

Institute of Architecture of Application Systems
University of Stuttgart
Universitätsstraße 38
D-70569 Stuttgart

Master Thesis No. —

Context-based Manufacturing Processes

Debasis Kar



Course of Study: M.Sc. INFOTECH

Examiner: Prof. Dr. Dr. h. c. Frank Leymann

Supervisor: M.Sc. C. Timurhan Sungur

Commenced: September 09, 2015

Completed: March 09, 2016

CR-Classification: H.4.1, J.7, K.1

Abstract

— Abstract comes here —

Contents

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Problem Statement	3
1.2. Methodology and Outline	4
2. Fundamentals	6
2.1. Industry 4.0	6
2.1.1. Components of Industry 4.0	7
2.1.2. Definition of Industry 4.0	8
2.1.3. Enablers of Industry 4.0	8
2.1.4. Mechanisms to Increase Productivity	9
2.1.5. Benefits of Industry 4.0 in Manufacturing	11
2.2. Internet of Things	12
2.2.1. Definition of Internet of Things and Its Trends	13
2.2.2. Elements of Internet of Things	14
2.2.2.1. Radio Frequency Identification	15
2.2.2.2. Wireless Sensor Network	15
2.2.2.3. Addressing Schemes	15
2.2.2.4. Storage, Analytics and Visualization	16
2.2.3. Internet of Things Architecture	16
2.2.4. Cyber-Physical Systems	17
2.2.5. Smart Factory	18
2.3. Business Process Model and Notation	21
2.3.1. Motivation for Choosing BPMN	21
2.3.2. Properties of BPMN	22
2.3.2.1. Dimensions of Workflows (BP1)	22
2.3.2.2. Hierarchies of BPMN Modeling (BP2)	23
2.3.2.3. Flexibility of BPMN Models (BP3)	23
2.3.2.4. Extensibility Mechanism of BPMN (BP4)	23
2.3.2.5. Cognitive Potency of BPMN (BP5)	23
2.4. Context-sensitive Workflows	24
2.4.1. Definition of Context and Context-sensitivity	24
2.4.2. Context Management Life-cycle	25
2.4.3. Definitions of Context- Query, Event and Decisions	27
2.5. Summary	27
3. Context-sensitive Execution Step	28
3.1. Proposed System	28
3.2. Operational Semantics	28
3.3. Realization Architecture	28

4. Motivating Scenario	30
5. Requirements Analysis	31
6. Related Works	32
7. Architecture and Implementation	33
8. Evaluation	34
9. Summary and Outlook	35
A. List of Acronyms	36
B. Glossary	38
Bibliography	39

List of Figures

1.1.	Sources of Turbulences in Manufacturing (Adapted from [Wes06])	1
1.2.	Logical Four Square of Conflicting Goals of Production [Erl12]	2
1.3.	The Thesis Methodology (Adapted from [Sun13])	4
2.1.	Four Enablers of Industry 4.0 (Adapted from [SRHD15])	8
2.2.	Industry 4.0: Revolutionary Product Life-cycles [SRHD15]	9
2.3.	Industry 4.0: Virtual Engineering of Complete Value Chains [SRHD15]	10
2.4.	Industry 4.0: Revolutionary Short Value Chains [SRHD15]	10
2.5.	Industry 4.0: Better Performing than Engineered [SRHD15]	10
2.6.	IoT Dimensions [TW10]	13
2.7.	IoT in Gartner Hype-Cycle (Adapted from [Bro11, RvdM15])	14
2.8.	Conceptual IoT Architectural Framework (Adapted from [TW10, GBMP13]) .	17
2.9.	Conceptual Architecture of a CPS (Adapted from [Jaz14])	18
2.10.	Enablers of Smart Factory (Adapted from [KZ15])	20
2.11.	BPM Life-cycle (Adapted from [DLRMR13, LR00])	22
2.12.	Context Life-cycle (Adapted from [PZCG14])	26

List of Tables

6.1.	Demo Table	32
------	----------------------	----

List of Algorithms

3.1.	Pseudocode for Operational Semantics of a Context-sensitive Execution Step	29
------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------	----

List of Listings

7.1. Java Demo Example	33
7.2. XML Demo Example	33

1. Introduction

Since manufacturing processes involve the processes to transform raw materials into finished goods on a large scale, economists around the globe assert manufacturing to be a wealth-producing sector of an economy as most developed economies are predominantly dependent upon manufacturing sector. In the recent years, due to modern innovations in Information and Communication Technology (ICT) the focus of supply and demand are shifting, thus manufacturing industry is experiencing more complex supply chains. Customers demanding high levels of individualized products are driving fierce competition in pricing and forcing manufacturers to strive for highest levels of efficiencies. The kind of turbulences a manufacturer can expect now-a-days can be found in Figure 1.1. Still manufacturers can develop effective survival strategies amidst all these turbulences, if they are able to continuously adapt their organizational structures [Wes06].

Adaptive manufacturing thrives upon many challenges, e.g., accessing all available information when it is needed, where it is needed, and in the form it is most useful to drive optimal actions and responses. Adaptive manufacturing facilitates manufacturers to generate and apply data-driven manufacturing intelligence throughout the life-cycle of design, engineering, planning, and production [Wes06, Erl12, RLG⁺15].




Figure 1.1.: Sources of Turbulences in Manufacturing (Adapted from [Wes06])

The advent of a new wave of technological changes has already started driving a paradigm shift in manufacturing. Manufacturing sector is at the verge of a new industrial revolution which promises all range of opportunities for innovation in terms of smarter industrial processes, new business models and highly customized products. The new technological wave builds on the concept of interaction between the real and virtual worlds which becomes the core of the manufacturing processes [RLG⁺15, HPO15].

The primary objectives of production are known as the "*Holy Trinity*" of cost, quality, and time. Some authors do refer this trio as "*Iron Triangle*" [Bas14]. Most important is to point out the right direction of goal achievement – low production costs, high quality of products, as well as short lead times in production and order processing. Recently the product variety on demand is added to these production goals. Products produced in series and not positioned within the lowest price segment can only be distinguished from competition by the "long tail" of innumerable possible variants or individualized (customized) products - as we refer them [Erl12].

Erlach [Erl12] explains the relationships between the four goal dimensions and the relevant goal conflicts by the logical square of goals shown in Figure 1.2. In sum, the possible relationships between the four goal dimensions can be distinguished into following four types:

1. The contradictory antagonism of goals describes the strongest type of conflict where goal achievement for the one goal deteriorates that of the other goal.
2. The contrary antagonism of goals describes that the attainment of the two goals cannot be improved at the same time, though the fulfillment of the one goal can be improved without negatively affecting the fulfillment of the other goal.
3. The subordination of goals is possible when attainment of some goals are basically easier to accomplish than others thanks to their lower implementable requirements.
4. The compatibility of goals exists if two goals can be better accomplished independently.

Improving individual goals does not necessarily mean that another goal is affected to the same degree; some goals can actually be improved simultaneously. The objective of production optimization is to counterbalance operation of production and the product range at a specific production site with the four goal dimensions in order to achieve the best level of goal achievement [Erl12].




Figure 1.2.: Logical Four Square of Conflicting Goals of Production [Erl12]

To increase the efficiency of production process, automation, optimization, and dynamic adaption became the most important requirements in manufacturing sector [Hen14]. Since

1.1. Problem Statement

the dawn of sensors and networking technologies, vital information can be gathered beforehand to decide the most suitable and optimized process. The selection of each execution step may depend on different factors as new technological advancements provide more solution options to the same kind of problems. Manually conducted assembly tasks may provide alternatives to the existing automation methods depending on the current demand, status of the machinery, and occupation of the machinery [SBLW15]. Situations can be observed using modern world smart-systems that enable the application of well-adopted business process modeling and execution solutions in the context of manufacturing companies and tracking of activity flows in the real world [WKNL07].

To strengthen the case, a recent sector research work by Deutsche Bank [Hen14] can be looked upon. This predicts that Germany with its favorable fundamental features will find the next industrial revolution a major long-term opportunity to consolidate its leading position in the global marketplace even against its fast-growing emerging market competitors. Thus Germany has been and will remain an industrial heavyweight, creating one-third economy of the European Union (EU) [Hen14].

Production processes contain both manufacturing and all relevant business processes to complete production on time where as manufacturing processes only involve the processes to transform raw materials to products [SBLW15]. Wiendahl et al. [WEN⁺07] have depicted production process as a macro-level process whereas manufacturing process as micro-level. Both Production and Manufacturing processes can be modeled using business process modeling languages, e.g., Business Process Execution Language (BPEL) [OAS07] or Business Process Model and Notation (BPMN) [OMG11]. After modeling, process models are deployed on compliant workflow engines for an automated execution. But these paradigms don't support adaptive and flexible execution of business processes in manufacturing sector. By not considering these adaptations, the manufacturing companies lose their revenue and edge in market by remaining reluctant to structural changes on time [SBLW15, WKNL07].

1.1. Problem Statement

Manufacturing processes need to be updated regularly to stay competitive in the market. With the emergence of new sensor technologies, *Internet of Things (IoT)* which is discussed later in Chapter 2.2, the manufacturing processes can be made smarter to leverage the next industrial revolution - commonly referred as *Industry 4.0*. We introduce Industry 4.0 in early part of the literature review in Chapter 2.1.

Sungur et al. [SBLW15] presented a novel approach to support *Context-sensitive Adaptive Production Process* in their research work. They extended production processes, which contain a sequence of predefined sets of sub-processes, with *Context-sensitive Execution Steps (CES)*. For each CES, context-relevant sub-processes are chosen and desired processes are elected, optimized, deployed and executed [SBLW15]. This approach dictates a way in which processes can possibly adapt themselves to the execution context. In each context, there can be multiple alternatives for the same process goals and the best needs to be selected and executed at runtime [SBLW15]. All details relevant to context-sensitive workflows have been discussed thoroughly later in Chapter 2.4.

