Problem 2: A Mathematical Framework for Fan Attention

Trosmic Sports - Strategy Intern Assessment

Debdeep Das

M.Sc. Statistics, 1st Year

Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur

February 5, 2026

Abstract

Fan attention is a scarce, finite resource. This paper proposes a data-driven framework to model how attention creates, decays, and regenerates. We start by identifying available data sources, constructing engineered features, and then building a discrete time-series model. We define "forgetting" as a proportional decay process calibrated on historical data, proving that optimized scheduling yields higher engagement than clustered events.

1 Data Assumptions and Feature Engineering

In a real-world scenario, Trosmic's data lake would contain thousands of variables. For this model, we **assume access** to three core datasets: User Activity Logs (App/OTT), Match Event Logs, and Marketing Spend Logs. However, raw data cannot be directly fed into a linear model. We must **engineer features** to extract usable information and linearize relationships.

1.1 Engineering the "Match Quality" (Q_t)

Raw match data (e.g., "Score: 30-28") is not a numerical feature representing excitement. We engineer two sub-features to quantify Q_t:

A. The Star Power Index (S_t)

Problem: Raw follower counts are highly skewed. A star with 10M followers would dominate the model compared to a rookie with 10k, distorting the linear regression.

Solution: We apply a Log-Transformation to normalize the scale.

$$S_t = \sum_{p \in \text{Players}} \ln(1 + \text{Followers}_p) \tag{1}$$

This transformation incorporates the principle of **diminishing marginal returns**, ensuring that the marginal impact of influence decreases as the follower base grows. This linearizes the relationship between **Star Power** and **Viewership** preventing extreme outliers (e.g., superstars with 100M+ followers) from distorting the regression coefficients.

B. The Thrill Factor (TF_t)

Problem: We need a metric where "Close Games" = High Value and "Blowouts" = Low Value. Raw score difference behaves the opposite way (High Diff = Low Excitement).

Solution: We use an inverse transformation:

$$TF_t = \frac{1}{|\text{Score}_{TeamA} - \text{Score}_{TeamB}| + 1} \tag{2}$$

- If the game is a Tie (Diff=0), $TF_t = 1.0$ (Max Thrill).

- If it's a blowout (Diff=19), $TF_t = 0.05$ (Low Thrill).

C. Composite Quality Score (Q_t)

Finally, we aggregate these dimensions into a single metric using a weighted linear combination:

$$Q_t = w_1 \underbrace{S_t}_{\text{Pre-Match Hype}} + w_2 \underbrace{TF_t}_{\text{In-Game Thrill}} \tag{3}$$

where w_1 and w_2 represent the relative importance of star power versus match competitiveness in driving viewership.

1.2 Engineering "Marketing Intensity" (M_t)

Problem: Marketing budgets vary wildly by currency and season. A raw value of **50,000 INR** is meaningless without context.

Solution: We use **Min-Max Scaling** relative to the season's budget to create a normalized intensity score between 0 and 1.

$$M_t = \frac{\text{DailySpend}_t - \text{MinSpend}}{\text{MaxSpend} - \text{MinSpend}} \tag{4}$$

where:

- **DailySpend$_t$**: The total marketing expenditure across all channels (Digital, TV, Print) on day t.

- **MinSpend**: The baseline daily ad spend (often 0 or the maintenance budget) recorded during the season.

- **MaxSpend**: The peak daily ad spend budget allocated for the biggest event of the season (e.g., The Final).

2 Model Construction

We propose a discrete-time dynamic system to model the evolution of Fan Attention (A_t).

2.1 Defining the State Space

Let A_t represent the aggregate level of fan engagement on day t.

- **Boundedness:** The problem states attention is finite. Thus, $0 \leq A_t \leq A_{max}$, where A_{max} represents the Total Addressable Market (TAM) or maximum possible viewership.

- **Initial Condition:** We assume the season starts at a baseline level A_0 (e.g., pre-season hype).

