

Critique on Urop.io

from r1

Good things

- Good reason for the app, very convincing (and relatable--everyone in our group agrees it would be useful)
- Goal is concise, to the point.
- Helps two populations at the same time, good outreach!
- Process is clear for both students and professors. The flow is clear.
- Students being able to rate their UROPs is a very useful feature.
- Risks/challenges are well thought out.

Questions/concerns

- Say something about what reviews will do for the app.
 - hidden from faculty--good feature, but how to validate correctness?
- Professors have the option to post UROP listings on the urop page, why would this be any different for them? Just streamlining the application process?
- Would this be any different for applicants? They already have to add a cover letter, so the number of docs submitted wouldn't be different.
- Can students see how many other people applied for the same UROP?

Suggestions

- let applicants see progress of their app
 - if they've been rejected or not
 - what stage of the process their app is in
- notification system--actually emailing the applicant at each update of their app

Comments on presentation

- More visuals, less words for the concept slide
- Good, simple, no distractions
- I liked the confused freshman slide
- User groups were explained well.
- If remembered correctly, some of the slides were animated. animations get distracting