In this thesis work, we implement the CES flow, which is actually process language agnostic such that it can be integrated with any BPMN engine without changing the semantics of standard BPMN. We might extend the BPMN keeping its semantics intact if and only if it's needed at all and add the necessary details to make manufacturing process models executable. To create this implementation, we have analyzed the properties that make CES unique and also scrutinized important and relevant BPMN properties which might be vital during implementation of the CES. These properties are later on used to derive our requirements from which we model our implementation. A summary of the thesis work can be found below in Figure 1.3 that is discussed in the section.




Figure 1.3.: The Thesis Methodology (Adapted from [Sun13])

1.2. Methodology and Outline

The remaining master thesis document is structured in the following way as shown in the Figure 1.3.

- *Chapter 2 - Fundamentals:* The literature review of this thesis is carried out in three steps as suggested by Levy et al. [LE06]. We analyze literature related with Industry 4.0, Internet of Things (IoT), Business Process Model and Notation (BPMN), and Context-sensitive Workflows. Main focus during the literature review was to understand the

1.2. Methodology and Outline

current development in Industry 4.0 and its implications in midst of the innovations in IoT technologies. Trends in the IoT field has also been discussed. Chapter 2.3 is focused upon analyzing properties of CES from the point of view of Business Process Management (BPM), and the efficacies of BPMN. This chapter has been added just before modeling the motivating scenario. In Chapter 2.4 we analyze literature related with context and context-sensitive workflows.

- *Chapter 3 - Context-sensitive Execution Step:* Properties and operational semantics of Context-sensitive Execution Step (CES) are discussed in this chapter. After subsequent description, formal algorithm of our intended approach has been discussed.
- *Chapter 4 - Motivating Scenario:* For the sake of analysis and apply the conceptual workflow modeling construct, we have described a motivating scenario depicting a real-world manufacturing scenario which is a mix of both manual- and automated tasks.
- *Chapter 5 - Requirement Analysis:* In this chapter, we derive our requirements from the properties that we have found related. By defining our requirements, we conclude the task requirement analysis in the methodology model Figure 1.3. All the relevant properties and requirements for the CES have been described in this chapter.
- *Chapter 6 - Related Works:* In the next task, we select and analyze few already existing extensions of BPMN or any ongoing work in same direction. We propose our solution which satisfy the requirements that we have previously defined to make sure that our approach proposed by Sungur et al. [SBLW15] can cater the best to the manufacturing sector.
- *Chapter 7 - Architecture and Implementation:* During the implementation of our conceptual construct, we use the BPMN extension methodology and we preserve the semantics of the existing BPMN properties. Architecture for the execution of modeled process is touched upon in this chapter.
- *Chapter 8 - Evaluation:* In our final task, we evaluate our approach by comparing it with the current state of art or related works already discussed in Chapter 6. We conclude this task by a thorough comparison between several existing solutions.
- *Chapter 9 - Summary and Outlook:* In the last chapter, we give a summary and an outlook about our contribution to the manufacturing world.

The thesis document also contains two appendices for the further look-up:

- *Appendix A - List of Acronyms:* The list containing all the abbreviations or acronyms which are used in this document is added in this appendix.
- *Appendix B - Glossary:* This appendix is intended to define terms or concepts cited in the documented that are out of the scope of our discussion.

2. Fundamentals

For much of human history, productivity growth was barely perceptible, and living standards improved at a snail's pace. Then approximately 200 years ago, a steep change in innovation occurred: the *Industrial Revolution* treated as *Industry 1.0*, in which the muscle power of all living beings was replaced by mechanical power that introduced steam engines and internal combustion engines to the mechanical production facilities. From the early part of the twentieth century, electrification and the division of labor led to the second industrial revolution which is referred as *Industry 2.0* now. The third industrial revolution referred as *Industry 3.0*, also known as the *Digital Revolution*, was set in around the 1970s, when advanced electronics and Information Technology (IT) developed further the automation of production processes. In the following decades industrial technological advancements were only incremental, especially compared with the breakthroughs that transformed IT, mobile communications, and e-commerce [EA12, HPO15, RLG⁺15].

Productivity and economic growth accelerated sharply in consequence of these innovations. The number of manufacturing jobs decreased, new jobs emerged and the demand for new skills grew. Today, another workforce transformation is on the horizon as manufacturing experiences a new wave of technological advancement where it is possible to augment physical machines with digital intelligence. The conditions are ripe and early evidence suggests that this new wave of innovation is already upon us [EA12, LRS⁺15].

In the next few sections, we discuss about how the next industrial revolution will unfold itself and its benefits for businesses and more broadly for economies around the world.

2.1. Industry 4.0

The term "*Industry 4.0*" that refers to the next industrial revolution became publicly known in 2011 at Hanover Fair, when an initiative named "*Industrie 4.0*" - an association of representatives from business, politics, and academia - promoted the idea as an approach to strengthening the competitiveness of the German manufacturing industry [KLW11]. The German Federal Government supported the idea by announcing that Industry 4.0 will be an integral part of its "High-Tech Strategy 2020 for Germany" initiative, aiming at technological innovation leadership. The subsequently formed "*Industrie 4.0 Working Group*" then developed first recommendations for implementation, which were published in April 2013 [HPO15].

Hermann et al. [HPO15] describe the fascination behind Industry 4.0 in 2 segments. Firstly, for the first time an industrial revolution is predicted a-priori, not observed ex-post that provides various opportunities for companies and research institutes to actively shape the future. Secondly, Industry 4.0 promises substantially increased operational effectiveness as well as the development of entirely new business models, services and products.

2.1. Industry 4.0

2.1.1. Components of Industry 4.0

With Industry 4.0, modern ICT will transform production: isolated flows will come together as a fully integrated, automated, and optimized production flow, leading to greater efficiencies and changing traditional production relationships among suppliers, producers, and customers — as well as between human and machine [RLG⁺15]. Major factors that propels this next industrial revolution has been listed below.

- *Cyber Physical Systems (CPS)*¹ are integrations of computation, networking and physical processes. Embedded computers and networks monitor and control the physical processes, with feedback loops where such processes affect computations and vice versa, e.g., autonomous automotive systems [HPO15].
- *Internet of Things (IoT)*² allows field devices to communicate and interact both with one another and with more centralized controllers, as necessary. It also decentralizes analytics and decision making, enabling real-time responses [RLG⁺15, HPO15].
- *Smart Factory*³ is context-aware by assisting people and machines in execution of their tasks. It is achieved by systems working in background, so-called Calm-systems and context aware means that the system can take into consideration information coming from physical and virtual world like the position and status of an object [HPO15].
- *Internet of Services (IoS)* enables service vendors to offer their services via the internet. The IoS consists of participants, an infrastructure for services, business models, and the services themselves. Services are offered and combined as value-added services by various suppliers; they are communicated to users as well as consumers and are accessed by them via various channels [HPO15].
- *Big Data and Analytics* based on large data sets has emerged only recently in the manufacturing world, where it optimizes production quality, saves energy, and improves equipment service. Big data is high volume, high velocity, and/or high variety information assets that require new forms of processing to enable enhanced decision making, insight discovery and process optimization [BL12]. In an Industry 4.0 context, the collection and comprehensive evaluation of data from many different sources - production equipment and systems as well as enterprise- and customer-management systems - will become standard to support enterprise decision making [RLG⁺15, HPO15].
- *Cloud Computing* is a model for enabling ubiquitous, convenient, on-demand network access to a shared pool of configurable computing resources, e.g., networks, servers, storage, applications, and services that can be rapidly provisioned and released with minimal management effort or service provider interaction as per definition of NIST [MG11]. It will make increased data sharing across sites and company boundaries possible for Industry 4.0. At the same time, the performance of cloud technologies will improve, achieving reaction times of just several milliseconds. As a result, machine data and functionality will increasingly be deployed to the cloud, enabling more data-driven services for production systems [RLG⁺15, HPO15].

¹CPS is explained with its architecture in Chapter 2.2.4.

²IoT is the prime driver of Industry 4.0 which is discussed in Chapter 2.2.

³Smart factory which thrives upon IoT is discussed in Chapter 2.2.5.

- *Augmented Reality* based systems support a variety of services, such as selecting parts in a warehouse and sending repair instructions over mobile devices. These systems are currently in their infancy, but in the future, companies will make much broader use of augmented reality to provide workers with real-time information to improve decision making and work procedures [RLG⁺¹⁵].

2.1.2. Definition of Industry 4.0

From the literature review of Hermann et al. [HPO15] and Kagermann et al. [KLW11], *Industry 4.0* is a collective term for contemporary automation, data exchange, and manufacturing technologies and concepts of value chain organization which draws together Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS), the Internet of Things (IoT), Smart Factories and the Internet of Services together [HPO15, KLW11].

Rüßmann et al. [RLG⁺¹⁵] explain it in a similar way as a new industrial revolution: "*Industry 4.0* is a new digital industrial technology that will connect sensors, machines, work-pieces, and IT systems along the value chain beyond the enterprise which in turn will interact with another using standard Internet-based protocols and adapt to changes [RLG⁺¹⁵]."

In North America, similar ideas have been brought up under the name *Industrial Internet* by General Electric [EA12]. The technical basis is very similar to Industry 4.0, but the application is broader than industrial production. These different names have caused confusion rather than increasing transparency [DH14]. We will accept the first definition for our research work as it is clear, unambiguous and more consistent than the latter. Hereafter Industrie 4.0 or Industrial Internet or Integrated Industry will be referred interchangeably with Industry 4.0.