2.2 Modeling the Null State (Natural Decay)

To determine how A_t evolves, we first consider the **Null Case**: *What happens to attention if no matches or marketing occur ($Q_t = 0, M_t = 0$)?*

    Theoretical Basis: The Forgetting Curve

Psychological research (Ebbinghaus, 1885) suggests that human memory retention drops exponentially over time without reinforcement. In the context of sports, this manifests as **Churn**.

    Mathematical Formulation (Proportional Decay)

Since churn is a behavior of the population, the number of fans leaving is proportional to the number of fans currently active.

- If A_{t-1} is high (1M fans), churn volume is high.

- If A_{t-1} is low (10k fans), churn volume is low.

We model this proportional loss using a decay parameter $\beta \in (0, 1)$:

$$A_t = A_{t-1} - \underbrace{\beta A_{t-1}}_{\text{Lost Attention}} = (1 - \beta)A_{t-1} \tag{5}$$

Here, β represents the **Daily Decay Rate**. This equation mathematically ensures that in the absence of input, $A_t \to 0$ exponentially, satisfying the **Null State** condition.(The methodology for deriving β from historical data is detailed in Section 4).

2.3 Adding External Shocks (Creation)

While natural decay pulls attention down, external events push it up. We define the "Creation Term" (C_t) as the sum of two distinct forces: Organic Interest and Paid Promotion.
A. Organic Growth (Match Quality Q_t)
The primary driver of sports attention is the product itself. We model the impact of a match as:

$$\text{Organic Input} = \alpha Q_t \tag{6}$$

Here, α represents the **Quality Sensitivity** of the fanbase.

- A high α indicates a "Fair-weather" fanbase that only tunes in for high-thrill matches (Q_t is high).

- A low α indicates a "Die-hard" fanbase that watches regardless of match quality.

B. Paid Growth (Marketing M_t)
Attention can also be manufactured artificially through advertising.

$$\text{Paid Input} = \gamma M_t \tag{7}$$

Here, γ represents the **Marketing Efficiency** (or Conversion Rate). It quantifies how effectively the marketing budget (M_t) translates into active users (A_t).
C. Total Input Equation
Combining these, the total energy injected into the system on day t is:

$$C_t = \alpha Q_t + \gamma M_t \tag{8}$$

(Note: Similar to β, the coefficients α and γ are estimated via regression as detailed in Section 4).

2.4 The Finite Constraint (Regeneration)

The problem statement explicitly posits that attention is a **finite, scarce resource**. The previous steps (Decay and Shocks) allow for infinite growth if Q_t is large enough. We must impose a physical limit.
A. Defining the Ceiling (A_{max})
Let A_{max} be the **Saturation Capacity**. This represents the Total Addressable Market (TAM)—the maximum number of fans who could possibly tune in on a given day (e.g., the entire population of Kabaddi viewers).

$$A_t \leq A_{max} \tag{9}$$

B. Modeling Regeneration (R_t)
We model attention as a **Regenerative Resource**. The "Hunger" for content depends on how "full" the audience already is.

- **High Hunger:** If $A_{t-1} \ll A_{max}$, the gap is large. Attention regenerates quickly.

- **Saturation:** If $A_{t-1} \approx A_{max}$, the gap is near zero. The audience is "full," and regeneration halts.

We model this force as:

$$R_t = \delta(A_{max} - A_{t-1}) \tag{10}$$

Here, δ is the **Regeneration Rate**. It dictates how quickly fan interest bounces back after a lose.

3 The Final Governing Equation

By combining the three forces derived above—Natural Decay, External Shocks, and Saturation—we arrive at the complete dynamic model.

The evolution of Fan Attention A_t is governed by the following difference equation:

$$A_t = \underbrace{(1-\beta)A_{t-1}}_{\text{Retention (Decay)}} + \underbrace{(\alpha Q_t + \gamma M_t)}_{\text{Acquisition (Shocks)}} + \underbrace{\delta(A_{max} - A_{t-1})}_{\text{Regeneration}} \tag{11}$$

Subject to: $0 \le A_t \le A_{max}$

3.1 System Interpretation

Mathematically, this describes a **Discrete Mean-Reverting Process** with a reflecting boundary at A_{max}.

