A Search for Shot-Wavelength Neutrino Oscillation From a Nuclear Reactor

A Dissertation Submitted to the Temple University Graduate Board

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

by
Danielle Berish Landschoot
December 2019

Examining Committee Members:

[&]quot;Name of Advisor", Department of Physics, Advisory chair

[&]quot;Name of thesis committee chair", Department of Physics

[&]quot;Reader", Department of Physics

[&]quot;External Reader", External Member, "Reader's Institution"

ABSTRACT

The Precision Reactor Oscillation and SPECTrum Experiment (PROSPECT) is designed to probe short baseline oscillations of antineutrinos in search of eV-scale sterile neutrinos and precisely measure the 235 U reactor antineutrino spectrum from the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory. The PROSPECT antineutrino detector (AD) provides excellent background rejection and position resolution due to its segmented design and use of 6 Li-loaded liquid scintillator. Due to characteristics of its decay chain, 227 Ac was added as a calibration source that was dissolved isotropically throughout the liquid scintillator. Using the correlated production of alphas from 219 Rn \rightarrow 215 Po \rightarrow 211 Pb in the 227 Ac decay chain we can measure the rate of 227 Ac in each segment of the detector. This allows us to precisely determine the relative segment to segment volume variation to 1%. These measurements can then be applied as corrections to measurements of neutrino oscillation through the PROSPECT AD.

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT			
LI	IST O	F FIGURES	iv
Ll	IST O	OF TABLES	v
1	INT	PRODUCTION	1
2	Neu	trinos	2
	2.1	Discovery of the Neutrino	2
	2.2	Neutrinos in the Standard Model and Beyond	3
	2.3	Discovery of Neutrino Oscillation	6
3	Rea	ctor Neutrinos	7
	3.1	Production of Reactor Neutrinos	7
	3.2	Measuring the Reactor Antineutrino Flux and Spectrum	7
	3.3	The Reactor Antineutrino Anomaly	7
4	Ster	rile Neutrinos	8
	4.1	Theory of Sterile Neutrinos	8
	4.2	Experimental Searches for Sterile Neutrinos	8
5	PRO	OSPECT	9
	5.1	Motivation	9
	5.2	Experimental Site	9
	5.3	Design	9
	5.4	Detecting Inverse Beta Decays	9
	5.5	From Signal to Result	9

6	227 A	c as a Calibration Source	10
	6.1	Motivation	10
	6.2	Material Compatibility	10
	6.3	Prototype Testing in P50X	10
	6.4	Event Selection in the PROSPECT AD	10
	6.5	Detector Stability Results	10
	6.6	Volume Variation Results	10
7	Inve	erse Beta Decay Event Selection	11
	7.1	Selection Criteria and Efficiency	11
	7.2	Properties of the Event Selection	11
8	Neu	trino Oscillation in the PROSPECT AD	12
	8.1	Analysis Method	12
	8.2	Result	12
9	CO	NCLUSIONS	13
RI	EFER	ENCES	14

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	The Standard Model of particle physics.																				4
-----	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

Neutrinos

2.1 Discovery of the Neutrino

The study of radioactive decay in the early 20th century brought to light discrepancies that would lead to the postulation of the neutrino and later on its discovery. An experiment performed by Lise Meitner and Otto Hahn in 1911 offered some of the first evidence that the energy spectrum of electrons emitted by beta decay is continuous [1]. This was in stark contrast to the expected discrete spectra that had been observed in gamma and alpha emission and suggested that the laws of conservation were broken. Their findings were later confirmed by experiments performed by Chadwick in 1914 [2] and Ellis and Wooster in 1927 [3].

At the time beta decay was thought to be a two-particle decay, a process that yields a product nucleus and an electron. In 1930 Wolfgang Pauli postulated a particle he called the 'neutron', which would be ejected with the electron, conserving energy and momentum. Describing his idea as a "desperate remedy", this new particle would have to be neutral and non-interacting, therefore making it almost impossible to detect. In 1934 Enrico Fermi further developed the theory of beta decay, including Pauli's particle but renaming it the neutrino, meaning "little neutral one" [4]. Due to the nature of the weakly interacting neutrino, it would take another 20 years until it was discovered experimentally.

In 1956 Clyde Cowan and Fred Reines accomplished the amazing feat of discovering this allusive particle [5]. They did it by taking advantage of the inverse beta decay (IBD) process:

$$\bar{\mathbf{v}} + \mathbf{p} \to \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e}^+ \tag{2.1}$$

The idea was to place a detector near a generated flux of neutrinos, fill it with an ample number of protons, and observe the resulting positrons. Any source that generates neutrinos, though, is going to create large backgrounds for your experiment. As would become the game for every neutrino detector after them, Cowan and Reines had to devise a way to reduce the background such that they could obtain a measurable and believable number of neutrinos. Their original idea was to place a detector underground about 40 m away from a fission bomb. This would create a large enough neutrino flux for a sufficient signal to background ratio.