2.1.3. Enablers of Industry 4.0




Figure 2.1.: Four Enablers of Industry 4.0 (Adapted from [SRHD15])

Industry 4.0 is not initiated on a shop-floor level and therefore companies have to take measures in their own hands to introduce its enablers into their companies to profit from the

2.1. Industry 4.0

current change in society and technology [KLW11]. These measures can be categorized by the aid of 2 dimensions. The first dimension describes whether a precondition is physical or cyber, whereas the second dimension allocates the precondition to hard- or software components. Industry 4.0 can be seen as a collaborated production by the inter-working of human-human, machine-human, and machine and production system as shown in Figure 2.1 [SRHD15].

- *Single Source of Truth* dictates to embed all product life-cycle data along the value chain within a single database using cloud storage and accesses to make all changes to product and production visible and avoid ambiguity during production and simulations [SRHD15].
- *IT-Globalization* made computers achieve exponential growth in speed and cheap storage capacity. This will allow faster extensive simulations of different aspects of a company as well as the processing of huge amounts of data, which are already collected by companies, but cannot be used adequately [SRHD15].
- *Automation* leads to automated and decentralized processes which can be combined to collaboration networks and are able to adapt to dynamic requirements and therefore are self-optimizing [SRHD15].
- *Cooperation* aims at the connection of all technologies and activities, e.g., efficient sharing and exchange of engineering data within a network of engineers. Networks help to improve cooperation by communicating targets and empowering decision maker's in decentralized systems [SRHD15, KLW11].

2.1.4. Mechanisms to Increase Productivity

Schuh et al. [SRHD15] have discussed in their article how enablers of Industry 4.0 facilitate 4 mechanisms which increases the productivity significantly.

- *Revolutionary Product Life-cycles*: Integrated technologies and rapid prototyping facilitate companies to produce testable prototypes which supply viable information of the products potentials as customer feedback can be looped back into the system immediately. The costs of an iteration and the resulting changes are not as cost intensive as before and therefore lead to a new development process in terms of time and profit which can be seen in Figure 2.2 [SRHD15].




Figure 2.2.: Industry 4.0: Revolutionary Product Life-cycles [SRHD15]

- *Virtual Engineering of Complete Value Chains*: By the aid of Software tools companies can simulate their whole production network. This virtualization and simulation can reveal possible capacity problems as well as problems within the general workflow,

which enhances the decision capability as shown in Figure 2.3. To get a valuable decision capability based on simulations it is necessary to execute an adequate number of simulations [SRHD15].




Figure 2.3.: Industry 4.0: Virtual Engineering of Complete Value Chains [SRHD15]

- *Revolutionary Short Value Chains:* Companies have to offer more and more customized products in order to meet the customer requirements. This complicates the division of labor introduced by Taylorism as machines in general are only able to accomplish one specific task. In order to allow even more individualized products the integration of production steps and thus the integration of functions within production systems is inevitable. This leads to a reversion of Taylorism [SRHD15].




Figure 2.4.: Industry 4.0: Revolutionary Short Value Chains [SRHD15]

Within a production process for highly customized products there is an optimal number of contributors or process steps in one production cell which have to collaborate in order to achieve minimal costs for the produced product which can be seen in Figure 2.4 [SRHD15].

- *Better Performing than Engineered:* Companies must aim at the self-optimizing capabilities of production systems which are already theoretically possible. With the ongoing advancement of self-optimizing production systems machines should be able to reach a productivity level which exceeds the previously determined maximum due to cybernetic effects as shown in Figure 2.5. An example would be a productivity of 15,000 units whereas the estimated maximum before self-optimization was 10,000 units [SRHD15].




Figure 2.5.: Industry 4.0: Better Performing than Engineered [SRHD15]

2.1. Industry 4.0

2.1.5. Benefits of Industry 4.0 in Manufacturing

Industry 4.0 promises to have a range of benefits spanning machines, facilities, fleets and industrial networks, which in turn influence the broader economy. Industry 4.0 opens the door to a variety of benefits for the industrial economy. Some companies have been early adopters, realizing benefits and overcoming challenges related to capturing and manipulating data streams. While its benefits would reverberate throughout the economy, the initial impact of the Industrial Internet is likely to be felt especially strongly in the area of advanced manufacturing [EA12].

Lorenz et al. [LRS⁺¹⁵] analyzed how the industrial workforce will evolve with Industry 4.0 by looking at the effects that this will have on Germany's manufacturing landscape, which is among the world's most advanced.

- Manufacturers will be able to increase their competitiveness, which will enable them to expand their industrial workforce at the same time that productivity increases [LRS⁺¹⁵, RLG⁺¹⁵].
- Manufacturers will be able to bring previously off-shored jobs back home as production becomes more capital intensive and the labor cost advantages of traditional low-cost locations will shrink [LRS⁺¹⁵].
- Manufacturers will be allowed to create new jobs to meet the higher demand resulting from the growth of existing markets and the introduction of new products and services [RLG⁺¹⁵, EA12, LRS⁺¹⁵].
- Robot-assisted production will cause the largest net decrease in jobs in the relevant manufacturing industries, because the efficiencies it creates will allow manufacturers to significantly reduce the number of jobs on the shop floor [LRS⁺¹⁵].
- The use of automation to assist workers with manual tasks will be particularly valuable in responding to the needs of the aging workforce in many developed countries, e.g., a robot could lift a car's interior-finishing elements, such as a roof lining, into the chassis after manual alignment by a worker [LRS⁺¹⁵].
- Industry 4.0 will enable technology-assisted, predictive maintenance. By remotely reviewing a stream of real-time data on machine performance, the technician will be able to anticipatory identify defects and order spare parts before arriving at a site. Once on-site, the technician will be assisted in making repairs by augmented-reality technology and will be able to receive remote guidance from experts off-site. The work can also be automatically documented [LRS⁺¹⁵].
- Industry 4.0 will help to implement cost-efficient manufacturing by reducing *capital costs* through optimization of value chains, *Energy costs* by efficient usage and smart control of their plant facilities, and *personnel costs* with highly automated production processes [Hen14].
- The machine operators will require less machine- and product-specific training but will need enhanced capabilities for utilizing digital devices and accessing a digital knowledge repository. Standard operating procedures for any given task will be displayed on

screens or glasses such that an operator can carry out the same types of responsibilities at several machines [LRS⁺¹⁵].

Industry 4.0 offerings need to be tailored specifically to the company and cannot be supplied “off the shelf”. So the idea of Industry 4.0 can basically be conceived in very diverse contexts. Various projects developed by Deutsches Forschungszentrum für Künstliche Intelligenz (DFKI), Fraunhofer, and companies such as Agco, Bosch Rexroth, Daimler, Festo, Siemens, Hewlett-Packard (HP), SAP, etc. have already shown the varied benefits associated with Industry 4.0 [Hen14].

Industry 4.0 creates tremendous opportunities for manufacturing industries and national economies. Although job losses will be high for some categories of work, such as assembly and production planning, job gains will be significant in other categories, particularly IT and analytics. The extent to which Industry 4.0 ultimately promotes higher employment will depend on how successfully companies use these technological advancements to develop new products, services, and business models. Enabling companies to retrain their workforce, education systems to close the IT skills gap, and governments to strengthen their support will be critical to realizing the promise of Industry 4.0 [LRS⁺¹⁵, EA12].

2.2. Internet of Things

The future is not going to be people talking to people; it's not going to be people accessing information. It's going to be about using machines to talk to other machines on behalf of people. We are entering a new era of ubiquity, we are entering the Internet of Things (IoT) era in which new forms of communication between human and things, and between things themselves will be realized [TW10]. The Internet revolution led to the interconnection between people at an unprecedented scale and pace. Industry 4.0 is going to be the leverage for the interconnection between objects to create a smart environment. Only in 2011 the number of interconnected devices on the planet overtook the actual number of people. Currently there are 9 billion interconnected devices and it is expected to reach 24 billion devices by 2020 [GBMP13].

The term Internet of Things was first coined by Kevin Ashton in 1999 in the context of supply chain management [Ash09]. However, in recent years, the definition has been more inclusive covering wide range of applications like health-care, utilities, transport, etc. Computers need to be empowered with their own means of gathering information, so they can sense the world themselves. The recent advances in sensor technology enables computers to observe, identify and understand the world - without the limitations of human-entered data [Ash09].

Integrated Sensor–Actuator–Internet framework will form the core technology around which a smart environment will be shaped: information generated will be shared across diverse platforms and applications. As we move from World-Wide Web (WWW - Static Web-pages) to Web 2.0 (Social-networking Web) to Web 3.0 (Ubiquitous-computing Web), there is a need to deploy large-scale, platform-independent, wireless sensor network infrastructure that includes data management and processing, actuation and analytics. Cloud Computing promises high reliability, scalability and autonomy to provide ubiquitous access, dynamic

2.2. Internet of Things

resource discovery required for the next generation IoT applications. Consumers will be able to choose the service level by changing the Quality of Services (QoS) parameters [GBMP13].




Figure 2.6.: IoT Dimensions [TW10]

2.2.1. Definition of Internet of Things and Its Trends

IoT is a very broad vision and the research into the IoT is still in its infancy. Therefore, there are not any standard definitions for IoT. The following definitions were provided by different researchers.

Xia et al. [XYWV12] puts forward a general IoT definition in their editorial: "IoT refers to the networked interconnection of everyday objects, which are often equipped with ubiquitous intelligence. IoT will increase the ubiquity of the Internet by integrating every object for interaction via embedded systems, which leads to a highly distributed network of devices communicating with human beings as well as other devices."

