

1 Midterm Exam Discussion

Last material for midterm is today.

Exam materials - 6 pages of notes. Perhaps can use Maple or Mathematica.

2 Well Posed Problems

Well posed problems have three characteristics

- 1) Existence - A solution exists.
- 2) Uniqueness - There is only one solution.
- 3) Stability - Small changes in initial data only change the solution slightly.

3 Stability

Stability has similar observations as Fourier convergence.

Our first tool is Energy.

Example:

Suppose $u(x, t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DE: } u_t &= u_{xx} \quad -l \leq x \leq l \quad t > 0 \\ \text{BC: } u(-l, t) &= 0, \quad u(l, t) = 0, \quad t > 0 \\ \text{IC: } u(x, 0) &= f(x), \quad -l < x < l \end{aligned} \tag{D}$$

Show that $u(x, t)$ is stable blank to perturbations in the IC, $f(x)$.

Solution: Suppose $u_1(x, t)$ and $u_2(x, t)$ ¹ satisfy (D) with $f(x) = f_1(x)$ and $f(x) = f_2(x)$ respectively.

Suppose also

$$\max_{-l < x < l} |f_1(x) - f_2(x)| < \epsilon.$$

We wish to show

$$|u_1(x, t) - u_2(x, t)| < \delta$$

where as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Let

$$E[u] = \int_{-l}^l \frac{u^2}{2} dx.$$

Previously we showed

$$\frac{dE}{dt} \leq 0.$$

Let $v = u_1 - u_2$. Then

$$v(x, 0) = u_1(x, 0) - u_2(x, 0) = f_1(x) - f_2(x).$$

Now v satisfies (D) with

$$v(x, 0) = f_1 - f_2.$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned} E[v(0)] &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-l}^l [f_1(x, 0) - f_2(x, 0)]^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2l \cdot \epsilon^2 \\ &= \epsilon^2 l. \end{aligned}$$

¹ $u_i(x, t) \in C_x^2[-l, l], C_t^1[0, t]$

But

$$E[v(x, t)] \leq E[v(x, 0)].$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^2 l &\geq \int_{-l}^l \frac{v^2}{2} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-l}^l [u_1 - u_2]^2 dx \\ \epsilon^2 2l &\geq \int_{-l}^l [u_1 - u_2]^2 dx \\ \epsilon \sqrt{2l} &\geq \sqrt{\int_{-l}^l [u_1 - u_2]^2 dx} \\ &= ||u_1 - u_2|| \end{aligned}$$

This is L^2 -stability. In fact this says nothing about

$$\max_{-l < x < l} |u_1(x, t) - u_2(x, t)|.$$

We need something stronger to show *pointwise stability*.

3.1 The Maximum Principle

Theorem (The Maximum Principle). *If $u(x, t)$ satisfies $u_t = Du_{xx}$ in a rectangle in spacetime, (say $-l < x < l, 0 < t < T$), then the maximum of $u(x, t)$ occurs initially (on $u(x, 0)$ for $-l \leq x \leq l$) or on the lateral boundaries ($u(l, t)$ or $u(-l, t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$).² This is what is called the weak maximum principle; that the function assumes its maximum on the boundary. There exists a strong maximum principle, which states that it only assumes its maximum on the boundary, unless u is constant.*

Corollary (Minimum Principle). *MP is true if “maximum” is replaced by “minimum”.*

Proof: Replace $u(x, t)$ by $-u(x, t)$.

Back to stability for a second. If

$$\max |u_1(x, 0) - u_2(x, 0)| = \max |f_1(x) - f_2(x)| < \delta,$$

then initially $|v(x, 0)| < \delta$ and also $v(-l, t) = v(l, t) = 0$. This implies

$$\max_{-l < x < l} |u_1(x, t) - u_2(x, t)| < \delta$$

for all $t, 0 < t < T$. This is *pointwise stability*.

3.1.1 Proof of the Maximum Principle

Motivation: Suppose we have a maximum in the interior of a region $R = [-l, l] \times [0, T]$ - call it (\bar{x}, \bar{t}) . Then $u_t(\bar{x}, \bar{t}) = u_x(\bar{x}, \bar{t}) = 0$. Well if it's a maximum, we might guess $u_{xx} < 0$. But, $u_t = Du_{xx} < 0$ then. This is a contradiction.

Problem: Suppose $u_{xx} = 0$.

Solution: We lift the function. Let

$$M_1 = \max_R [u(x, t)]$$

² $u(x, t) \in C_x^2[-l, l], C_t[0, T]$

and

$$M_2 = \max_{t=0 \cup x=l \cup x=-l \in R} [u(x, t)].$$

He now waves his hands: these are both compact sets, thus they achieve their maximums, so these exist. Suppose $M_1 > M_2$. Let $M_1 - M_2 = \epsilon$. Let $\tilde{u}(x, t) = u(x, t) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{x^2}{l^2}$. For $\tilde{u}(x, t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M}_1 &= \max_R [\tilde{u}(x, t)] = M_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} > M_2 \\ \tilde{M}_2 &= \max_{t=0 \cup x=l \cup x=-l \in R} [\tilde{u}(x, t)] \leq M_2. \end{aligned}$$

So $\tilde{M}_1 > \tilde{M}_2$. But

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_t &= u_t + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\epsilon}{2} \left(\frac{x^2}{l^2} - 1 \right) \right] \\ &= u_t \\ \tilde{u}_{xx} &= u_{xx} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left[\frac{\epsilon}{2} \left(\frac{x^2}{l^2} - 1 \right) \right] \\ &= u_{xx} + \frac{\epsilon}{l^2}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\tilde{u}_t - D\tilde{u}_{xx} = \underbrace{u_t - Du_{xx}}_{=0} - \frac{\epsilon D}{l^2}$$

and

$$\tilde{u}_t = D\tilde{u}_{xx} - \frac{\epsilon D}{l^2}.$$

If $\tilde{u}_t = 0$, this implies $D\tilde{u}_{xx} = \frac{\epsilon D}{l^2} > 0$ any point in the interior that in an extremum ($u_t = u_x = 0$) has $\tilde{u}_{xx} = \frac{\epsilon}{l^2} > 0$. Therefore it is not a maximum.

What about the top boundary? If the maximum occurs on $t = T$, then $\tilde{u}_t(x, T) \geq 0$, which implies

$$D\tilde{u}_t(x, T) - \frac{\epsilon D}{l^2} \geq 0,$$

or

$$\tilde{u}_{xx}(x, T) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{l^2} > 0.$$

So the upper boundary is convex up. ☺