After some thought, though, they realized that by detecting the neutron *and* the positron they could discriminate the IBD signal from the background with much higher success. This would allow them to use a nuclear reactor rather than a fission bomb, giving them the chance to patiently watch for neutrinos rather than have one chance with a bomb. The final detector that would facilitate the discovery of the electron anti-neutrino, \bar{v}_e , contained 1400 liters of liquid scintillator viewed by 110 photomultiplier tubes and 200 liters of water with dissolved cadmium chloride and was placed near the fission reactor at the Savannah River Plant in South Carolina.

Neutrinos from the reactor would enter the detector and interact with protons in the water. The positron resulting from this reaction quickly collides with an electron, creating two gamma rays which Compton scatter and initiate a cascade of electrons that causes the liquid to scintillate. While this is happening the neutron from the initial reaction is bouncing around as it collides with protons until, eventually, it captures on a cadmium nucleus and releases about a 9 MeV gamma ray, causing the liquid to again scintillate. The time between the flash of light from the positron and that of the neutron is on the order of microseconds. By looking for this delayed-coincidence signature Cowan and Reines were able to successfully detect the first neutrino and paved the way for future neutrino experiments.

Shortly after, in 1962, Lederman, Schwartz, and Steinberger discovered the muon neutrino, v_{μ} [6], but it would take until 2000 for the DONUT (Direct Observation of the Nu Tau) experiment to discover the tau neutrino, v_{τ} [7, 8].

2.2 Neutrinos in the Standard Model and Beyond

The Standard Model (SM) of particle physics is the result of the work of many scientists over several decades. It is a field theory that describes three of the four fundamental forces,

	Fe	ermio	ns	Bosons							
	spin = 1/2			spin = 1	spin = 0						
Generation	I	II	III	Gauge Bosons	Scalar Bosons						
Quarks	u	С	t	g	Н						
	d	S	b	γ							
Leptons	e	μ	τ	Z							
	v_e	v_{μ}	$v_{ au}$	W							

Table 2.1: The Standard Model of particle physics.

the electromagnetic, strong and weak forces, and classifies all known elementary particles as outlined in Table 2.1.

Classified as leptons, neutrinos exist in three flavors, electron neutrinos (v_e) , muon neutrinos (v_{μ}) , and tau neutrinos (v_{τ}) , that correspond to the electron (e), muon (μ) , and tau (τ) leptons. For each there exists a corresponding antiparticle, $\bar{v}_e, \bar{v}_{\mu}, \bar{v}_{\tau}$.

If neutrinos have exactly zero mass and travel at the speed of light, then their helicity, or handedness, is a permanent property. Since experiments had only measured left-handed neutrinos [9], it was assumed that all neutrinos in the SM were massless. As discussed in greater depth in Section 2.3, it was later discovered that neutrinos oscillate, indicating that at least two of the three neutrinos must have mass.

Their ability to oscillate arises from the fact that neutrinos exist as flavor eigenstates, v_{α} : $\alpha = e, \mu, \tau$, where each is a superposition of mass eigenstates, v_i : i = 1, 2, 3:

$$|v_{\alpha}\rangle = \sum_{i} U_{\alpha i} |v_{i}\rangle \tag{2.2}$$

where $U_{\alpha i}$ is the unitary Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS) mixing matrix. The PMNS matrix relates flavor to mass eigenstates and can be written in factorized form as:

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ & 1 & \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta} & & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & e^{i\alpha} & \\ & & e^{i\beta} \end{pmatrix} (2.3)$$

where $s_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij}$ and $c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij}$, $\theta_{ij} = [0, \pi/2]$, $\delta = [0, 2\pi]$ is the Dirac charge parity (CP) violation phase, and α and β are two Majorana CP violation phases.

If we let a neutrino, v_{α} , propagate in time the mass eigenstates will evolve differently

(assuming that $m_1 \neq m_2 \neq m_3$), resulting in a new flavor state, v_{β} . Massive neutrinos move through time and space as

$$|v_i(x,t)\rangle = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(E_i t - \vec{p}_i \cdot \vec{x})} |v_i(0,0)\rangle = e^{-i\phi} |v_i(0,0)\rangle$$
 (2.4)

With this we can describe the flavor state α at some point in space and time as

$$|v_{\alpha}(x,t)\rangle = \sum_{i} U_{\alpha i} |v_{i}(x,t)\rangle = \sum_{i} U_{\alpha i} e^{-i\phi_{i}} |v_{i}(0,0)\rangle$$
 (2.5)

