

Under Gaussian assumption linear regression amounts to least square (ordinary least square)

Debojyoti Mondal

25th February, 2021

Theorem 1. *prove that under Gaussian assumption linear regression amounts to least square*

Proof: Consider the linear model where target variables y_i and the inputs x_i are related via :

$$y_i = \theta^T x_i + \epsilon_i$$

where ϵ_i is the error associated with the model. According to the theorem further assume that the ϵ_i are distributed IID (independently and identically distributed) according to a Gaussian distribution (also called a Normal distribution) with mean zero and some variance σ , i.e.,

$$\epsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

The density of ϵ_i is given by

$$p(\epsilon_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(\epsilon_i^2)}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

This implies that

$$p(y_i - \theta^T x_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(y_i - \theta^T x_i)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

However, the conventional way to write the above probability is

$$p(y_i | x_i; \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(y_i - \theta^T x_i)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

which indicates that this is the distribution of y_i given x_i and parameterized by θ . The distribution of y_i is given as $y_i | x_i; \theta \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta^T x_i, \sigma^2)$

From Bayes Theorem:

$$p(\theta | D) = \frac{p(D | \theta) p(\theta)}{p(D)}$$

Where D is data, $p(\theta|D)$ is posterior probability, $p(\theta)$ is prior probability and $p(\theta|D)$ is data likelihood.

From Maximum Likelihood Estimation(MLE):

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta^* &= \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} L(\theta|D) \\
&= \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} p(\theta|D) \\
&= \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} p(y_1, x_1, y_2, x_2, \dots, y_m, x_m; \theta) \\
&= \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \prod_{i=1}^m p(y_i, x_i; \theta) p(x_i; \theta) \\
&= \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \prod_{i=1}^m p(y_i, x_i; \theta) p(x_i) \\
&= \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \prod_{i=1}^m p(y_i, x_i; \theta) \\
&= \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^m \log p(y_i, x_i; \theta) \\
&= \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^m \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} + \log \exp \left(-\frac{(y_i - \theta^T x_i)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) \\
&= \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \frac{-1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^m \left((y_i - \theta^T x_i)^2 \right) \\
&= \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \frac{-1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left((y_i - \theta^T x_i)^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

The above form represents the least square form.

Therefore it is proved that under Gaussian assumption linear regression amounts to least square (ordinary least square).