IBM Power Systems

Architecture any way you choose to scale it

You wouldn't pay for a 10-story building & only use two floors, don't let 80% of your servers sit idle

Scale up with Power Systems

Scale out with Power Systems

2X faster, 47% lower cost per UI per second

47%

1 & 2 socket systems offer higher scale-out utilization, guaranteed²

Insights delivered 50x faster than Ivy Bridge

Raise order capacity by 50%4

87% less cost per VM with PowerVM versus VMware⁵

50%

Optimize resources - move processor & memory activations within a pool of systems using Power Enterprise Pools

POWER
http://bit.ly/powerBDA

1) This is an IBM internal study designed to replicate a typical IBM customer workload usage in the marketplace. The results were obtained under laboratory conditions, and not in an actual customer environment. IBM's internal workload studies are not benchmark applications, nor are they based on any benchmark standard. As such, customer environment. IBM's internal workload studies are not benchmark applications, nor are they based on any benchmark standard. As such, customer environment. IBM's internal workload studies are not benchmark applications, nor are they based on any benchmark standard. As such, customer environment. IBM's internal workload studies are not benchmark applications, nor are they based on any benchmark standard. As such, customer environment. IBM's internal workload studies are not benchmark applications, nor are they based on any benchmark standard. As such, customer environment. IBM's internal workload studies are not benchmark applications, nor are they based on any benchmark standard. As such, customer environment. IBM's internal workload studies are not benchmark applications, and not in an actual customer environment. BM's product on actual configurations, and not in a production environment. Systems compared were Power S824 (3.525 GHz 24 core) and Intel Ivy Bridge-EP (2.7 GHz 24 cor

