

# Case Study 3 - A Study of Demographic Differences against Party Preference

*Elliot Pickens & Dean Gladish*

*May 22, 2018*

## Introduction

Political party preference is typically thought to be associated with the demographics and geography of a populace. It is of interest to politicians, political scientists and the media alike to determine the extent of such correlation in order to understand which groups are most likely to vote for the party. Our case study, which uses data collected from U.S. adults from the 1980 and 2000 elections respectively as part of the National Election Studies project, is an investigation into the matter that allows us to model party preference using the logistic regression model. Specifically, we aim to address whether gender, regional, and union differences play a part in party preference over time.

## Data


The dataset that we analyzed consists of a binary indicator variable indicating Democratic Party preference as well as numerous other categorical variables corresponding to factors such as year, age, gender, race, region, income, unionized and educational status. The explanatory variables that we focus on are *gender*, *race*, *income*, *age* and *union*.

The following table gives our estimates of the important aspects (coefficients, etc.) of our model:

Table 1: Important Coefficients of our Logistic Regression Model

|                  | Estimate  | Standard error | z value | P-value  |
|------------------|-----------|----------------|---------|----------|
| intercept        | 1.648114  | 0.221279       | 7.448   | 9.47e-14 |
| raceother        | -1.600862 | 0.227855       | -7.026  | 2.13e-12 |
| racewhite        | -1.852649 | 0.182748       | -10.138 | 2e-16    |
| unionyes         | 0.692776  | 0.116536       | 5.945   | 2.77e-09 |
| incomemiddle 1/3 | -0.265841 | 0.113745       | -2.337  | 0.01943  |
| incomeupper 1/3  | -0.491922 | 0.114198       | -4.308  | 1.65e-05 |
| age              | 0.007781  | 0.002699       | 2.883   | 0.00395  |
| gendermale       | -0.258311 | 0.090486       | -2.855  | 0.00431  |

The following set of plots represent what is essentially the relationship between our binary model and the data for the years 1980 and 2000.



Through exploratory data analysis of significance and association, we found that interaction variables gave us a closer fit to the data.

## Results:

Using the BIC criterion we obtained the following model:

$$\hat{Y}_i\{dem\} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 race_{other} + \beta_2 race_{white} + \beta_3 union_{yes} + \beta_4 income_{middle\ 1/3} + \beta_5 income_{upper\ 1/3} + \beta_6 age + \beta_7 gender_{male}$$

The model shown above can be interpreted as follows:

## Discussion: