

2017/08/05 托福寫作真題範文

- Many companies sell products or services but at the same time cause environmental damage. Someone said it can be stopped by asking them to pay penalty, such as a higher tax, when they cause the environmental damage. Others said there are better ways to stop them from harming the environment.
 - What's your opinion on this issue? Use specific reasons and examples to support your answer.
-
- 有些公司販售商品或服務，但同時傷害了環境。有些人說透過要求他們付罰金，像是更高的稅金，可以阻止這種情況，當他們造成環境傷害的時候。其他人說有更好的方法可以阻止人類傷害環境。
 - 你對此一議題的想法為何？使用確切的理由與例子來支持你的答案。

解題思路

1. 教育應該扮演主要的角色。
2. 政府應該要幫公司增進它們的科技。
3. 就算有罰金，公司依然會傷害環境。
4. (讓步)要公司付罰金能夠阻止它們造成環境的傷害。(反駁)公司很難會立刻奉公守法。

高分範文

Environmental issues have been a hot debate in the recent year due to the abnormality of the weather and nature. Meanwhile, it is also of importance to developing the economy, so some companies risk the chance of causing environmental damage for their best interest. Some people assert that penalty can prevent this situation from worsening. In my opinion, there are many other better ways to stop this than the negative method of high penalty.

由於天氣與自然的異常，環境問題近年來一直都是引發熱議的話題。同時，發展經濟也很重要，所以有些公司為了自己最佳的利益，寧冒傷害環境的風險。有些人斷言，罰金可以預防這樣的情況惡化。就我的看法，我認為還有一些其他更好的方法可以阻止這種行為，而非高額罰金的負面方法。

In the first place, education should play the major role in stopping companies damaging our environment for their profits. We are living on the same planet with limited sources. If everybody tries to exploit it for their own profits, human races will certainly proceed to a route of self-destruction or even extinction. By education, companies will really perceive how much damage they can cause if they do it merely out of their trivial profits. It is not just about the matter of their own benefits, but the survival issues of their children and many others' children on the planet. In addition, if the environment is damaged, there will be fewer job

opportunities, leaving fewer customers able to spend money on products these companies produce. The profits of these companies will eventually die out. Finally, having companies pay high tax only temporarily diminish the behavior of marring our environment. Chances are that penalty policy can encourage big companies in a negative way to focus only on their products or service since the worst scenario is to pay higher tax while the profits they earn through implementing these illegal actions can be tremendously larger than the tax.

首先，在阻止公司為了自己的利益破壞我們的環境這件事情上，教育應該扮演主要的角色。我們生活在同一顆地球上，資源有限。如果每個人都為了自己的利益而試圖剝削這顆星球，人類一定會往自毀甚至滅絕的路上前進。藉由教育，公司將真的認識到如果他們只是為了微不足道的利益傷害地球，他們將會造成多少傷害。這不只是關乎他們本身的利益，而是他們的孩子與地球上許多其他孩子能否生存下來的問題。此外，如果環境受到損害，工作機會將減少，讓更少消費者有能力消費這些公司生產的商品。這些公司的獲利將逐漸消失。最後，讓這些公司付高額罰金，只能暫時減少傷害環境的行為。罰款政策很有可能會負向鼓勵大公司只關注自己的產品或服務，既然最糟的情況是要交更高的稅，而他們透過非法行為所賺得的利益遠大於稅。。

In the second place, the government should help companies improve their technology. Some companies just do not know what they do will damage our environment. If the government sets up official organizations for counseling before any company is established, it can reduce the potential of harming the environment in the first place. Moreover, there are many experts in various fields in those official organizations, so they can also help companies develop better technology that can reduce the possibility of pollutions, such as better material that can be recycled or machines that produce less carbon dioxide. The government can even provide funding to encourage companies to develop their technology that will augment their profits without damaging our environment. In contrast, it is not really solving the problem by penalizing companies. Some companies are not aware of their damaging the environment. All they focus is how to sell their service or products; they do not know what they do can be deleterious to our environment. If they can be aided by the government, they will follow the rule and realize their responsibility of business. As some environmental damages are irreversible, such as oil spill or clear-cutting of a forest,

prevention is always better than cure!