Gubbi et al. [GBMP13] explain IoT from the point of view of the Cloud applications: "IoT means interconnection of sensing and actuating devices providing the ability to share information across platforms through a unified framework, developing a Common Operating Picture (COP) for enabling innovative applications, that is achieved by seamless ubiquitous sensing, data analytics and information representation with Cloud computing as the unifying framework."

Tan et al. [TW10] defines IoT focusing mainly upon its functionality and identifies IoT as a new dimension that has been added to the world of ICT: from any *Time*, any *Place* connectivity for anyone to now connectivity for any *Thing* as shown in Figure 2.6: "IoTs have identities and virtual personalities operating in smart spaces using intelligent interfaces to connect and communicate within social, environment, and user contexts."

Similarly the Cluster of European Research Projects [SGFW10] explains Internet of Things in a more abstract manner: "In the *IoT*, *Things* are active participants in business, information and social processes where they are enabled to interact and communicate among themselves and with the environment by exchanging data and information sensed about the environment, while reacting autonomously to the real/physical world events and influencing it by running processes that trigger actions and create services with or without direct human intervention."

We accept the definition provided by Xia et al. [XYWV12] for our research work, because we believe, this definition encapsulates the broader vision of IoT.




Figure 2.7.: IoT in Gartner Hype-Cycle (Adapted from [Bro11, RvdM15])

A *Hype Cycle* is a way to represent the emergence, adoption, maturity, and impact on applications of specific technologies [RvdM15]. IoT has been identified as one of the emerging technologies in IT as noted in Gartner's IT Hype Cycle - 2015 already shown in Figure 2.7. As per its estimation IoT will take 5–10 years for market adoption. IoT is opening tremendous opportunities for a large number of novel applications that promise to improve the quality of our lives. In recent years, IoT has gained much attention from researchers and practitioners from around the world [RvdM15, XYWV12].

2.2.2. Elements of Internet of Things

IoT is a technological revolution that represents the future of ICT. There are three IoT components which enables seamless ubiquitous computing: (a) *Hardware* made up of sensors, actuators and embedded communication hardware (b) *Middleware* like on demand storage and computing tools for data analytics and (c) *Presentation* for easy to understand visualization and interpretation tools which can be widely accessed on different platforms. Here we discuss a few enabling technologies which will make up components stated above. Things can be

2.2. Internet of Things

connected wired or wireless [GBMP13, TW10]. Base on the existed infrastructure, there are many ways to connect a thing: Radio Frequency Identification (RFID), Wireless Sensor Network (WSN), Digital Subscriber Line (DSL), General Packet Radio Service (GPRS), WiFi, 3G Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS), 4G Long-Term Evolution (LTE), etc.

2.2.2.1. Radio Frequency Identification

Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) is a non-contact technology that identifies objects attached with tags that help in the automatic identification of anything they are attached to. Sometimes RFID has been labeled as a replacement of bar code, but RFID system can do much more than that [MWZ⁺07, TW10]. The passive RFID tags that don't have own power source have resulted in many applications particularly in retail, supply chain management and access control applications as well. The passive tags are currently being used in many bank cards and road toll tags which are among the first global deployments. Active RFID readers have their own power source and can instantiate the communication. Major application of active RFID tags is in port containers for monitoring cargo [GBMP13, MWZ⁺07].

2.2.2.2. Wireless Sensor Network

Recent advances in Micro-Electro-Mechanical Systems (MEMS) technology, wireless communications, and digital electronics have enabled the development of low-cost, low-power, multi-functional sensor nodes which consist of sensing, data processing, and communicating components, leverage the idea of Wireless Sensor Network (WSN). Some of the application areas of WSN are health, military, and security, e.g., a node in a WSN might measure temperature values in a room while another node controls the air conditioning according to the sensed values and desired overall room temperature. WSN is a part of an enterprise context now, such as monitoring and optimizing energy consumption of buildings or enabling predictive maintenance of assets [SSOK13, ASSC02, GBMP13].

2.2.2.3. Addressing Schemes

The ability to uniquely identify *Things* is critical as it will allow us to uniquely identify and control billions of devices remotely through the Internet. The few most critical features of creating a unique address are: uniqueness, reliability, persistence and scalability. Each and every sensor nodes can be associated with an Uniform Resource Identifier (URI), where the Uniform Resource Name (URN) can create replicas of the resources that can be accessed through the Uniform Resource Locator (URL). Internet Protocol version 6 (IPv6) also gives a very good option to access the resources uniquely and remotely. Development of a lightweight IPv6 will make addressing home appliances uniquely feasible [GBMP13].

2.2.2.4. Storage, Analytics and Visualization

The data gathered from IoT devices have to be stored and used intelligently for smart monitoring and actuation. State-of-the-art non-linear, temporal machine learning methods based on evolutionary algorithms, genetic algorithms, neural networks, and other artificial intelligence techniques are necessary to achieve automated decision making. Cloud based storage solutions are becoming increasingly popular and in the years ahead, Cloud based analytics and visualization platforms are foreseen, since a centralized infrastructure to support storage and analytics is the most important need of the hour [GBMP13].

Visualization is critical for an IoT application as this allows the interaction of the user with the environment. It enables policy makers to convert data into knowledge, which is critical in fast decision making. Extraction of meaningful information from raw data is non-trivial. This encompasses both event detection and visualization of the associated raw and modeled data, with information represented according to the needs of the end-user [GBMP13].

2.2.3. Internet of Things Architecture

IoT is not a theory, it's an application technology which our life can benefit from. Current Internet has a five-layered TCP/IP architecture, which has worked well for a long time. However, in the IoT billions of objects are connected which will create much larger traffic and need much more data storages [TW10]. The vision of IoT can be seen from two perspectives - 'Internet' centric and 'Thing' centric. The Internet centric architecture will involve internet services being the main focus while data is contributed by the objects. In the object centric architecture, the smart objects take the center stage [GBMP13]. Tan et al. [TW10] proposed an Internet-centric approach in their work.

A simpler conceptual framework inspired from architecture proposed by Tao et al. [TW10] integrating the ubiquitous sensing devices and the applications is proposed by us is shown in Figure 2.8⁴⁵⁶. In order to realize the full potential of cloud computing as well as ubiquitous sensing, a combined framework with a cloud at the center seems to be most viable [GBMP13].

The *Backbone Network Layer* may be today's Internet, may be not or may be its expansion. The *Coordination Layer* responses to process the structure of packages from different application systems and reassemble them to an unified structure which can be identified and processed by every application system to make it inter-operable among the already existing systems and the newly deployed systems [TW10]. As per our evaluation of the model, the three layers in the middle (Middleware, Coordination and Backbone Network) of Tao et al. [TW10] can be integrated and realized as a single layer of Cloud Computing as proposed by Gubbi et al. [GBMP13].

According to Perera et al. [PZCG14] IoT should be facilitated by a hybrid architecture which comprises primarily two different architectural approaches, namely event driven and time

⁴IaaS = Infrastructure as a Service

⁵PaaS = Platform as a Service

⁶SaaS = Software as a Service

2.2. Internet of Things




Figure 2.8.: Conceptual IoT Architectural Framework (Adapted from [TW10, GBMP13])

driven. Some sensors produce data when an event occurs (e.g., door sensor); the rest produce data continuously, based on specified time frames (e.g., temperature sensor) [PZCG14].

Sensing service providers can join the network and offer their data using a storage cloud; analytic tool developers can provide their software tools; artificial intelligence experts can provide their data mining and machine learning tools useful in converting information to knowledge and finally computer graphics designers can offer a variety of visualization tools. Cloud computing can offer these services as Infrastructures, Platforms or Software where the full potential of human creativity can be tapped using them as services [GBMP13].

2.2.4. Cyber-Physical Systems

Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) are integrated automated systems that enable connection of the operations of the physical reality with computing and communication infrastructures. CPS goes with the trend of having information and services everywhere at hand, and it is inevitable in the highly networked world of today. Fields of applications for CPS include medical equipment, driving safety and driver assistance systems for automobiles, industrial process control and automation systems, assistance systems for controlling the power supply in terms of optimized use of renewable energies [Jaz14, HPO15].

A CPS consists of a control unit, usually one or more μ Controller(s), which control(s) the sensors and actuators that are necessary to interact with the real world, and processes the data obtained as shown in Figure 2.9. CPS also requires a communication interface to exchange

data with other CPS or a cloud. In other words, a CPS is an embedded system that is able to send and receive data over a network. The CPS connected to the Internet is often loosely referred to as the IoT [Jaz14].




Figure 2.9.: Conceptual Architecture of a CPS (Adapted from [Jaz14])

The development of CPS is characterized by three phases. The first generation of CPS includes identification technologies like RFID tags, where storage and analytics have to be provided as a centralized service. The second generation of CPS are equipped with sensors and actuators with a limited range of functions. CPS of the third generation can store and analyze data, are equipped with multiple sensors and actuators, and are network compatible [HPO15]. To sum it up, a CPS requires three levels as pointed out by Drath et al. [DH14]:

- the physical objects,
- data models of the mentioned physical objects in a network infrastructure, and
- services based on the available data.

Due to the rise of CPS components, products, and other entities in industrial production would get their own identities in the network such that they could be interconnected and simulated. Systems could be virtually integrated, tested, and optimized. The digital factory and the virtual commissioning would be accessible to everybody. Products could navigate autonomously through the production line. This will establish CPS as one of the prime enablers of Industry 4.0 - the forthcoming industrial revolution [DH14, RLG⁺15].

