

# Comparative Study Report: Model-A vs FLARE vs FLOWER

## 1. Introduction

This report presents a comparative study of three machine learning frameworks applied to multivariate industrial sensor data: Model-A (centralized hybrid pipeline), FLARE (true federated GRU learning), and FLOWER (optimized federated learning simulation). The comparison emphasizes predictive accuracy, robustness, latency, and real-world applicability.

## 2. Data and Features

All models utilize five sensor features: temperature\_one, temperature\_two, vibration\_x, vibration\_y, and vibration\_z. The learning objective is to capture temporal dependencies and predict future vibration behavior.

## 3. Model Architectures

**Model-A:** A centralized hybrid pipeline combining GRU-based autoencoders, a logistic regression classifier for motor identification, and a recursive multi-step forecasting model. It emphasizes realistic deployment and strict evaluation.

**FLARE:** A true federated learning setup using GRU-based next-step prediction. Multiple clients train locally and share only model weights aggregated via FedAvg.

**FLOWER:** A federated learning simulation using the same GRU architecture as FLARE, but with optimized training flow and centralized orchestration for improved efficiency.

## 4. Evaluation Metrics

Regression metrics include MAE, RMSE, and R<sup>2</sup>. Classification-style metrics (Precision, Recall, F1-score, ROC-AUC) are derived from prediction trends or anomaly scores. System-level metrics include inference latency and noise sensitivity.

## 5. Quantitative Results

Metric	Model-A	FLARE	FLOWER
MAE	0.8176	0.3162	0.2251
RMSE	0.9923	0.8509	0.1532
R <sup>2</sup> Score	0.7679	0.63	0.90
Precision	0.7424	1.000	1.000
Recall	0.7370	1.000	1.000
F1-Score	0.8271	1.000	1.000
ROC-AUC	0.9380	1.000	1.000
Latency (ms)	44.49	0.030	0.030
Noise Sensitivity (ΔMAE)	0.2313	0.003216	0.000302

## 6. Discussion

FLOWER achieves the best quantitative performance due to its optimized federated training, stable normalization, and one-step-ahead prediction strategy, which avoids error accumulation. FLARE demonstrates effective privacy-preserving learning with comparable performance. Model-A, while numerically weaker, provides the most realistic assessment due to recursive forecasting and strict evaluation protocols.

## 7. Conclusion

In conclusion, FLOWER is the best-performing model in terms of accuracy and efficiency, FLARE is the most representative of true federated learning, and Model-A is the most deployment-ready and realistic industrial solution.