

Survey on Stochastic Variational Inference

Debojyoti Dey

Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur

debojyot@cse.iitk.ac.in

April 28, 2017

1 Variational Inference

- Definition
- Mean-field VB
- Conjugate model
- Coordinate ascent

2 Natural gradient

3 Stochastic Variational Inference

4 Black Box Variational Inference

- Definition
- Controlling Variance

Approximating Posterior

Aim is to compute posterior

$$p(z|x) = \frac{p(x, z)}{p(x)}$$

Oftentimes, marginal likelihood $p(x)$ is not available in closed form or computing takes exponential time.

Role of VB: Approximate posterior with *exact conditional* $q(z) \in \mathcal{Q}$, where \mathcal{Q} is a family of pdf over latent variables.

$$q^*(z) = \arg \min_{q(z) \in \mathcal{Q}} KL(q(z) || p(z|x))$$

Equivalent to maximizing *Variational Lower bound* given by,

$$ELBO(q) = \mathbb{E}_{q(z)} \left[\log \frac{p(x, z)}{q(z)} \right]$$

Mean Field Variational Family

Latent variables are assumed to be independent.

$$q(\bar{z}) = \prod_{j=1}^m q_j(z_j)$$

Doesn't take correlation into account.

Example Model: Hierarchical model with global(β) and local(z) latent variables.

$$p(\beta, z, x) = p(\beta) \prod_{i=1}^N p(z_i | \beta) p(x_i | z_i, \beta)$$

$$q(\beta, z) = q(\beta) \prod_i q(z_i)$$

Complete Data Conjugate Model

Complete conditionals over global parameters are assumed to be from exponential family,

$$p(\beta|x, z, \alpha) \propto \exp\{\langle \eta_g(x, z, \alpha), t(\beta) \rangle - a_g(\eta_g(x, z, \alpha))\}$$

$$p(z_{nj}|x_n, z_{n,-j}, \beta) \propto \exp\{\langle \eta_l(x_n, z_{n,-j}, \beta), t(z_{nj}) \rangle - a_l(\eta_l(x_n, z_{n,-j}, \beta))\}$$

This implies conjugacy relationship between global variable β and local context (z_n, x_n) .

Variational family,

$$q(\beta|\lambda) \propto \exp\{\langle \lambda, t(\beta) \rangle - a_g(\lambda)\}$$

$$q(z_{nj}|\phi_{nj}) \propto \exp\{\langle \phi_{nj}, t(z_{nj}) \rangle - a_l(\phi_{nj})\}$$

Evidence Lower bound and Coordinate ascent

We need to maximize ELBO,

$$\mathcal{L}(q) = \mathbb{E}_q[\log p(x, z, \beta)] - \mathbb{E}_q[\log q(z, \beta)] \quad (1)$$

By virtue of mean-field assumption i.e. independence between variational parameters,

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}_q[\log p(\beta|x, z)] - \mathbb{E}_q[\log q(\beta)] + \text{const}$$

Replacing $\mathbb{E}_q[t(\beta)] = \nabla_\lambda a_g(\lambda)$ and differentiating,

$$\nabla_\lambda \mathcal{L} = \nabla_\lambda^2 a_g(\lambda)(\mathbb{E}_q[\eta_g(x, z, \alpha)] - \lambda) \quad (2)$$

Similarly,

$$\nabla_{\phi_{nj}} \mathcal{L} = \nabla_{\phi_{nj}}^2 a_g(\phi_{nj})(\mathbb{E}_q[\eta_l(x_n, z_{n,-j}, \beta)] - \phi_{nj}) \quad (3)$$

Coordinate Ascent Variational Inference(CAVI)

Algorithm 1 Coordinate Ascent VI

- 1: Initialize λ^0 randomly
 - 2: **repeat**
 - 3: **for** each local variational parameter ϕ_{nj} **do**
 - 4: Update $\phi_{nj}^{(t)}$: $\phi_{nj}^{(t)} = \mathbb{E}_{q^{(t-1)}}[\eta_l(x_n, z_{n,-j}, \beta)]$
 - 5: **end for**
 - 6: Update the global variational parameters, $\lambda^{(t)} = \mathbb{E}_{q^{(t)}}[\eta_g(z_{1:N}, x_{1:N})]$
 - 7: **until** the ELBO converges
-

Note: Convergence using threshold of change in ELBO

Problems:

- Random initialization of λ
- Updating all local variational parameter based on the random initialization.

Natural Gradient of ELBO

Gradient update

$$\lambda^{(t+1)} = \lambda^{(t)} + \rho \nabla_{\lambda} f(\lambda^{(t)})$$

gives steepest ascent in Euclidean space of λ .

Realistic distance between pdf: Symmetrized KL

$$D_{KL}^{sym}(\lambda, \lambda') = \mathbb{E}_{\lambda} \left[\log \frac{q(\beta|\lambda)}{q(\beta|\lambda')} \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\lambda'} \left[\log \frac{q(\beta|\lambda')}{q(\beta|\lambda)} \right]$$

can be expressed in terms of Riemannian metric $G(\lambda)$ giving linear transformation to λ , Euclidean distance between λ and $\lambda + d\lambda$ in transformed space

$$d\lambda^T G(\lambda) d\lambda = D_{KL}^{sym}(\lambda, \lambda + d\lambda)$$

Natural Gradient of ELBO

Natural gradient of $f(\lambda)$ is shown to be,

$$\hat{\nabla}_{\lambda} f(\lambda) \triangleq G(\lambda)^{-1} \nabla_{\lambda} f(\lambda)$$