2.2.5. Smart Factory

In manufacturing, there is great potential for CPS to improve the production process and the supply chain. The IoT has set in motion Industry 4.0 will disperse control. Consider processes that govern themselves, where smart products can take corrective action to avoid damages and where individual parts are automatically replenished. Such technologies already exist

2.2. Internet of Things

and could drive Industry 4.0 further. As suggested by Siegfried Dais in a conversation with Löffler et al. [LT13], two competencies must come together to drive development further: (i) using what's truly new about new technologies and (ii) finding human resource who can design robust algorithms to make the system user-friendly and robust. The trend of separating design and production will continue to spread across other industries and sectors. Likewise, supply-chain integration will play a decisive role in new operating models [LT13].

Lean Production principles [SW07] are widely accepted in industry which refers to the strict integration of humans in the production process, a continuous improvement and focus on value adding activities by avoiding of waste. If a plant implements lean manufacturing, it keeps its stocks to a minimum - neither one part too many nor too few. With the IoT, this system must extend beyond the limits of individual factories to interconnect multiple factories and even regions. Instruments to reach this increased automation are CPS. CPS can work autonomously and interact with their production environment. As a result, a factory becomes "*Smart Factory*" [KZ15, LT13].

The department of IFS at the German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence (DFKI) identified four enablers as shown in Figure 2.10 for the *Smart Factory* [KZ15]:

- *Smart Operator*: People can supervise and control ongoing activities in ease, e.g., equipped with smart watches, employees receive error messages and error locations close to real time. CPS equipped with proper sensors can recognize failures and automatically trigger fault-repair actions on other CPS. [KZ15].
- *Smart Product*: It could collect process data for the analysis during and after its production. In contrast to manual data acquisition for value stream mapping it is possible to gather information individualized per product and production line automatically. This way of data acquisition is less labor-intensive and data are more precise [KZ15].
- *Smart Machine*: Especially the potential of CPS in production is not fully explored yet. Machines help employees to avoid mistakes. With their computing capacity and connectible sensors, CPS could be integrated fast and flexible in fault-prone processes for supporting [KZ15].
- *Smart Planner*: It could optimize processes in real-time. CPS could supports optimization of production processes by different business objectives, like throughput time or efficacy. Applied to Lean Production, this approach could enable Lean Production to be implemented not only in mass and batch production, but also in job shop production [KZ15].

In the context of Industry 4.0 new solutions are available for combining automation technology with Lean Production. As described above, the combination of automation technology and Lean Production can be beneficial. Contrary to popular belief, Lean Production does not exclude automation [KZ15]. It is essential to translate the physical world into a format that can be handled by IT which requires mathematical, domain, market, and domain know-how.

As suggested by Heinz Derenbach in a conversation with Löffler et al. [LT13], separating the physical world from business processes will be a foolish idea. It means a physical device or '*Things*' becomes an active part of a business process: delivering data, sending events, and processing rules. This notion is driving manufacturing sector and Industry 4.0. The next

big step will be to think through the interdependencies among the machine, the production components, the manufacturing environment, and the IT that connects it all. This requires a high degree of standardization so that the machine knows what it needs to do to any given component, and the components can confirm that the machine has done it. Such IT linkage goes far beyond current manufacturing systems [LT13].

Due to the exponentially increasing amount of data and knowledge relevant for manufacturing planning and optimization, it is impossible for one or a team of production engineers to have all these information in mind. Therefore we search for a possibility to support manufacturing planning and optimization activities by enhancing digital tools with IoT enabled CPS. This is of a very interdisciplinary character. On the one hand there is the need for a detailed understanding of the production process being planned, based on knowledge and experiences of experts in the field of manufacturing engineering that usually have limited interest in ICT. On the other hand, the handling of this large amount and high complexity of information is a challenging task for IT experts who in turn do not have complete knowledge of the production processes [LC12].




Figure 2.10.: Enablers of Smart Factory (Adapted from [KZ15])

Still IoT technology has a wide range of applications in many fields, including aerospace, automotive, communication, medical and, manufacturing industry, and so on. For example, in the field of aerospace industry, the application of IoT can effectively improve the product's safety and reliability by identifying the fake and shoddy parts or products. In the automotive industry, IoT is widely used in the production line, quality monitor and control, assemble line, logistics and product (or part) tracking, and the real-time link of customer service. Among the procedure, intelligent labels are posted on the components in every part of the link to make it easy to track or invoke, together with the associated attribute information, such as the manufacturer's name, serial number, product type, product code, the place and time of the production, as well as the exact location of the product [TZDXZ14, PZCG14].

2.3. Business Process Model and Notation

Business Process Model and Notation (BPMN) is a standard visual notation for capturing business processes in a business process model. Business Process Management Initiative (BPMI.org) developed BPMN, which has been maintained by the Object Management Group (OMG) since the two organizations merged their respective Business Process Management (BPM) activities in June 2005. Version 2.0 of BPMN was released in January 2011 and the name was adapted to *Business Process Model and Notation* from *Business Process Modeling Notation* as execution semantics were also introduced alongside the notational and diagramming elements. Hereafter BPMN 2.0 will be used interchangeably with BPMN [OMG11].

2.3.1. Motivation for Choosing BPMN

Kiper et al. [KAA97] observes that it's easier for non-programmers, e.g., business experts to model their business processes in a graphical (pictorial) way. Though Unified Modeling Language (UML) [OMG15] is an already established standard by OMG and its behavioral diagrams such as activity diagrams are suitable for visual depiction, UML is not aimed for modeling business processes but software systems. Even though business experts can reflect their business processes using UML, they would prefer an executable model which is not provided by UML. For such situations BPMN is suitable, because BPMN has its operational semantics [Sun13, OMG11, DDO08].

Because the business processes reflected in our research work will be executable business processes on workflow engines, we opt for BPMN to model such processes. BPMN has the characteristics that satisfy our requirements, i.e.,

- BPMN has a well-known visual representation and is commonly used as it inherits and combines elements from previously proposed notations for business process modeling such as XML Process Definition Language (XPDL) [WFM12] and the activity diagrams component of UML [DDO08].
- BPMN is executable [OMG11].
- There are many open-source workflow engines which support BPMN available, e.g., Activiti⁷, Stardust⁸, Camunda BPM⁹, etc.
- BPMN is highly intuitive especially at the level of domain analysis and high-level systems design from business expert's point of view. Therefore it is used by developers and business experts, and bridges the gap among them [DDO08].
- BPMN can be extended in case it is needed [OMG11].

⁷<http://www.activiti.org/>

⁸<https://www.eclipse.org/stardust/>

⁹<https://camunda.org/>

- Direct mapping from BPMN to Business Process Execution Language (BPEL) [OAS07] is possible to some extent for which execution engines and formalizations exist. BPEL is an Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards (OASIS) standard executable language for specifying actions within business processes with web services [WvdAD⁺06, OAS07].

However, standard BPMN might be an over generalization and an extension might be required to address domain specific properties of CES construct which is going to be discussed in Chapter 2.4.

2.3.2. Properties of BPMN

BPMN is the de-facto standard for representing in a very expressive graphical way and most business experts model their business processes mostly using BPMN [CT12]. The current BPMN models are treated to be more readable, flexible and expandable than before [Sun13]. In this section, we will give some properties of general BPM concepts and BPMN.

2.3.2.1. Dimensions of Workflows (BP1)

Workflow is an orchestrated and repeatable business activity of a business process model. The BPMN users can execute their workflows as the new version 2.0 of OMG standard BPMN has its operational semantics and can be executed on its own workflow engine [Ley10]. The life-cycle of a business process can be observed in Figure 2.11. In general, we need three dimensions to define workflow items of any workflows [LR00]:

- "What": What is the work item?, e.g., 'Check Loan Application'
- "With": With what should this work item be accomplished?, e.g., 'a Web Service'
- "Who": Who will work upon this work item?, e.g., 'Bank Manager'




Figure 2.11.: BPM Life-cycle (Adapted from [DLRMR13, LR00])

2.3. Business Process Model and Notation

2.3.2.2. Hierarchies of BPMN Modeling (BP2)

Conceptually BPMN has 3 levels of modeling, i.e., *Descriptive Modeling (L1)*, *Analytical Modeling (L2)* and *Executable Modeling (L3)*. L1 documents the workflows within a process model using basic BPMN shapes by describing the order of activities and the role or organization performing them. L2 documents the process in an unambiguous manner following BPMN semantics and validation rules. In L1, there can be errors in the model whereas in the L2 there is expected to be no errors. L3 targets the developers by adding language based execution details in the meta-model underlying the scheme. The generated BPMN file is executed on a business process engine and process engine orchestrates defined set of activities [Sil11, OMG11].

2.3.2.3. Flexibility of BPMN Models (BP3)

Business process models can be representations of internal business processes and they are executed by automated execution engines. These models are refined and optimized after each execution of the modeled business process. BPMN provides a way to alter the business model, that will ease modifications later [Wes12, Sun13].

2.3.2.4. Extensibility Mechanism of BPMN (BP4)

For certain domain specific applications, modeling elements of BPMN might not be sufficient. In these circumstances domain experts tend to extend BPMN meta-model to achieve a better reflection of their own application domains. Generally, it can be achieved in two ways, i.e.,

- BPMN extensions are done by adding new elements and attributes to existing BPMN elements such that they do not contradict with already existing elements and attributes. This approach guarantees interchangeability of existing BPMN constructs. It's often done by defining desired properties in an external schema and referencing this schema from the internal schema [OMG11, AtAC15].
- There are some BPMN open-source vendors, e.g., Activiti, Stardust, etc. who created their workflow engines. Such BPMN vendors provide certain extensions points that they think these extensions will be needed in most of the business cases [AtAC15, Com15].