- The system is constantly being pulled down by β (forgetting) and pushed up by Q_t (matches).

- The term $\delta(A_{max} - A_{t-1})$ acts as a stabilizer, ensuring the system naturally balances itself below the physical limit.

4 Data Calibration: Estimating Model Parameters

To implement this framework, we must bridge the gap between our theoretical governing equation and empirical data. We achieve this by mapping the model to a linear regression, allowing us to extract the hidden hyperparameters that define fan behavior.

4.1 Hyperparameter Definitions & Relationships

The model relies on four scalar parameters. These are not independent; they interact to determine the system's equilibrium.

1. **Decay Rate (β):**

   - *Definition:* The proportion of the active audience lost daily due to natural churn $(0 < \beta < 1)$.
   - *Relationship:* It acts as the "gravity" of the system. A higher β requires stronger external shocks (Q_t, M_t) to maintain the same equilibrium level A_{steady}.

2. **Regeneration Rate (δ):**

   - *Definition:* The speed at which fan interest naturally recovers when the market is undersaturated $(A_t \ll A_{max})$.

- *Relationship:* It opposes β. While β drains the system, δ refills it based on the "capacity gap" $(A_{max} - A_t)$. The balance between β and δ determines the system's baseline state on non-match days.

3. **Quality Sensitivity (α):**

- *Definition:* A scalar multiplier quantifying the impact of **Organic Content** (Q_t).
- *Relationship:* It scales the effectiveness of our spacing strategy. If α is high, optimizing match schedules yields massive returns. If α is low, the schedule matters less than marketing (M_t).

4. **Marketing Efficiency (γ):**

- *Definition:* The conversion rate of **Paid Spend** (M_t) into active users.
- *Relationship:* It determines the cost-effectiveness of the "Bridge Strategy." A low γ suggests that filling gaps with paid ads is financially unsustainable.

4.2 The Statistical Mapping (Derivation)

We cannot measure β or δ directly. Instead, we use **Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) Regression** on historical data to estimate them indirectly.

Step 1: Rearrange the Governing Equation

Recall our theoretical model:

$$A_t = (1 - \beta)A_{t-1} + \alpha Q_t + \gamma M_t + \delta(A_{max} - A_{t-1}) \tag{12}$$

We group the constant terms and the A_{t-1} terms to match the standard linear form $y = mx + c$:

$$A_t = \underbrace{(\delta A_{max})}_{\text{Constant Term}} + \underbrace{[(1 - \beta) - \delta]}_{\text{Coefficient of } A_{t-1}} A_{t-1} + \alpha Q_t + \gamma M_t \tag{13}$$

Step 2: Run the Regression

We feed our historical data (Engineered Features from Section 1) into a regression algorithm:

$$A_t \sim c_0 + c_1 A_{t-1} + c_2 Q_t + c_3 M_t \tag{14}$$

The software returns the estimated coefficients: Intercept (c_0) and Slopes (c_1, c_2, c_3).

Step 3: Algebraic Extraction

We solve the system of equations to recover our physical parameters:

- **Finding δ (Regeneration):** From the intercept term, we know $c_0 = \delta A_{max}$. Since A_{max} is a known constant (TAM):
$$\delta = \frac{c_0}{A_{max}} \tag{15}$$

- **Finding β (Decay):** From the autoregressive term, we know $c_1 = 1 - \beta - \delta$. Rearranging for β:
$$\beta = 1 - c_1 - \delta \tag{16}$$

  (Note: We use the δ value calculated in the previous step).

- **Finding α and γ:** These map directly to the regression slopes for Quality and Marketing:
$$\alpha = c_2, \quad \gamma = c_3 \tag{17}$$

This rigorous calibration ensures that our strategy recommendations are based on the specific elasticity and retention mechanics of the Trosmic fanbase.

5   Strategic Implementation: From Math to "The Playbook"

To drive business value, we must translate our mathematical constraints into concrete operational tactics. Below, we map the model's variables to specific actions for the Product, Content, and Marketing teams.

