

---

## TIME & SPACE COMPLEXITY – COMPLETE NOTES

---

### 1. What is Time Complexity?

#### Definition:

Time Complexity is the amount of time a program or algorithm takes to complete as a function of the input size  $n$ .

#### Human analogy:

In daily life, if baking bread takes you 30 minutes, you measure time based on the size of the job. Similarly, when a computer solves a problem, we measure how much time it takes based on the number of operations it performs.

---

### 2. Why Time Complexity Matters

- If a human takes 1 hour and a machine takes 5 hours — that's a useless machine.
  - So, we analyze **how efficient** our code or algorithm is — not in seconds (hardware-dependent), but in **number of operations** (machine-independent).
- 

### 3. Input Size $n$

- Represents how many elements the algorithm will handle.  
Example: In an array,  $n$  = number of elements.  
It could be 10, 10,000, or 10 million — we generalize it as  $n$ .
- 

### 4. Measuring Time Complexity

Two main approaches:

Approach	Description
<b>Analytical (Procedure-based)</b>	Understand the steps of your logic, count operations manually.
<b>Code-based</b>	Look at loops and recursive calls in your program to infer the complexity.

In practice, we use both.

---

### 5. Basic Loop Patterns and Their Time Complexities

#### Case 1: Single Loop

```
for(i = 0; i < n; i++) {
```

```
// do something
}
```

→ **Order(n)**

Every element is processed once.

**In Python:**

```
for i in range(n):
```

```
    pass
```

Also **O(n)**.

The interpreter still goes through each element once.

---

### **Case 2: Nested Loop**

```
for(i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    for(j = 0; j < n; j++) {
        // process
    }
}
```

Each element triggers another full pass through all elements.

→ **Order(n<sup>2</sup>)**

**In Python:**

```
for i in range(n):
```

```
    for j in range(n):
```

```
        pass
```

Still **O(n<sup>2</sup>)** — Python just hides the type declarations, not the cost.

---

### **Case 3: Reducing Iterations (Triangular Pattern)**

When you process the rest of the list for each element:

```
for(i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    for(j = i+1; j < n; j++) {
        // process
    }
}
```

Number of comparisons:

$$(n-1) + (n-2) + (n-3) + \dots + 1 = (n^2 - n)/2$$

→ **Order( $n^2$ )** again (the degree of the polynomial decides the order).

**Python equivalent:**

```
for i in range(n):  
    for j in range(i+1, n):  
        pass
```

---

#### Case 4: Dividing by 2 each time (Logarithmic)

```
for(i = n; i > 1; i = i / 2) {  
    // process  
}
```

Here, the number of iterations reduces by half each time:

$$n \rightarrow n/2 \rightarrow n/4 \rightarrow n/8 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 1$$

Number of steps =  $\log_2(n)$

→ **Order(log n)**

**Python equivalent:**

```
i = n  
while i > 1:  
    i = i // 2
```

Also **O(log n)** — very common in binary search and tree traversal.

---

#### Common Time Complexities and Their Growth

Complexity	Example	Behavior
<b>O(1)</b>	Accessing an array element	Constant
<b>O(log n)</b>	Binary search	Very fast
<b>O(n)</b>	Linear search	Grows linearly
<b>O(n log n)</b>	Merge sort, quick sort (avg)	Efficient
<b>O(<math>n^2</math>)</b>	Nested loops, bubble sort	Slower
<b>O(<math>2^n</math>)</b>	Recursion like Fibonacci	Explodes quickly

Complexity Example	Behavior
$O(n!)$	Permutations, brute force    Nightmare mode

---

## 6. Understanding Through Data Structures

### a) Array

- Access:  $O(1)$
- Traversal (visit every element):  $O(n)$
- Nested traversal (compare each with each):  $O(n^2)$

### b) Linked List

- Similar to array in time complexity:
  - Traversal:  $O(n)$
  - Search:  $O(n)$
  - Space:  $O(n)$  + links (still  $O(n)$ )

In Python, a list behaves more like a dynamic array —  **$O(1)$**  access but costly insertions in the middle ( $O(n)$ ).

### c) Matrix

- Dimensions =  $n \times n \rightarrow$  total  $n^2$  elements.
- Full traversal =  $O(n^2)$
- If you nest another loop (3 total), that's  $O(n^3)$ .

for i in range(n):

  for j in range(n):

    for k in range(n):

      pass #  $O(n^3)$

### d) Array of Linked Lists

- Suppose an array of size m, and each element is a list with n elements.  
Total processing:  $m + n \rightarrow$  **Order( $m + n$ )**  
Often simplified to  **$O(n)$**  if m and n are of similar scale.

### e) Binary Tree

- If traversing only along height:  $O(\log n)$
- If processing all nodes:  $O(n)$

In Python:

def inorder(node):

```
if node:
    inorder(node.left)
    inorder(node.right)
```

Each node visited once →  **$O(n)$**

---

## 7. Space Complexity

### Definition:

The amount of memory an algorithm needs to run, as a function of input size  **$n$** .

We care about:

- Memory for variables
  - Auxiliary data structures
  - Function call stacks (recursion)
- 

### Examples:

Structure	Space Used	Space Complexity
Array of $n$ elements	$n$	$O(n)$
Linked List	$n$ nodes + $n$ links $\approx 2n$	$O(n)$
Matrix ( $n \times n$ )	$n^2$	$O(n^2)$
Array of linked lists	$m + n$	$O(m + n)$
Binary tree with $n$ nodes	$n$	$O(n)$

In Python, everything (even integers) is an object on the heap, so there's always a small constant overhead — but asymptotically, it behaves the same.

---

## 8. Time vs Space Trade-off

- Sometimes you use **more memory to save time** (like precomputed hash maps).
- Other times, you use **more time to save memory** (like streaming large files line by line).

Python often chooses convenience over micro-optimization, but as a developer, you must still know **which algorithms are efficient** for large data.

---

## 9. Key Takeaways

1. **Order(n)** means the time grows linearly with the number of inputs.
2. **Order(n<sup>2</sup>)** means nested operations — be cautious.
3. **Order(log n)** means divide-and-conquer style efficiency.
4. Always measure growth, not seconds — hardware changes, math doesn't.
5. **Python code** may look simple, but behind the scenes it obeys these same mathematical rules.

Code Pattern	Time Complexity	Space Complexity
Simple for loop	$O(n)$	$O(1)$
Nested loops	$O(n^2)$	$O(1)$
Divide by 2 each iteration	$O(\log n)$	$O(1)$
Full matrix traversal	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$
Recursive tree traversal	$O(n)$	$O(h)$ (stack height)
Binary search	$O(\log n)$	$O(1)$
Merge sort	$O(n \log n)$	$O(n)$