2.3.2.5. Cognitive Potency of BPMN (BP5)

The cognitive efficacy of BPMN model is also important because it is used by different group of users with different backgrounds. With high cognitive effectiveness, a better common understanding can be achieved. Genon et al. [GHA11] analyzed BPMN using principles of the 'Physics of Notation' theory of Moody [Moo09] and how BPMN provides cognitive effectiveness is explained including its missing points. An example of 'Physics of Notation' is 'Semiotic Clarity', i.e., a one-to-one relation between semantic description and the visual constructs [Moo09, Sun13].

2.4. Context-sensitive Workflows

Business processes that have been carried out manually and through a diversity of non-integrated systems can be integrated by employing workflow management systems (WFMS). Industrial production processes are hugely dependent upon the physical world data, which is often obtained with a huge amount of sensors. Therefore the development of applications that can adapt to their environmental changes automatically by their own is cumbersome. To facilitate production processes in the manufacturing industry to be more dynamic, intelligent applications could be designed which can adapt to changing situations in runtime [WKNL07].

2.4.1. Definition of Context and Context-sensitivity

Workflow technology should be able to handle information about the physical world, referred as "*Context*". We will define few terms here which will be used throughout research work. The term context has been defined by many researchers. The most general definitions that are provided by Abowd et al. [ADB⁺99] can be relevant for our further research work - "*Context* is any information that can be used to characterize the situation of an entity. An entity is a person, place, or object that is considered relevant to the interaction between a user and an application, including the user and applications themselves."

A workflow that considers context is called "*Context-sensitive*". Many researchers refer such workflows as "*Context-aware*" workflows. Hereafter Context-aware will be referred interchangeably with Context-sensitive. Abowd et al. [ADB⁺99] refers a system as *Context-sensitive* if it uses context to provide relevant information and/or services to the user, where relevancy depends on the user's task.

The context model that is used by a given context-sensitive application is usually explicitly specified by the application developer which can evolve over time. Henricksen [Hen03] has defined "*Context Attribute*" as an element of the context model that describes the context. A context attribute has an identifier, a type and a value, and optionally a collection of properties that can be treated as the building block of a meaningful context, e.g., <sensor-name, sensed-value> key-value pair can be a context attribute. [PZCG14].

The basis for context-sensitive workflows is context information such as the position of workers, tools, machines and also the state of all objects. Context data is sensed via sensor modules mounted to the tools [WKNL07].

Different researchers have identified context types differently based of different perspectives. For our research work, we have followed the mechanisms of context categorization introduced by Abowd et al. [ADB⁺99].

- *Operational Perspective* allows us to understand the issues and challenges in gathering context from IoT devices, as well as quality and cost factors related to context. Furthermore this identifies any information retrieved without using existing context and without performing any kind of sensor data fusion operations as '*Primary Context*', e.g., Raw Global Positioning System (GPS) Locations. Any information that can be

2.4. Context-sensitive Workflows

computed using primary context is called '*Secondary Context*', e.g., distance between two machines using their GPS coordinates [PZCG14, ADB⁺⁹⁹].

- *Conceptual Perspective* allows an understanding of the conceptual relationships between context. It confirms the location, identity, time, and activity as its main attributes that makes it comprehensive and flexible. It also allows to model mental reasoning behind context [PZCG14, ADB⁺⁹⁹].

The IoT paradigm needs to establish comprehensive categorization schemes in a hierarchical manner, such as major categories, sub categories and so on. We have to integrate perspectives in order to model context precisely for our research work [PZCG14].

2.4.2. Context Management Life-cycle

A data life-cycle shows how data moves from phase to phase in a software system. Moreover, it explains where and how the data is generated and where and how the data is consumed. In this section we consider movement of such data (context) in 'Context-aware' systems. In simplest terms, the context life-cycle consists of four phases as shown in Figure 2.12 [PZCG14].

- **Context Acquisition:** Context needs to be acquired from various sources that can be varied based on responsibility, frequency, context source, sensor type, and acquisition process. These five factors need to be considered when developing context-aware middleware solutions in the IoT paradigm [PZCG14].
 - *Based on Responsibility:* Context acquisition can be primarily accomplished using two methods: either the sensors can *push* data to the software component which is responsible for acquiring sensor data or the software component can *pull* the sensor data by making a request to the sensor over some medium [PZCG14].
 - *Based on Frequency:* Context can be gathered based on two different event types namely, *instant events* which don't span across certain amount of time, e.g., switching a light, and *interval events* that span a certain period of time, e.g., sensing a wire after every 20 seconds [PZCG14].
 - *Based on Source:* Context can be acquired *directly from sensor* by communicating with the sensor hardware and related device drivers. IoT applications can acquire sensor data by *middleware solutions* such as Nexus [LCW09] where heterogeneous sensors are deployed. Context can also be acquired from several other *context storages*, e.g., databases via different mechanisms such as web-service calls [PZCG14].
 - *Based on Sensor Types:* There are different types of sensors that can be employed to acquire context. *Physical sensors* generate sensor data by themselves. *Virtual sensors* retrieve data from many sources and publish it as sensor data, e.g., Twitter tweets. *Logical (Software) sensors* combine both aforementioned sensors in order to produce more meaningful information, e.g., a web service dedicated to providing weather information [PZCG14].

- *Based on Acquisition Process:* There can be three ways to acquire context: *sense*, *derive* data by computing already sensed data, and *manually provided* data by predefined configurations [PZCG14].




Figure 2.12.: Context Life-cycle (Adapted from [PZCG14])

- **Context Modelling:** The acquired data needs to be modeled and represented according to a meaningful manner. New context data needs to be defined in terms of attributes, characteristics, relationships with previously specified context, QoC attributes and the queries for synchronous context requests. After the resulting data gets validated, the context data needs to be pushed to the existing context information repository. Finally, the new context information is made available to be used when required. Perera et al. [PZCG14] have mentioned and compared many methods to model context data such as *Key-Value Scheme*, *Markup Scheme* (e.g., *Extended Markup Language (XML)*), *Graphical* (e.g., databases), *Object-based*, *Logic-based*, *Ontology-based* etc.
- **Context Reasoning:** It is a method of deducing new knowledge out of the modeled data based on the available context. Imperfection and uncertainty are the main reasons we need this phase before distribution of context data. Large number of different context reasoning decision models exist such as *Decision Tree*, *naive Bayes*, *hidden Markov Models*, *k-Nearest Neighbor*, *Neural Networks*, *Ontology-based*, *Fuzzy logic* and many more [PZCG14].
- **Context Distribution:** Finally, the context data needs to be delivered to the context consuming agents. Context can be distributed either by a *query* (request) from consumer or consumers can *subscribe* for a specific sensor or to an event, that will make the process real-time [PZCG14].

2.5. Summary

2.4.3. Definitions of Context- Query, Event and Decisions

Now we have reached the stage where we can define three more definitions proposed by Wieland et al. [WKNL07] which will be used across our literature of research in context-sensitive applications.

- *Context Query* is a synchronous query designed in a specific query language, that supports object selection based on spatial predicates and filtering of the results, to access context data from context repository [WKNL07].
- *Context Event* is an asynchronous event triggered by context changes. The listening for this special environment state is done in parallel to the normal workflow [WKNL07].
- *Context Decisions* are used to route process control flow based on context data using context-aware operators or context-conditions [WKNL07].

2.5. Summary

- **Industry 4.0** is a the next industrial revolution that embraces a number of contemporary automation, data exchange and manufacturing technologies and concepts of value chain organization which draws together Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS), the Internet of Things (IoT), Smart Factories and the Internet of Services (IoS) together. [HPO15, KLW11].
- **Internet of Things (IoT)** refers to the networked interconnection of everyday objects, which are often equipped with ubiquitous intelligence. IoT will increase the ubiquity of the Internet by integrating every object for interaction via embedded systems, which leads to a highly distributed network of devices communicating with human beings as well as other devices [XYWV12].
- **Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS)** are integrated automated systems that enable connection of the operations of the physical reality with computing and communication infrastructures [Jaz14, HPO15].
- **Lean Production** is the strict integration of humans in the manufacturing process with a continuous improvement and focus on value adding activities by removing of waste [SW07].
- **Smart Factory** is a context-sensitive system by assisting people and machines in execution of their tasks that uses CPS and principles of Lean Production [HPO15].
- **Business Process Model and Notation (BPMN)** is a de-facto visual notation for capturing business processes in a business process model [CT12, OMG11].
- **Context** is any information that can be used to characterize the situation of an entity. An entity is a person, place, or object that is considered relevant to the interaction between a user and an application, including the user and applications themselves [ADB⁺⁹⁹].
- **Context-sensitive Process** is any process that uses context to provide relevant information and/or services to the user, where relevancy depends on the user's task [ADB⁺⁹⁹].

3. Context-sensitive Execution Step

3.1. Proposed System

The approach introduced here presents the capability of creating new information with help of an intelligent manufacturing knowledge modeling.

3.2. Operational Semantics

3.3. Realization Architecture

Middleware is a software layer that stands between the networked operating system and the application and provides well known reusable solutions to frequently encountered problems like heterogeneity, interoperability, security and dependability [ICG07].

3.3. Realization Architecture

Algorithm 3.1 Pseudocode for Operational Semantics of a Context-sensitive Execution Step

Precondition:

Process Repository $PR = (\{N_i\})_{i \in [1,n]} = \{(d, \sigma, \delta, \alpha, \omega)\}$ where N is a set of process alternatives containing runtime descriptor as d , σ is the associated set of context condition(s), δ is the correlated set of intention(s), α is the available optimization strategy (if any), and ω is the weight,

Set $IN = \{\text{all defined intention(s) of the CES entity}\}$ such that $IN \neq \emptyset$,

Set $RC = \{\text{all required context(s) for activation of the CES entity}\}$ such that $RC \neq \emptyset$,

Set $P_g = \{\text{process alternatives satisfying specified intention(s)}\}$,

Set $P_c = \{\text{process alternatives satisfying specified context condition(s)}\}$ and

Context Store $S = (\{C_i\})_{i \in [1,n]} = \{(n, v)\}$ where n is name of the context and v is value.