其次，政府應該要幫公司增進它們的科技。有些公司就是不知道它們所作所為會傷害環境。如果政府建立官方組織，讓任何公司都可以在成立前先來諮詢，將能一開始就減少傷害環境的可能性。此外，那些官方組織裡有許多各領域的專家，所以他們能幫公司發展出更好的科技來減少汙染的可能性，像是更好的可回收材料，或產生較少二氧化碳的機器。政府甚至可以提供資金來鼓勵公司發展可以提高獲利的科技，而不需要破壞我們的環境。相對地，處罰公司並不會真的解決問題。有些公司不知道自己在破壞環境。它們關心的只有如何賣服務或商品，它們不知道自己所作所為對我們的環境有害。如果它們得到政府的幫助，它們將會奉公守法，了解它們的企業責任。因為有些環境的傷害是不可逆的，像是漏油或把森林砍光，預防總是勝於治療。

Lastly, the disadvantage of penalty is that companies can still damage the environment once they know they can continue making profits. Law is the last resort for the misdeed. What is essential is the mindset held by the owner of the company. Why does the company want to risk damaging our environment? What are the benefits for harming our mother earth? The answer is evident—profits. In other words, if the penalty pales in the profits the company makes, our environment will always be on the losing side. For the long run, companies will always find out a way to evade their duty. What's worse, other companies can just follow this myopic perspective, targeting only their profitable goal while ignoring our environment. The economy in the end will be doomed, and so will the country.

最後，罰金的壞處是公司依然會傷害環境，一旦它們發現自己持續獲益。法律是犯罪的最後一道防線。重要的是公司老闆的心態。為何公司甘冒傷害環境的風險？傷害大地之母有何好處？答案很明顯—利益。換句話說，如果罰金小於公司獲益，我們的環境將永遠是輸家。長遠來看，公司將一直都能找到逃避責任的方法。更糟糕的是，其他公司只要繼續這種短視的觀點，只關注獲利的目標，忽略我們的環境。最後經濟將深受其害，國家也是。

On the other hand, however, some people might claim that asking

companies to pay penalty can stop them from causing environmental damage. For example, if companies know high tax is the penalty, they would try to rectify the work. Besides, some environmental damages can reach a large-scale destruction, such as harmful chemicals releasing to the farmland. Nevertheless, penalty forces companies to invest more money in their production, while at the same time, the profit margin is reduced. This would make it difficult for companies to follow the rules immediately.

另一方面，然而，有些人可能會說要公司付罰金能夠阻止它們造成環境的傷害。舉例來說，如果公司知道高稅金是種懲罰，它們將有所修正。此外，有些環境傷害可能造成大規模的毀滅，像是有害化學物質滲漏到農田中。然而，罰金迫使公司投資更多錢在生產上，然而利潤幅度同時會減少。這樣公司很難會立刻奉公守法。

However, the issue we are dealing with is the environment issue, which cannot be overestimated. It concerns life and death matter for all mankind—especially when the world is now seen a village as a whole. We only have one earth and it is the people living on this planet who should take some actions to stop the deterioration of our environment. Penalty is temporary. What we really need to stop companies from hurting our environment is education and the assistance of the government. Once companies have cultivated the right Therefore, penalizing the company is not an adequate way to conservation in the long run.

然而，我們面對的問題是環境問題，萬萬不可低估。這牽涉到人類的生死—特別是這個世界現在被視為一體的地球村。我們只有一個地球，生活在這顆星球上的人有必要採取行動阻止環境惡化。罰金只是暫時的。我們真正需要用來阻止公司不要傷害環境的東西是教育以及政府的幫助。一旦公司培養出正確的企業精神，它們將更關心我們的環境。因此，懲罰企業不是環保長久的好方法。