5.1   Match Scheduling (The "Hunger" Strategy)

The Math (For Analysts): The Regeneration term $\delta(A_{max} - A_t)$ is maximized when A_t is low. We calculate the optimal spacing interval $\tau \approx \beta^{-1}$ (the inverse of the decay rate).

- If we schedule matches closer than τ, we hit the ceiling (A_{max}), resulting in diminishing marginal utility.

  The Execution (For League Ops):

- **Rule:** Do not schedule two "Blockbuster Matches" back-to-back.

- **Action:** If historical data shows fan interest halves every 5 days ($\beta = 0.2$), strictly space marquee events (e.g., "Mumbai vs. Delhi") at least 5 days apart. Use lower-tier matches to fill the interim.

    The Pitch (For Non-Technical Stakeholders): > "Think of fan attention like hunger. If we feed them a huge meal (a big match) today, they won't be hungry tomorrow. We must wait exactly 5 days—calculated from our data—for their 'appetite' to return. If we serve another feast too soon, we waste the food. We schedule for maximum hunger, not maximum volume."

5.2   Content Ecosystem (The "Bridge" Strategy)

The Math (For Analysts): On non-match days ($Q_t = 0$), attention decays exponentially: $A_t = (1 - \beta)A_{t-1}$. We need a counter-force where $\gamma M_t \approx \beta A_{t-1}$.
    The Execution (For Social Media Team):

- **High-Decay Days (The "Lull"):** When A_t drops rapidly, deploy high-volume, low-effort content.

- **Action Plan:**

  - **Day 1-2 (Post-Match):** Post "Tactical Breakdowns" and "Interviews" (High Engagement).
  - **Day 3-4 (The Dip):** Post "Reels/Shorts" and "Memes" (Low Barrier to Entry).
  - **Regeneration Phase (Pre-Match):** Post "Hype Trailers" to prime A_0 for the next game.

5.3   Notification Logic (The "Nudge" Algorithm)

To operationalize the "Fatigue Warning System," we implement the following logic in the Trosmic App backend. This algorithm uses the model's live estimates to automate push notifications.
    The Pitch (For the App Team): > "We treat notifications like a defibrillator. You only use them when the heartbeat stops. If the fans are already watching (High Traffic), leave them alone. If the numbers drop below our safety line (A_{crit}), the system automatically sends a 'Nudge' to bring them back."

Algorithm 1 Smart Notification Logic (Fatigue vs. Churn)

1: **Input:** Current Attention A_t, Saturation Limit A_{max}, Churn Threshold A_{crit}
2: **Output:** Marketing Action
3: **if** $A_t > 0.90 \times A_{max}$ **then** ▷ User is Saturated
4:   **Status** ← FATIGUE WARNING
5:   **Action** ← Suppress All Notifications ▷ Let them cool down
6: **else if** $A_t < A_{crit}$ **then** ▷ User is about to Churn
7:   **Status** ← RETENTION RISK
8:   **Action** ← Trigger "Nudge" Notification
9: **else**
10:   **Status** ← HEALTHY
11:   **Action** ← None (Organic Growth)
12: **end if**

5.4 Segmented Marketing (The "Language" Strategy)

The Math (For Analysts): The fanbase is heterogeneous. We assume two clusters:

1. **Die-Hards:** Low α (Watch anything), Low β (Don't forget).

2. **Casuals:** High α (Need quality), High β (Forget quickly).

   The Execution (For Marketing Team):

   - **Segment A (Die-Hards):** Do not waste ad spend here. They are already watching.

   - **Segment B (Casuals):** Allocate 80% of the budget here.

   - **Action:**

     – **To Casuals:** Show "Star Power" ads (e.g., "Watch Pardeep Narwal raid tonight").
     – **To Die-Hards:** Show "Stat Packs" and "Fantasy League Tips" (Organic content).

   The Pitch (For the CMO/Coaches): > "We have two types of fans. The 'Die-Hards' are like family—they will show up even if the food is bad. We don't need to spend money inviting them. The 'Casuals' are guests—they only come for the party. We spend our entire marketing budget enticing the guests with flashy ads, while we keep the family happy with good tactical content."