Postcondition:

Output Data $O = (\lambda, \mu)$ where λ is the final output (if any) to be returned to the callee of the process model after the successful execution of underlying process model, and

$$\mu = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if CES entity executes successfully} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (\text{Eq 1.1})$$

```

1: procedure EXECUTECES( $IN, RC, PR$ ) ▷  $IN, RC$  and  $PR$  are the input data
2:    $O(\lambda) \leftarrow \emptyset, P_g \leftarrow \emptyset, P_c \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
3:    $O(\mu) \leftarrow 0, maxWeight \leftarrow 0, initCon \leftarrow true$ 
4:   for all  $context \in RC$  do ▷ Get value of each required context
5:      $value \leftarrow \text{GETCONTEXT}(context)$  ▷ This will query and fetch context data
6:     if  $value \neq -1$  then
7:        $C_i.n \leftarrow context.name$ 
8:        $C_i.v \leftarrow value$ 
9:     else
10:       $initCon \leftarrow false$ 
11:    for all  $cond \in N_i.\sigma \wedge initCon = true$  do ▷ Context matching
12:       $conVal \leftarrow \text{EVALUATECONDITION}(cond)$  ▷ This will check context conditions
13:      if  $conVal = 1$  then
14:         $P_c \leftarrow P_c \cup N_i.d$ 
15:    for all  $goal \in IN \wedge \exists N_i \mid goal \in N_i.\delta$  do ▷ Goal/Intention matching
16:       $P_g \leftarrow P_g \cup N_i.d$ 
17:     $P_g \leftarrow P_g \cap P_c$  ▷ Discarding exclusive process alternatives
18:    for all  $id \in P_g \wedge \exists N_i \mid id = N_i.d$  do ▷ Optimization
19:      if  $N_i.\alpha \neq \emptyset$  then
20:        OPTIMIZE( $N_i$ )
21:    for all  $id \in P_g \wedge \exists N_i \mid id = N_i.d$  do ▷ Process selection by weight criterion
22:      if  $N_i.\omega > maxWeight$  then
23:         $maxWeight \leftarrow N_i.\omega$ 
24:         $proDesc \leftarrow N_i$ 
25:     $O(\lambda) \leftarrow \text{DEPLOY}(proDesc)$  ▷ Deploy and Execute a process podel
26:     $O(\mu) \leftarrow 1$  ▷ Successful execution

```

4. Motivating Scenario

5. Requirements Analysis

6. Related Works

Name	Enrich Topology
Goal	The developer wants to enrich the application topology as per the requirements.
Actor	Application Developer
Pre-Condition	The application developer has access to the winery system and has the application requirements ready.
Post-Condition	Here goes the post-condition in normal case
Post-Condition in Special Case	Here goes the post-condition in special case
Normal Case	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Step 1 normal case2. Step 2 normal case3. ...
Special Cases	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1a. Step 1a special case<ol style="list-style-type: none">a) ...2a. Step 2a special case<ol style="list-style-type: none">a) ...2b. Step 2b special case<ol style="list-style-type: none">a) ...

Table 6.1.: Demo Table

7. Architecture and Implementation

Listing 7.1: Java Demo Example

```
/* HelloWorld.java*/
public class HelloWorld
{
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        System.out.println("Hello World!");
    }
}
```

Listing 7.2: XML Demo Example

```
<complexType name="tContent">
    <sequence>
        <element name="OrderID" type="string" maxOccurs="1" minOccurs="0"></element>
        <element name="SenseValue" type="string" maxOccurs="1" minOccurs="0"></element>
        <element name="DeliveryDate" type="dateTime" maxOccurs="1"
            minOccurs="0"></element>
        <element name="Location" type="cmp:tLocationType" maxOccurs="1"
            minOccurs="0"></element>
        <element name="Timestamp" type="string" maxOccurs="1" minOccurs="0"></element>
        <element name="Expression" type="string" maxOccurs="1" minOccurs="0"></element>
        <element name="Resource" type="anyURI" maxOccurs="1" minOccurs="0"></element>
    </sequence>
</complexType>
```

8. Evaluation

9. Summary and Outlook

Appendix A.

List of Acronyms

The following list contains all the acronyms which are used in this document.

- BPEL** Business Process Execution Language
- BPM** Business Process Management
- BPMI** Business Process Management Initiative
- BPMN** Business Process Model and Notation
- CES** Context-sensitive Execution Steps
- COP** Common Operating Picture
- CES** Context-sensitive Execution Step
- CPS** Cyber-Physical Systems
- DFKI** Deutsches Forschungszentrum für Künstliche Intelligenz
- DSL** Digital Subscriber Line
- EU** European Union
- GPS** Global Positioning System
- GPRS** General Packet Radio Service
- HP** Hewlett-Packard
- IaaS** Infrastructure as a Service
- ICT** Information and Communication Technology
- IFS** Innovative Factory Systems
- IoS** Internet of Services
- IoT** Internet of Things
- IP** Internet Protocol
- IPv6** Internet Protocol version 6
- IT** Information Technology
- LTE** Long-Term Evolution
- MEMS** Micro-Electro-Mechanical Systems

NIST National Institute of Standards and Technology

OASIS Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards

OMG Object Management Group

PaaS Platform as a Service

QoC Quality of Context

QoS Quality of Services

RFID Radio Frequency Identification

SaaS Software as a Service

TCP Transmission Control Protocol

UML Unified Modeling Language

UMTS Universal Mobile Telecommunications System

URI Uniform Resource Identifier

URL Uniform Resource Locator

URN Uniform Resource Name

WFMS Workflow Management System

WSN Wireless Sensor Network

WWW World-Wide Web

XML Extended Markup Language

XPDL XML Process Definition Language

Appendix B.

Glossary

The following list contains all the acronyms which are used in this document.

Bibliography

- [ADB⁺99] Gregory D Abowd, Anind K Dey, Peter J Brown, Nigel Davies, Mark Smith, and Pete Steggles. Towards a Better Understanding of Context and Context-awareness. In *Handheld and Ubiquitous Computing*, pages 304–307. Springer, 1999.
- [Ash09] Kevin Ashton. That ‘Internet of Things’ Thing. *RFID Journal*, 22(7):97–114, 2009.
- [ASSC02] Ian F Akyildiz, Weilian Su, Yogesh Sankarasubramaniam, and Erdal Cayirci. Wireless Sensor Networks: A Survey. *Computer Networks*, 38(4):398, 2002.
- [AtAC15] Alfresco and the Activiti Community. Activiti v5.19.0 User Guide. <http://activiti.org/userguide/index.html#bpmnCustomExtensions>, 2015. [Online].
- [Bas14] Ron Basu. Managing Quality in Projects: An Empirical Study. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(1):178–187, 2014.
- [BL12] Mark A Beyer and Douglas Laney. *The Importance of ‘Big Data’: A Definition*. Stamford, CT: Gartner, 2012.
- [Bro11] Joe Brockmeier. Gartner adds Big Data, Gamification, and Internet of Things to its Hype Cycle. <http://ReadWrite.com/2011/08/11/gartner-adds-big-data-gamification>, 2011. [Online].
- [Com15] Eclipse Process Manager (Stardust) Community. Stardust Documentation Suite for Release 2.1.1. <http://help.eclipse.org/luna/index.jsp?topic=%2Forg.eclipse.stardust.docs.dev%2Fhtml%2Ftoc.html>, 2015. [Online].
- [CT12] Michele Chinosi and Alberto Trombetta. Bpmn: An Introduction to the Standard. *Computer Standards & Interfaces*, 34(1):124 – 134, 2012.
- [DDO08] Remco M Dijkman, Marlon Dumas, and Chun Ouyang. Semantics and Analysis of Business Process Models in BPMN. *Information and Software Technology*, 50(12):1281–1294, 2008.
- [DH14] Rainer Drath and Alexander Horch. Industrie 4.0: Hit or hype? [Industry Forum]. *Industrial Electronics Magazine, IEEE*, 8(2):56–58, 2014.
- [DLRMR13] Marlon Dumas, Marcello La Rosa, Jan Mendling, and Hajo A Reijers. *Fundamentals of Business Process Management*. Springer, 2013.
- [EA12] PC Evans and M Annunziata. Industrial Internet: Pushing the Boundaries of Minds and Machines, General Electric, 2012.
- [Erl12] Klaus Erlach. *Value Stream Design: The Way Towards a Lean Factory*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

