



Audit Report for Black Hole Industries - January 17, 2021

Summary

Audit Report prepared by Solidified covering the Sifchian token sale smart contracts (and their associated components).

Process and Delivery

Three (3) independent Solidified experts performed an unbiased and isolated audit of the code below. The debrief took place on November 9th, 2020.

This report constitutes an amendment to the original audit report covering a minor code update audited in January 2021.

Audited Files

The following contracts were covered during the audit:

contracts

- |— BridgeToken.sol
- |— ERC20DecimalsMock.sol
- |— ERC20Mock.sol
- |— Migrations.sol

contracts

- |— BConst.sol
- |— BMath.sol
- |— BNum.sol
- |— BPool.sol
- |— Hash.sol
- |— Migrations.sol
- |— Mocks
 - |— MockRowanToken.sol
- |— OwnableWhitelist.sol

Supplied in the following source code repositories:

<https://github.com/Sifchain/balancer>

<https://github.com/Sifchain/eRowan-ERC20>



Audit Report for Black Hole Industries - January 17, 2021

Notes

The audit was based on commit numbers `0afb5d17e8c49d0ce10ba36438a5888b468a88ca` and `28717430a3574b8ee1f0d2f880f6c0be62d16c08`

Fixes were submitted in commit `773b8487701b2c9997f66678c41d200baad8e95c`

A code update was received in the following pull request:

<https://github.com/Sifchain/balancer/pull/79>

Last commit number: `121bda79ce9a27c3033a263c483ac8734aa2b103`

Intended Behavior

The smart contract implements a token sale based on a modified version of the Balancer liquidity pool smart contract. In order to function as a token sale, the following modifications have been applied:

- Only a controller can provide liquidity to the pool
- Swap fees will be set to 0
- Users can only swap one-way (intended for buying eRowan with USDT)
- Only whitelisted addresses can buy



Audit Report for Black Hole Industries - January 17, 2021

Executive Summary

Smart contract audits are an important step to improve the security of smart contracts and can find many issues. However, auditing complex codebases has its limits and a remaining risk is present (see disclaimer).

Users of a smart contract system should exercise caution. In order to help with the evaluation of the remaining risk, we provide a measure of the following key indicators: **code complexity**, **code readability**, **level of documentation**, and **test coverage**.

Note, that high complexity or lower test coverage does not necessarily equate to a higher risk, although certain bugs are more easily detected in unit testing than a security audit and vice versa.

Criteria	Status	Comment
Code complexity	Medium	-
Code readability and clarity	Medium-High	-
Level of Documentation	Medium	-
Test Coverage	Medium-High	-



Audit Report for Black Hole Industries - January 17, 2021

Issues Found

Solidified found that the Sifchain token sale contracts contain no critical issue, no major issues, and 1 minor issue, in addition to 3 informational notes.

We recommend all issues are amended, while the notes are up to the team's discretion, as it refers to best practices.

Issue #	Description	Severity	Status
1	Anyone can provide liquidity (in contrast to specification)	Minor	Resolved
2	Zero fees cause unnecessary calculations	Note	Resolved
3	Unnecessary factory address	Note	Acknowledged



Audit Report for Black Hole Industries - January 17, 2021

Critical Issues

No critical issues have been found.

Major Issues

No major issues have been found.

Minor Issues

1. Anyone can provide liquidity (in contrast to specification)

Anyone can send eRowan or USDT directly to the contract and then use `gulp()` to absorb the tokens into the balance. This may not have a direct impact but circumvents the desired behavior as specified.

There may be a non-obvious impact on price calculations.

Recommendation

Limit `gulp()` function access to the controller.

Update

Fixed

Notes

2. Zero fees cause unnecessary calculations

`SWAP_FEE` and `EXIT_FEE` are hardcoded to 0 for this particular use case. There are, nevertheless, used for calculations and `EXIT_FEE` is even used to make an ERC-20 transfer of 0 tokens.

Another result of this is that `getSpotPriceSansFee()` and `getSpotPrice()` provide duplicate functionality.

Recommendation

Consider removing fees from the calculation.

Update

The recommendation has been applied.

3. Unnecessary factory address

The `_factory` address in `BPool1.sol` is unnecessary since the original `BFactory` contract from the Balancer codebase has been removed. It is set to be the same as the controller address and only used for pushing making a 0 amount ERC-20 token transfer (see above).

Recommendation

Consider removing the variable for readability.

Update

The team decided to leave the contracts as close as possible to the original Balancer contracts and therefore decided to leave the unnecessary variable in place.



Audit Report for Black Hole Industries - January 17, 2021

Disclaimer

Solidified audit is not a security warranty, investment advice, or an endorsement of Black Hole Industries or its products. This audit does not provide a security or correctness guarantee of the audited smart contract. Securing smart contracts is a multistep process, therefore running a bug bounty program as a complement to this audit is strongly recommended.

The individual audit reports are anonymized and combined during a debrief process, in order to provide an unbiased delivery and protect the auditors of Solidified platform from legal and financial liability.

Solidified Technologies Inc.