







REVIEW OF GUIDELINES EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE

Date:	 	

Dear respondents,

This survey is conducted to gain reviews/feedbacks on the use of the Guidelines for Construction on Peat and Organic Soils in Malaysia published by Construction Research Institute of Malaysia (CREAM) in 2015. Your reviews/feedbacks are crucial as we are in the process of improving the guidelines to suit the needs of the stakeholders. Thank you for your time to answer the survey.

Regards,

Ir. Dr Zuhairi Abd. Hamid Director of COPS

February 2018

Respondent Information					
Name :(optional)					
Job Title:					
Organization Name:					
Office Address:					
Years of Working Experience:					
Nature of Business:(education, construction, consultant, Govern	ment A	gency o	or others	s please	state)
INSTRUCTIONS Please tick your response to the items in tabular form and give	comme	ents.			
Rating response is on a 1 to 5 scale:					
1=Strongly disagree 2=Disagree 3=Fairly Agree 4=Agree 5=St A. Overview of the guidelines	rongly	agree			
1=Strongly disagree 2=Disagree 3=Fairly Agree 4=Agree	1	2	3	4	5
5=Strongly agree					
1 I have thoroughly read the Guidelines					
2 The Guidelines is relevant to geotechnical practices					
3 The objective of the guidelines is achieved					
4 The content of the guidelines is adequate					
Comments					

B. Formation and distribution of peat lands, and peat classification scheme (Chapter 1&2)

1=	Strongly disagree 2=Disagree 3=Fairly Agree 4=Agree	1	2	3	4	5
5=	Strongly agree					
1	The peat formation in Malaysia is sufficiently described.					
2	The peat distribution information is adequate (e.g. area, depth, decomposition level etc.)					
3	I can identify and classify Malaysian peat with the guidelines					

Comments		 	

C. Soil investigation and peat testing (Chapter 3)

1=5	strongly disagree 2=Disagree 3=Fairly Agree 4=Agree	1	2	3	4	5
5=5	strongly agree					
1	The soil investigation procedures are sufficient and clear					
2	The soil investigation procedures are practical					
3	I found the soil investigation information to be useful					
4	I found the field tests information to be useful Please specify the field tests you usually use					
5	Are you familiar with the field tests outlined in the guidelines? Please specify the unfamiliar one					
6	I found the sampling method information to be useful Please specify the sampling methods you usually use					

ease specify the unfamiliar one the sampling method is suitable for undisturbed mpling cound the laboratory test information to be useful the laboratory tests you usually use
ound the laboratory test information to be useful
e you familiar with the laboratory tests outlined in the idelines? ease specify the unfamiliar one
S

D. Methodology and criteria for design (Chapter 4)

1=	Strongly disagree 2=Disagree 3=Fairly Agree 4=Agree	1	2	3	4	5
5=	Strongly agree					
1	The embankment design factor of safety is adequate. Please specify the factor of safety you usually use					
2	The post construction settlement criterion in the guidelines is acceptable.					
3	The earth filling specifications for platform formation before construction is adequate.					

Com	iments					
Е. І	Design Parameters from Basic Properties (Chapter 5)					
	Strongly disagree 2=Disagree 3=Fairly Agree 4=Agree Strongly agree	1	2	3	4	5
1	Estimation of the settlement parameters using correlation from basic properties is adequate. (e.g. void ratio from loss of ignition and specific gravity)					
2	Estimation of the strength parameters using correlation from basic properties is adequate. (e.g. effective stress from moisture content dry and bulk density)					
Com	ments					
F. (Case Histories of Construction on Peat (Chapter 6)					
	Strongly disagree 2=Disagree 3=Fairly Agree 4=Agree Strongly agree	1	2	3	4	5
1	The case studies presented in the guidelines is helpful for design. If not, please suggest some case studies					
2	The case studies presented in the guidelines is helpful for construction. <i>If not, please suggest some case studies</i>					

Con	ments					
G. (Guideline outcome					
1=	Strongly disagree 2=Disagree 3=Fairly Agree 4=Agree	1	2	3	4	5
5=	Strongly agree					
1	The guideline was well prepared					
2	The guideline is helpful					
3	I can use the recommendations to solve problems of construction on peat					
Com	ments					

H. Would you suggest additional reviewer?

- Yes
- No

I. General comment

1.	How would you improve the guidelines? (check all that is applicable)	
	a) Increase the content provided in the guideline	
	b) Provide better information	
	c) Reduce the content of the guideline	
	d) Update the content of the guideline	
	e) Improve the structure/arrangement of the guidelines	
	f) Rewrite the guidelines	
	g) Others, please specify	
2.	Please give details of the ticked improvement(s) for the guidelines? (pleasheet if necessary)	se use separat