- [GBMP13] Jayavardhana Gubbi, Rajkumar Buyya, Slaven Marusic, and Marimuthu Palaniswami. Internet of things (IoT): A Vision, Architectural Elements, and Future Directions. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 29(7):1645–1660, 2013.
- [GHA11] Nicolas Genon, Patrick Heymans, and Daniel Amyot. Analysing the Cognitive Effectiveness of the BPMN 2.0 Visual Notation. In *Software Language Engineering*, pages 377–396. Springer, 2011.
- [Hen03] Karen Henricksen. *A Framework for Context-aware Pervasive Computing Applications*. University of Queensland Queensland, 2003.
- [Hen14] Stefan Heng. *Industry 4.0: Upgrading of Germany's Industrial Capabilities on the Horizon*. Available at SSRN 2656608, 2014.
- [HPO15] Mario Hermann, Tobias Pentek, and Boris Otto. *Design Principles for Industrie 4.0 Scenarios: A Literature Review*. Technische Universität Dortmund - Audi Stiftungslehrstuhl Supply Net Order Management, 2015.
- [ICG07] Valerie Issarny, Mauro Caporuscio, and Nikolaos Georgantas. A Perspective on the Future of Middleware-based Software Engineering. In *2007 Future of Software Engineering*, pages 244–258. IEEE Computer Society, 2007.
- [Jaz14] Nasser Jazdi. Cyber Physical Systems in the Context of Industry 4.0. In *Automation, Quality and Testing, 2014 IEEE International Conference on*, pages 1–4. IEEE, 2014.
- [KAA97] James D Kiper, Brent Auernheimer, and Charles K Ames. Visual Depiction of Decision Statements: What is Best for Programmers and Non-programmers? *Empirical Software Engineering*, 2(4):361–379, 1997.
- [KLW11] Henning Kagermann, Wolf-Dieter Lukas, and Wolfgang Wahlster. Industrie 4.0: Mit dem Internet der Dinge auf dem Weg zur 4. Industriellen Revolution. <http://www.vdi-nachrichten.com/Technik-Gesellschaft/Industrie-40-Mit-Internet-Dinge-Weg-4-industriellen-Revolution>, 2011. [Online].
- [KZ15] Dennis Kolberg and Detlef Zühlke. Lean Automation Enabled by Industry 4.0 Technologies. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 48(3):1870–1875, 2015.
- [LC12] Martin Landherr and Carmen Constantinescu. Intelligent Management of Manufacturing Knowledge: Foundations, Motivation Scenario and Roadmap. *Procedia CIRP*, 3:269–274, 2012.
- [LCW09] Dominik Lucke, Carmen Constantinescu, and Engelbert Westkämper. Context Data Model, the Backbone of a Smart Factory. In *CIRP Manufacturing System*, 2009.
- [LE06] Yair Levy and Timothy J Ellis. A Systems Approach to Conduct an Effective Literature Review in Support of Information Systems Research. *Informing Science: International Journal of an Emerging Transdiscipline*, 9(1):181–212, 2006.

Bibliography

- [Ley10] Frank Leymann. BPEL vs. BPMN 2.0: Should You Care? In *Business Process Modeling Notation*, pages 8–13. Springer, 2010.
- [LR00] Frank Leymann and Dieter Roller. *Production Workflow: Concepts and Techniques*. Prentice Hall PTR, Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 2000.
- [LRS⁺15] Markus Lorenz, Michael Rüßmann, Rainer Strack, Knud Lasse Lueth, and Moritz Bolle. *Man and Machine in Industry 4.0: How Will Technology Transform the Industrial Workforce Through 2025?* Boston Consulting Group Perspectives, BCG, 2015.
- [LT13] Markus Löffler and Andreas Tschiesner. The Internet of Things and the Future of Manufacturing. McKinsey&Company, 2013.
- [MG11] Peter Mell and Tim Grance. *The NIST Definition of Cloud Computing*. Computer Security Division, Information Technology Laboratory, National Institute of Standards and Technology Gaithersburg, 2011.
- [Moo09] Daniel L Moody. The ‘Physics of Notations’: Toward a Scientific Basis for Constructing Visual Notations in Software Engineering. *Software Engineering, IEEE Transactions on*, 35(6):756–779, 2009.
- [MWZ⁺07] Zhang Min, Li Wenfeng, Wang Zhongyun, LI Bin, and Ran Xia. A RFID-based Material Tracking Information System. In *Automation and Logistics, 2007 IEEE International Conference on*, pages 2922–2926. IEEE, 2007.
- [OAS07] OASIS. Web Services Business Process Execution Language v2.0. <http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsbpel/2.0/OS/wsbpel-v2.0-OS.pdf>, 2007. [Online].
- [OMG11] Object Management Group OMG. Business Process Model and Notation (BPMN) v2.0 Specification. <http://www.omg.org/spec/BPMN/2.0/PDF/>, 2011. [Online].
- [OMG15] Object Management Group OMG. Unified Modeling Language (UML) Specification v2.5. <http://www.omg.org/spec/UML/2.5/PDF>, 2015. [Online].
- [PZCG14] Charith Perera, Arkady Zaslavsky, Peter Christen, and Dimitrios Georgakopoulos. Context-aware Computing for the Internet of Things: A Survey. *Communications Surveys & Tutorials, IEEE*, 16(1):414–454, 2014.
- [RLG⁺15] Michael Rüßmann, Markus Lorenz, Philipp Gerbert, Manuela Waldner, Jan Justus, Pascal Engel, and Michael Harnisch. *Industry 4.0: The Future of Productivity and Growth in Manufacturing Industries*. Boston Consulting Group Perspectives, BCG, 2015.
- [RvdM15] Janessa Rivera and Rob van der Meulen. Gartner’s 2015 Hype Cycle for Emerging Technologies Identifies the Computing Innovations that Organizations Should Monitor. <http://www.gartner.com/newsroom/id/3114217>, 2015. [Online].

- [SBLW15] C. Timurhan Sungur, Uwe Breitenbücher, Frank Leymann, and Matthias Wieland. Context-sensitive Adaptive Production Process. In *48th CIRP Conference on Manufacturing Systems - CIRP CMS 2015*, volume 0, pages 000–000. Elsevier, 2015.
- [SGFW10] Harald Sundmaeker, Patrick Guillemin, Peter Friess, and Sylvie Woelfflé. *Vision and Challenges for Realising the Internet of Things*. Publications Office of the European Union, 2010.
- [Sil11] Bruce Silver. *BPMN Method and Style, with BPMN Implementer's Guide: A Structured Approach for Business Process Modeling and Implementation using BPMN 2.0*, volume 450. Cody-Cassidy Press, Aptos, CA, 2011.
- [SRHD15] Günther Schuh, Christina Reuter, Annika Hauptvogel, and Christian Dölle. Hypotheses for a Theory of Production in the Context of Industrie 4.0. In *Advances in Production Technology*, pages 11–23. Springer, 2015.
- [SSOK13] C. Timurhan Sungur, Patrik Spiess, Nina Oertel, and Oliver Kopp. Extending BPMN for Wireless Sensor Networks. In *2013 IEEE International Conference on Business Informatics*, pages 109–116. IEEE Computer Society, 2013.
- [Sun13] C. Timurhan Sungur. Extending BPMN for Wireless Sensor Networks. Diplomarbeit, Universität Stuttgart, Fakultät Informatik, Elektrotechnik und Informationstechnik, Germany, März 2013.
- [SW07] Rachna Shah and Peter T Ward. Defining and Developing Measures of Lean Production. *Journal of Operations Management*, 25(4):785–805, 2007.
- [TW10] Lu Tan and Neng Wang. Future Internet: The Internet of Things. In *Advanced Computer Theory and Engineering (ICACTE), 2010 3rd International Conference on*, volume 5, pages V5–376. IEEE, 2010.
- [TZDXZ14] Fei Tao, Ying Zuo, Li Da Xu, and Lin Zhang. IoT-based Intelligent Perception and Access of Manufacturing Resource Towards Cloud Manufacturing. *Industrial Informatics, IEEE Transactions on*, 10(2):1547–1557, 2014.
- [WEN⁺07] H-P Wiendahl, Hoda A ElMaraghy, Peter Nyhuis, Michael F Zäh, H-H Wiendahl, Neil Duffie, and Michael Brieke. Changeable Manufacturing - Classification, Design and Operation. *CIRP Annals-Manufacturing Technology*, 56(2):783–809, 2007.
- [Wes06] E Westkämper. Factory Transformability: Adapting the Structures of Manufacturing. In *Reconfigurable Manufacturing Systems and Transformable Factories*, pages 371–381. Springer, 2006.
- [Wes12] Mathias Weske. *Business Process Management: Concepts, Languages, Architectures*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [WFM12] Workflow Management Coalition WFMC. Workflow Standard: Process Definition Interface - XML Process Definition Language(XPDL) Specification v2.2. [http://www.xpdl.org/standards/xpdl-2.2/XPDL%202.2%20\(2012-08-30\).pdf](http://www.xpdl.org/standards/xpdl-2.2/XPDL%202.2%20(2012-08-30).pdf), 2012. [Online].

Bibliography

- [WKNL07] Matthias Wieland, Oliver Kopp, Daniela Nicklas, and Frank Leymann. Towards Context-aware Workflows. In *CAiSE07 Proc. of the Workshops and Doctoral Consortium*, volume 2, page 25, 2007.
- [WvdAD⁺06] Petia Wohed, Wil MP van der Aalst, Marlon Dumas, Arthur HM ter Hofstede, and Nick Russell. *On the Suitability of BPMN for Business Process Modelling*. Springer, 2006.
- [XYWV12] Feng Xia, Laurence T Yang, Lizhe Wang, and Alexey Vinel. Internet of Things. *International Journal of Communication Systems*, 25(9):1101, 2012.

All links were last followed on December 30, 2015

Acknowledgement

I am sincerely thankful to my mentor and supervisor C. Timurhan Sungur from the University of Stuttgart for his help, guidance, motivation and support during all the phases of my master thesis. I would also like to thank Prof. Dr. Frank Leymann for giving me this wonderful opportunity to do my master thesis at the Institute of Architecture of Application Systems.

I am also thankful to my family and friends for their help and moral support during the tenure of my thesis.

Debasis Kar

Declaration

I hereby declare that the work presented in this thesis is entirely my own. I did not use any other sources and references than the listed ones. I have marked all direct or indirect statements from other sources contained therein as quotations. Neither this work nor significant parts of it were part of another examination procedure. I have not published this work in whole or in part before. The electronic copy is consistent with all submitted copies.

Stuttgart, December 30, 2015

(Signature)