = n_1, N_2 = n_2, N_3 = n_3) = P(N_3 = n_3 | N_2 = n_2) P(N_2 = n_2 | N_1 = n_1) P(N_1 = n_1)$$

$$= \delta_{\sum_{i=1}^{n_2} (\alpha_i - 1), n_3} \left( \sum_{\mathbf{k}^{(2)}} \prod_{i=1}^{n_2} \frac{\alpha_i p_{\alpha_i}}{c} \right) P(N_1 = n_1, N_2 = n_2)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$P(N_1 = n_1, \dots, N_d = n_d) = P(N_d = n_d | N_{d-1} = n_{d-1}) P(N_{d-1} = n_{d-1} | N_{d-2} = n_{d-2}) \dots P(N_1 = n_1)$$

Here is some explanation for the above equations:

- $p_{n_1}$  is defined by previously introduced Poisson distribution where k is replaced by another initial value  $n_1$
- $n_1$  can take integer value range from 0 to 10, then for each  $n_1, n_2$  can further take value from 0 to 10 for the second equation, and so on. Keep the record of all results, which is the possible probability distribution.
- $\sum_{\mathbf{k}^{(1)}} = \sum_{\alpha_1} \sum_{\alpha_2} \cdots \sum_{\alpha_{n_1}}$  which is just a simplification of the notation. Therefore,  $\sum_{\mathbf{k}^{(2)}} = \sum_{\alpha_1} \sum_{\alpha_2} \cdots \sum_{\alpha_{n_2}}$ ,  $\sum_{\mathbf{k}^{(3)}} = \sum_{\alpha_1} \sum_{\alpha_2} \cdots \sum_{\alpha_{n_3}}$
- we can try the first five equation and see if there is anything interesting.