So, the probability that a neutrino produced as flavor v_{α} will be detected as flavor v_{β} after traveling for a period of time is

$$P(\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}) = \left| \left\langle \nu_{\alpha}(0,0) \middle| \nu_{\beta}(x,t) \right\rangle \right|^{2}$$

$$= \left| \sum_{i} U_{\alpha i}^{*} \sum_{j} U_{\beta j} e^{-i\phi_{j}} \left\langle \nu_{i}(0,0) \middle| \nu_{j}(0,0) \right\rangle \right|^{2}$$

$$= \left| \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{\alpha i}^{*} e^{-i\phi_{j}} U_{\beta j} \delta_{ij} \right|^{2}$$

$$= \left| \sum_{i} U_{\alpha i}^{*} e^{-i\phi_{i}} U_{\beta i} \right|^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i} U_{\alpha i}^{*} e^{-i\phi_{i}} U_{\beta i} \sum_{k} U_{\alpha k} e^{i\phi_{k}} U_{\beta k}^{*}$$

$$= \sum_{i} \sum_{k} U_{\alpha i}^{*} U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha k} U_{\beta k}^{*} e^{-i(\phi_{i} - \phi_{k})}$$

$$(2.6)$$

For simplicity we can first consider the case of two neutrino oscillation. In this case the mixing matrix can be written as

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} U_{\alpha 1} & U_{\alpha 2} \\ U_{\beta 1} & U_{\beta 2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{12} & \sin \theta_{12} \\ -\sin \theta_{12} & \cos \theta_{12} \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.7)

and the probability can be worked out as

$$P^{2\nu}(\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1,2} \sum_{k=1,2} U_{\alpha i}^{*} U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha k} U_{\beta k}^{*} e^{-i(\phi_{i} - \phi_{k})}$$

$$= |U_{\alpha 1}|^{2} |U_{\beta 1}|^{2} + |U_{\alpha 2}|^{2} |U_{\beta 2}|^{2} + U_{\alpha 1}^{*} U_{\beta 1} U_{\alpha 2} U_{\beta 2}^{*} (e^{i(\phi_{2} - \phi_{1})} + e^{-i(\phi_{2} - \phi_{1})})$$

$$= \sin^{2} 2\theta_{12} \sin^{2} \left(\frac{\phi_{2} - \phi_{1}}{2}\right)$$
(2.8)

Recall that

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\hbar} (E_i t - \vec{p}_i \cdot \vec{x}) \tag{2.9}$$

The mass of the neutrino is very small compared to its energy $(m_V \ll E_V)$ so we can approximate the momentum as

$$p_i = \frac{1}{c} \sqrt{E_i^2 - m_i^2 c^4} = \frac{1}{c} \left(E_i - \frac{m_i^2 c^4}{2E_i} \right)$$
 (2.10)

If we make the reasonable assumption that neutrinos are moving at the speed of light, c, then we can approximate the phase difference as

$$\phi_2 - \phi_1 = \frac{1}{\hbar} \left((E_1 - E_2) \frac{L}{c} - (p_2 - p_1) L \right)$$
 (2.11)

2.3 Discovery of Neutrino Oscillation

Reactor Neutrinos

- 3.1 Production of Reactor Neutrinos
- 3.2 Measuring the Reactor Antineutrino Flux and Spectrum
- 3.3 The Reactor Antineutrino Anomaly

Sterile Neutrinos

- **4.1** Theory of Sterile Neutrinos
- **4.2** Experimental Searches for Sterile Neutrinos

PROSPECT

- 5.1 Motivation
- **5.2** Experimental Site
- 5.3 Design
- **5.4** Detecting Inverse Beta Decays
- **5.5** From Signal to Result

²²⁷Ac as a Calibration Source

- **6.1** Motivation
- **6.2** Material Compatibility
- **6.3** Prototype Testing in P50X
- 6.4 Event Selection in the PROSPECT AD
- **6.5** Detector Stability Results
- **6.6** Volume Variation Results

Inverse Beta Decay Event Selection

- 7.1 Selection Criteria and Efficiency
- **7.2** Properties of the Event Selection

Neutrino Oscillation in the PROSPECT AD

- 8.1 Analysis Method
- 8.2 Result

CHAPTER 9 CONCLUSIONS

13

REFERENCES

- [1] L. M. O von Baeyer, O Hahn, Zeitschrift fur Physik 12, 273 (1911).
- [2] J. Chadwick, Verh. Phys. Gesell. 16, 383 (1914).
- [3] C. D. Ellis and W. A. Wooster, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A 117, 109 (1927), URL https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/abs/10.1098/rspa.1927.0168.
- [4] E. Fermi, Z. Phys. **88**, 161 (1934).
- [5] C. L. Cowan, F. Reines, F. B. Harrison, H. W. Kruse, and A. D. McGuire, Science 124, 103 (1956), ISSN 0036-8075, http://science.sciencemag.org/content/124/3212/103.full.pdf, URL http://science.sciencemag.org/content/124/3212/103.
- [6] G. Danby, J.-M. Gaillard, K. Goulianos, L. M. Lederman, N. Mistry, M. Schwartz, and J. Steinberger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 9, 36 (1962), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.9.36.
- [7] K. Kodama et al. (DONUT), Phys. Lett. **B504**, 218 (2001), hep-ex/0012035.
- [8] K. Kodama et al. (DONuT Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 78, 052002 (2008), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.052002.
- [9] M. Goldhaber, L. Grodzins, and A. W. Sunyar, Phys. Rev. 109, 1015 (1958), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.109.1015.