G is Fisher information matrix of $q(\lambda)$,

$$G(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}_{\lambda} \left[(\nabla_{\lambda} \log q(\beta|\lambda)) (\nabla_{\lambda} \log q(\beta|\lambda))^T \right] \approx \nabla_{\lambda}^2 a_g(\lambda)$$

So natural gradient has simple form,

$$\hat{\nabla}_{\lambda} \mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}_q[\eta_g(x, z, \alpha)] - \lambda$$

Similarly follows,

$$\hat{\nabla}_{\phi_{nj}} \mathcal{L}(\phi_{nj}) = \mathbb{E}_q[\eta_I(x_n, z_{n,-j}, \beta)] - \phi_{nj}$$

Properties of SVI:

- 1 Sample a data point $i \sim \text{Unif}(1, 2, \dots, N)$ at random. Optimize its local variational parameters
- 2 Form intermediate global variational parameters. Traditional coordinate ascent with sampled data point repeated N times in computing ELBO, producing natural gradient

$$\hat{\nabla}_{\lambda} \mathcal{L}_i = \alpha + N.(\mathbb{E}_{\phi_i(\lambda)}[t(x_i, z_i)], 1) - \lambda$$

$$\hat{\lambda}_t \triangleq \alpha + N.(\mathbb{E}_{\phi_i(\lambda)}[t(x_i, z_i)], 1) \quad (4)$$

- 3 Update global variational parameter as weighted avg of intermediate and current value.

$$\lambda^{(t)} = (1 - \rho_t)\lambda^{(t-1)} + \rho_t \hat{\lambda}_t \quad (5)$$

Algorithm 2 Stochastic Variational Inference

- 1: Initialize λ^0 randomly
- 2: Set the step size ρ_t s.t. $\sum \rho_t = \infty, \sum \rho_t^2 < \infty$

3: **repeat**

- 4: Sample a data point x_i uniformly at random.
- 5: Compute its local variational parameter

6:

$$\phi = \mathbb{E}_{\lambda^{(t-1)}}[\eta_g(x_i^{(N)}, z_i^{(N)})]$$

- 7: Compute intermediate global parameters with x_i is replicated N times as equation 4
 - 8: Update the current estimate of global variational parameters as equation 5
 - 9: **until** the ELBO converges
-

Black Box Variational Inference

General model with observations x and parameter θ having joint distribution

$$p(\theta, x) = p(\theta) \prod_{i=1}^N p(x^i | \theta)$$

and variational distribution of θ ,

$$q(\theta) = q(\theta | \lambda)$$

with free parameter λ

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{q(\theta)} \left[\log \frac{p(\theta, x)}{q(\theta)} \right]$$

$$\nabla_{\lambda} \mathcal{L} = \nabla_{\lambda} \int q(\theta) \log \frac{p(\theta, x)}{q(\theta)} d\theta$$

Black Box Variational Inference

Gradient can be obtained using Monte Carlo approximation

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\lambda} \mathcal{L} &= \int \nabla_{\lambda} \log \frac{p(\theta, x)}{q(\theta)} q(\theta) d\theta + \int \log \frac{p(\theta, x)}{q(\theta)} \nabla_{\lambda}(q_{\theta}) d\theta \\ &= 0 + \mathbb{E}_{q(\theta)} \left[\log \frac{p(\theta, x)}{q(\theta)} \nabla_{\lambda} \log q(\theta) \right] \\ &\approx \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{\hat{\theta} \in S} \log \frac{p(\hat{\theta}, x)}{q(\hat{\theta})} \nabla_{\lambda} \log q(\hat{\theta})\end{aligned}$$

Score function $\nabla_{\lambda} \log q(\theta)$. Scalable BBVI with subsampling

$$\nabla_{\lambda} \mathcal{L} \approx \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{\hat{\theta} \in S} \left(\log \frac{p(\hat{\theta}, x)}{q(\hat{\theta})} + N \log p(x_i | \hat{\theta}) \right) \nabla_{\lambda} \log q(\hat{\theta})$$

where $i \sim \text{Uniform}(1, 2, \dots, N)$

Reducing the Variance

Because of two levels of sampling, stochastic version of BBVI has gradient with high variance. Small steps will lead to slow convergence.

Variance reduction methods

- Rao Blackwellization: replacing random variable by replacing with conditional expectation wrt subset of variables.
- Control Variates
- Reparameterization gradient instead of score function gradient

References I



Elaine Angelino, Matthew James Johnson, Ryan P Adams, et al., *Patterns of scalable bayesian inference*, Foundations and Trends® in Machine Learning **9** (2016), no. 2-3, 119–247.



David M Blei, Alp Kucukelbir, and Jon D McAuliffe, *Variational inference: A review for statisticians*, Journal of the American Statistical Association (2017), no. just-accepted.



Matthew D Hoffman, David M Blei, Chong Wang, and John William Paisley, *Stochastic variational inference.*, Journal of Machine Learning Research **14** (2013), no. 1, 1303–1347.



James Martens and Roger B Grosse, *Optimizing neural networks with kronecker-factored approximate curvature.*, ICML, 2015, pp. 2408–2417.



Stephan Mandt, Matthew D Hoffman, and David M Blei, *A variational analysis of stochastic gradient algorithms*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.02666 (2016).



Rajesh Ranganath, Sean Gerrish, and David Blei, *Black box variational inference*, Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, 2014, pp. 814–822.

The End