

13363332 Bao Hoang

## Basics

- Components of Db

DataModeling/Design tools	Software(UI)
Repo	DB Admin/Developer
Db, DBMS	End users

- MetaData: **datatype**, **file size**, **allowable values**, **data context**
- Ansi-Sparc Architecture
  - Conceptual(ERD)
  - Internal: : logical Datamodel(ERD), phys
- **Business Rules** are often used to determine **entities**, **attributes**, **attribute types**, **identifiers**, **relationship**
  - BR are Declarative, precise, atomic, consistent, expressable, distinct, business oriented
  - **Attr** **simple**, **composite**(address), **multivalued**(1:m)
  - **Keys** simple, compositem, candidate(combination of attr see **Relation**)

## ERD

- **Degree of Relationship**: Unary, Binary, Ternary
- Cardinality **1:1**, **1:m**, **m:n**(associative entity)

e.g product, supplier, store  
**\*\*Store\*\*** must have one or many **\*\*products\*\***, 1 supplier supply from many productts

- **StrongEntity**(independant), **WeakEntity**(PK depends on Strong entity)
- Solid(weak-strong), Dotted(regular)

## Super/Sub (Inheritance)

- Process of building sub/supertype entities
  - **Generalization**(Bottom-up, find comonalities), **Specification**(top-down)
- **Constraints**
  - Completedness
    - **Total**(DoubleLine) has to be one subtype
    - **Partial**(SinlgeLine) maybe a subtype, maybe not

- Disjointness
  - **Disjoint** supertype can be only ONE of the subtype
  - **Overlap** supertype could be MORE than one of the subtype

## Relation

- integrity constraints: DeleteRules
  - **Restrict**(dont delete if exist in parent), **Cascade**(auto delete any correspondent), **Set-to-Null**(Ser null reference, not allowed for weak/assiciated)
  -

## Normalization

- To avoid **anomalies**: Insertion, Deletion, Update
- Functional Dependency  $X \rightarrow Y$  X determinant, y Dependant
- **Keys**
  - **Super** set of attr can be use to id a row(instance)
  - **Candidate** minimal set of attr can id an instance, part of the candidate will become PK
- Determinind candidate keys with Functional Dependencies
  - LMR table using FDs
  - Determine Closure (see example Question)
- **Partial Transistive FDs**
  - **Partial** FD where non-keys are dependent on a part of the Composite
  - **Transistive** FD where attributes are determined by another non-key attr
- **Normalization Form**
  - **1NF** no derived, no multivalued attributes, all attr are atomic
  - **2NF no partial dependancies**, every non-key must be defined by the whole key
  - **3NF no transistive dependancies**(fd on nonkey), split retalion to fix

## Sample Question

Consider These  $A \rightarrow D$   $AE \rightarrow H$   $DF \rightarrow B$ ,  $C E \rightarrow C$   $H \rightarrow E$

21. Which of the following dependencies is implied by above dependencies?  
 A)  $A \rightarrow DH$                       B)  $AED \rightarrow C$                       C)  $DA \rightarrow C$                       D)  $ADF \rightarrow E$   
 C because  $AE \rightarrow H$  and  $H \rightarrow E$  and  $E \rightarrow C$  therefore  $AED \rightarrow C$ .

22. Consider the decomposition into 4 relations: R1(AEH), R2 (BCDF), R3 (AD) and R4(CE). This decomposition is in: A) 1NF                      B) 3NF                      C) 2NF  
 D) None of the above

**\*\*Check R1(AEH) related FDs:  $AE \rightarrow H$  &  $H \rightarrow E$ \*\*** draw LMR

$A^+ = \{A\}$  which is not equal to R  
 $AE^+ = \{AEH\} = R1$  so AE is a candidate key.  
 $AH^+ = \{AHE\} = R1$  so AH is also a candidate key.

The minimum combination of the attributes that can determine all attributes in a relation are the candidate keys of that relation.  $AE \rightarrow R1$  and  $AH \rightarrow R1$  so AH and AE are candidate keys of R1.

Considering that AE and AH are both candidate keys.

If you consider AE as PK then R1(AEH) will be in 3NF.

If you consider AH as PK then R1(AEH) will be also in 3NF.

**\*\*Check R2(BCDF) related FDs which is just  $DF \rightarrow B, C$ \*\*** Draw LMR

DF can be PK, check NF rules > 3NF

same for R3 R4. 3NF

**23.** Consider the decomposition into 3 relations: R1(AD), R2(HEC) and R3(BCDF). This decomposition is in:

A) 1NF      B) 3NF      C) 2NF      D) None of the above

R1, R3 3NF      R2(HEC) 2NF

$H \rightarrow E$      $E \rightarrow C$

H is the Candidate/PK

Since E(nonkey) is a determinant for another C(non-key) > Transitive Dependency > Violate 3NF

R2 is 2NF

**25.** What is the highest normal form of Relation R(A, B, C, D, E, F)?

$A \rightarrow F$      $BC \rightarrow E$      $BC \rightarrow D$        $E \rightarrow F$      $BC \rightarrow F$

ABC is the Candidate

R = 1NF

R != 2NF because

A     $\rightarrow$     F

BC    $\rightarrow$     F

non key determined by part of key (\*\*partial dependency\*\*)