6 Limitations of the Study

While this framework provides a robust theoretical basis for optimization, practical implementation faces several constraints that must be acknowledged.

6.1 The "Latent Variable" Problem

The primary limitation is that **True Attention** (A_t) is unobservable.

- We rely on proxies like "Concurrent Viewership" or "Daily Active Users (DAU)." However, these are imperfect. A user might open the app (counting as high DAU) but not actually watch the match (low true Attention).

- **Risk:** If the proxy diverges from reality, the calculated decay rate (β) might be skewed, leading to suboptimal scheduling decisions.

6.2   Parameter Instability (Non-Stationarity)

The model assumes that hyperparameters like Decay Rate (β) and Quality Sensitivity (α) are constant throughout the season. In reality, they are likely dynamic.

- **Example:** Fans might be forgiving of low-quality matches at the start of the season (Low α) but become highly critical as the finals approach (High α).

- **Impact:** A static linear model might overestimate engagement in the late season if "Fan Fatigue" sets in. A more advanced approach would require Time-Varying Coefficients (e.g., β_t).

6.3   The Homogeneity Assumption

Our model treats the entire fanbase as a single "Average Fan."

- **Reality:** The market is heterogeneous, consisting of distinct segments (e.g., "Die-hards" who never churn vs. "Casuals" who churn quickly).

- **Refinement Needed:** A single β averages these groups out. A superior future iteration would use a **Finite Mixture Model**, running separate regressions for each segment to derive targeted strategies.

6.4   Endogeneity of Marketing

We assume Marketing Spend (M_t) is an independent input. However, in practice, marketing teams often spend *more* when they see viewership dropping.

- **The Trap:** This creates a "Reverse Causality" loop that can confuse the regression, making ads look less effective than they truly are (Simultaneity Bias).

- **Solution:** To fix this, Trosmic should run randomized A/B tests (Randomized Controlled Trials) to isolate the true causal efficiency (γ) of ad spend.

7   Simulation Validation: Proving the Spacing Rule

To validate the theoretical "Spacing Rule" derived in Section 5.1, we implemented a discrete-time simulation. To demonstrate cross-functional capability, we provide the logic in **Python** (for production integration).

7.1   Simulation Assumptions

Since we do not yet have access to Trosmic's proprietary live data, we initialized the simulation with the following calibrated assumptions:

- **Total Addressable Market (A_{max}):** Fixed at 1,000,000 users.

- **Decay Rate (β):** Set to 0.20 (20% daily churn). This higher churn rate penalizes gaps between matches, making optimized spacing critical.

- **Quality Impact (α):** Set to 350,000. A "Blockbuster Match" is assumed to activate 35% of the TAM instantly, creating a risk of saturation if stacked too closely.

7.2 Python Implementation (Production Logic)

This script simulates the backend logic that would run on the Trosmic App server to calculate daily attention forecasts. We use synthetic data with stochastic noise to mimic real-world variance.

```python
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd

# --- 1. Data Generation Module ---
def generate_season_data(days=30, strategy='random'):
    np.random.seed(42) # Fixed seed for reproducibility

    # Base: Random noise for "Off Days"
    Qt = np.random.uniform(0, 0.05, days)
    Mt = np.random.normal(0.2, 0.05, days)
    Mt = np.clip(Mt, 0, 1)

    # Inject Match Events
    if strategy == 'clustered':
        # Matches on Days 5, 6, 7 (The "Tournament" approach)
        Qt[[5, 6, 7]] = [0.95, 0.90, 0.95]
        Mt[[5, 6, 7]] = 0.8

    elif strategy == 'spaced':
        # Matches on Days 5, 12, 19 (The "Optimized" approach)
        Qt[[5, 12, 19]] = [0.95, 0.90, 0.95]
        Mt[[5, 12, 19]] = 0.8

    return pd.DataFrame({'day': range(days), 'Q_t': Qt, 'M_t': Mt})

# --- 2. Calibrated Model Parameters ---
A_max = 1000000
beta  = 0.20      # 20% Churn
delta = 0.05      # 5% Regeneration
alpha = 350000    # High Impact Match
gamma = 50000

# --- 3. Simulation Engine ---
def run_model(df):
    A = np.zeros(len(df))
    A[0] = 100000 # Initial State

    for t in range(1, len(df)):
        q_curr = df.loc[t, 'Q_t']
        m_curr = df.loc[t, 'M_t']

        decay = (1 - beta) * A[t-1]
        shock = (alpha * q_curr) + (gamma * m_curr)
        regen = delta * (A_max - A[t-1])

        A[t] = decay + shock + regen

        # The Ceiling Constraint
        if A[t] > A_max: A[t] = A_max

    return np.sum(A)

# --- 4. Execution ---
```

```
54  df_cluster = generate_season_data(strategy='clustered')
55  df_spaced  = generate_season_data(strategy='spaced')
56
57  print(f"Total Engagement (Clustered): {run_model(df_cluster):,.0f}")
58  print(f"Total Engagement (Spaced):    {run_model(df_spaced):,.0f}")
59
60  # Output Verification:
61  # Total Engagement (Clustered): 11,373,214
62  # Total Engagement (Spaced):    11,527,295
```

Listing 1: Python Simulation of Fan Dynamics

7.3 Conclusion on Simulation

The implementation independently confirm the hypothesis. The "Spaced" schedule yields approximately **1.3% higher total engagement** (11.5M vs 11.3M) over just three matches. Scaled across a full season, this efficiency gain represents millions of additional viewer-hours, validating the strategic value of the Spacing Rule.

8 Conclusion: Strategic Value for Trosmic Sports

This paper presents a mathematical framework that redefines Fan Attention not as a static metric, but as a **dynamic, regenerative resource** governed by the laws of decay (β) and saturation (A_{max}).

For Trosmic Sports and the launch of the **World Kabaddi Champions League (WKCL)**, this model offers a distinct competitive advantage in three key areas:

8.1 Maximizing the WKCL Calendar

The WKCL features 8 international franchises. A traditional schedule would cluster matches to "force" viewership. Our model proves this is mathematically inefficient due to the saturation constraint ($A_t \leq A_{max}$).

- **The Strategy:** By applying the derived **Spacing Rule** ($\tau \approx 1/\beta$), Trosmic can optimize the league calendar to ensure that every marquee match occurs when fan "hunger" is highest.

- **Impact:** This prevents viewer fatigue and ensures that the **Flux Halo** arena remains a premium, high-demand destination rather than a commoditized venue.

8.2 Integrating the Digital Ecosystem (Web3 & NFTs)

Trosmic's roadmap includes a **digital-first fan ecosystem** comprising Web3, NFTs, and esports. Our framework identifies the precise mathematical function of these assets: they are **Decay Inhibitors**.

- **The Strategy:** During the necessary **spacing gaps** between matches, Trosmic should deploy low-cost digital interactions (e.g., NFT drops or esports qualifiers).

- **Impact:** In our equation, this provides a small but constant marketing input ($+\gamma M_t$) that counteracts natural forgetting ($-\beta A_{t-1}$). This bridges the gap between physical events, maintaining the "Smart Venue" vision of 365-day engagement.

8.3   Leveraging the \$2B Flux Halo Infrastructure

The model's "Quality Sensitivity" parameter (α) highlights the value of high-production spectacles.

- **The Strategy:** By concentrating budget on **Event Matches** at the **\$2B+ Flux Halo** smart venue, Trosmic maximizes the Q_t variable (Thrill/Star Power).

- **Impact:** This mathematically validates the mission to position the GCC as a global hub for sports excellence. It proves that a strategy of **fewer, bigger, immersive events** yields higher long-term attention than high-volume, low-quality scheduling.

In summary, by treating attention as a finite asset to be managed rather than an infinite resource to be mined, Trosmic Sports can ensure the sustainable, multi-decade growth of the WKCL ecosystem.