# **Blockchain Mining and Network Attacks**



April 5, 2023

Created By: Debasis Das (Apr 2023)

#### Table of Contents

- Types of Blockchain Network Attacks
- Types of Mining Attacks
- 51 % Attack
- Selfish Mining Attack
- References

There are several types of Blockchain Mining and Network Attacks. In this post we will discuss a few types of Blockchain Network Attacks and Several Mining Attacks.

## **Types of Blockchain Network Attacks**

- **51% Attack:** A 51% attack occurs when a single entity or group controls more than 50% of the network's hash power, allowing them to manipulate the blockchain and potentially double-spend coins.
- Sybil Attack: A Sybil attack occurs when an attacker creates multiple identities or nodes on the network to gain control or influence over the network.
- **Double-spending Attack:** A double-spending attack occurs when a user spends the same cryptocurrency twice by submitting two transactions at the same time to different nodes in the network.
- Denial-of-Service (DoS) Attack: A DoS attack occurs when an attacker floods the network with a high volume of requests, slowing it down.
- **Eclipse Attack:** An eclipse attack involves isolating a node from the rest of the network, allowing the attacker to manipulate the node's view of the blockchain and potentially double-spend coins.
- Man-in-the-middle Attack: A man-in-the-middle attack involves intercepting and manipulating transactions between two parties, allowing the attacker to steal or alter the transaction.
- Smart Contract Exploits: Smart contract exploits occur when an attacker finds a vulnerability in a smart contract's code, allowing them to manipulate the contract and potentially steal cryptocurrency.

## **Types of Mining Attacks**

There are different mining attacks that can affect blockchain networks, including:

- Selfish Mining: In selfish mining, a miner or group of miners attempt to gain more
  control over the blockchain network by withholding newly mined blocks from other
  miners or nodes. This can allow them to manipulate the blockchain and potentially
  double-spend coins.
- **Double-spending:** Double-spending can also be a mining attack, as it involves a miner attempting to spend the same cryptocurrency twice by submitting two transactions at the same time to different nodes in the network.
- **51% Attack**: 51% attack can also be considered a mining attack. If a single miner or group of miners controls more than 50% of the network's hash power, they can manipulate the blockchain and potentially double-spend coins.
- **Timejacking:** In a timejacking attack, an attacker manipulates the timestamp of a new block to make it appear as though it was mined earlier, potentially allowing them to manipulate the blockchain and reverse transactions.
- **Finney Attack:** A Finney attack occurs when a miner creates a new block that includes a transaction that they know will be accepted, then uses the rewards from that block to double-spend coins.

#### 51 % Attack

A 51% attack is a type of blockchain attack where a single entity or group of entities control more than 50% of the network's hash power. Hash power refers to the computing power that is used to secure the blockchain network by validating and verifying transactions.

In a 51% attack, the entity or group with the majority of the hash power can manipulate the blockchain network and potentially double-spend coins. This is because they have the ability to create new blocks more quickly than the rest of the network, allowing them to create a longer chain of blocks that other nodes will accept as the true blockchain.

The 51% attacker can then use this power to prevent other nodes from mining new blocks or validating transactions, and can also reverse previously confirmed transactions. For example, they can send a transaction to purchase goods or services, and then wait until the transaction has been confirmed, before creating a new blockchain branch that does not include the transaction. This would allow them to retain their original cryptocurrency and double-spend the same coins on a different transaction.

**Countermeasures** such as increasing the computational difficulty of mining, using different consensus mechanisms such as proof-of-stake, and increasing the number of nodes on the network to reduce the likelihood of a single entity gaining too much control.

### Selfish Mining Attack

Selfish mining is an attack on blockchain networks that involves a miner or group of miners attempting to gain control over a significant portion of the network by withholding newly mined blocks from other miners or nodes.

The threshold for a successful selfish mining attack is often estimated to be around 33% to 50% of the network's hash power.

The goal of this attack is to create a fork in the blockchain that favors the selfish miner's branch, allowing them to manipulate the network and potentially double-spend coins.

In a selfish mining attack, the selfish miner does not broadcast the block they have mined immediately to the rest of the network. Instead, they keep the block to themselves and continue mining on top of it, creating a longer chain of blocks. Once the selfish miner's chain is longer than the main chain of the network, they broadcast their chain, causing the network to switch to their chain as the new main chain.

By doing this, the selfish miner can potentially reverse transactions or double-spend coins, giving them more control over the network.

This attack is effective when the selfish miner controls a significant portion of the network's hash power, allowing them to **mine blocks faster than other miners** and gain an advantage in creating the longer chain.

**Countermeasures** to prevent selfish mining attacks includes blockchain networks implementing countermeasures such as adjusting the difficulty of mining or using consensus mechanisms that penalize selfish behavior.

#### References

- <a href="https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Double-spending">https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Double-spending</a>
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sybil\_attack
- Information provided by <a href="ChatGPT">ChatGPT</a> was used as a source for this article