style=normal(autoLeading=)

A A. Furthermore, a subset of English sentences interesting on quite independent grounds is not quite equivalent to a stipulation to place the constructions text into these various categories. A A. We will bring Ugly Things in favor of The following thesis: most of the methodological work in Modern Linguistics can be text defined in such a way as to impose problems of phonemic and morphological text analysis.

style=normal(autoLeading=min)

<u>A A.</u> Furthermore, a subset of English sentences interesting on quite independent grounds is not quite equivalent to a stipulation to place the constructions text into these various categories. <u>A A.</u> We will bring Ugly Things in favor of The following thesis: most of

the methodological work in Modern Linguistics can be text defined in such a way as to impose problems of phonemic and morphological text analysis.

style=normal(autoLeading=max)

<u>A A.</u> Furthermore, a subset of English sentences interesting on quite independent grounds is not quite equivalent to a stipulation to place the constructions text into these various categories. <u>A A.</u> We will bring Ugly Things in favor of The following thesis: most of

the methodological work in Modern Linguistics can be text defined in such a way as to impose problems of phonemic and morphological text analysis.

style=normal\_sp(autoLeading=)

<u>A A.</u> Furthermore, a subset of English sentences interesting on quite independent grounds is not quite equivalent to a stipulation to place the constructions text into these various categories. <u>A A.</u> We will bring Ugly Things in favor of The following thesis: most of the methodological work in Modern Linguistics can be text defined in such a way as to impose problems of phonemic and morphological text analysis.

style=normal\_sp(autoLeading=min)

<u>A A.</u> Furthermore, a subset of English sentences interesting on quite independent grounds is not quite equivalent to a stipulation to place the constructions into these various categories. <u>A A.</u> We will bring Ugly Things in favor of The following thesis: most of

the methodological work in Modern Linguistics can be text defined in such a way as to impose problems of phonemic and morphological text analysis.

style=normal\_sp(autoLeading=max)


<u>A A.</u> Furthermore, a subset of English sentences interesting on quite independent grounds is not quite equivalent to a stipulation to place the constructions text into these various categories. <u>A A.</u> We will bring Ugly Things in favor of The following thesis: most of

the methodological work in Modern Linguistics can be text defined in such a way as to impose problems of phonemic and morphological text analysis.

Text image is very first thing in the line.

some text.... some more.... some text.... some more....

teret some text
some text some more some more
text
some text some more some more
baseline: Furthermore, a <u>subset</u> of English sentences interesting on quite independent grounds
is not quite equivalent to a stipulation to place the constructions into these <u>various</u> categories.  We will bring <b>Ugly Things</b> in favor of The following thesis: most of the methodological work in Modern Linguistics can be defined in such a way as to impose problems of phonemic and
morphological analysis.
baseline: Furthermore, a <u>subset</u> of English sentences interesting on quite independent grounds is not quite equivalent to a stipulation to place
the constructions into these <u>various</u> categories. We will bring Ugly Things in favor of
The following thesis: most of the methodological work in Modern Linguistics can be defined in such a way as to impose problems of
phonemic and <u>morphological</u> <del>analysis</del> . sub: Furthermore, a <u>subset</u> ef English sentences interesting on quite independent grounds is
Sub. Furthermore, a <u>subset</u> of English Schlenesting on quite independent grounds is
not quite equivalent to a stipulation to place the constructions into these <u>various</u> categories.
We will bring $Ugly\ Things$ in favor of The following thesis: most of the methodological work in
Modern Linguistics can be defined in such a way as to impose problems of phonemic and morphological analysis.
sub: Furthermore, a subset of English sentences interesting on quite independent grounds is not quite equivalent to a stipulation to place
the constructions into these <u>various</u> categories. We will bring Ugly Things in favor of
The following thesis: most of the methodological work in Modern Linguistics can be defined in such a way as to impose problems of
phonemic and <u>morphological</u> <del>analysis</del> . super: Furthermore, a <u>subset</u> ef English sentences interesting on quite independent grounds is
Super. Furthermore, a <u>subset</u> of LTIGITSTT SCITICITICS interesting on quite independent grounds is
not quite equivalent to a stipulation to place the constructions into these various categories.
We will bring ${\sf Ugly\ Things}$ in favor of The following thesis: most of the methodological work in
Modern Linguistics can be defined in such a way as to impose problems of phonemic and
morphological <del>analysis</del> . super: Furthermore, a <u>subset</u> of English sentences interesting on quite
Super. I utilierinore, a <u>subset</u> or Linguisti Scrittoriocs interesting on quite
independent grounds is not quite equivalent to a stipulation to place
the constructions into these <u>various</u> categories. We will bring Ugly Things in favor of
The following thesis: most of the methodological work in Modern Linguistics can be defined in such a way as to impose problems of
phonemic and morphological analysis.



bottom: Furthermore, a subset of English sentences interesting on quite
independent grounds is not quite equivalent to a stipulation to place
the constructions into these <u>various</u> categories. We will bring Ugly Things in favor of
The following thesis: most of the methodological work in Modern
Linguistics can be defined in such a way as to impose problems of
phonemic and morphological analysis. text-bottom: Furthermore, a subset of English sentences interesting on quite independent
text-bottom. Furthermore, a subset of English Semences interesting on quite independent
grounds is not quite equivalent to a stipulation to place the constructions into these various
categories. We will bring Ugly Things in favor of The following thesis: most of the
methodological work in Modern Linguistics can be defined in such a way as to impose problems of
phonemic and morphological analysis. text-bottom: Furthermore, a subset of English sentences interesting on quite
tox bottom: I distribute, a <u>casses.</u> of <b>211gile11</b> control of intercoding on quite
independent grounds is not quite equivalent to a stipulation to place
the constructions into these <u>various</u> categories. We will bring Ugly Things in favor of
The following thesis: most of the methodological work in Modern Linguistics can be defined in such a way as to impose problems of
phonemic and morphological analysis.
0%: Furthermore, a subset of English sentences interesting on quite independent grounds is
not quite equivalent to a stipulation to place the constructions into these <u>various</u> categories.
We will bring Ugly Things in favor of The following thesis: most of the methodological work in
Modern Linguistics can be defined in such a way as to impose problems of phonemic and
morphological <del>analysis</del> .
0%: Furthermore, a subset of English sentences interesting on quite
independent grounds is not quite equivalent to a stipulation to place
the constructions into these <u>various</u> categories. We will bring Ugly Things in favor of
The following thesis: most of the methodological work in Modern
Linguistics can be defined in such a way as to impose problems of
phonemic and morphological analysis.  2in: Furthermore, a subset of English sentences interesting on quite independent grounds is
Zm: Furthermore, a subset of LTIGITSTT Settle TiCes interesting on quite independent grounds is

the constructions into these  $\underline{\text{various}}$  categories.

not quite equivalent to a stipulation to place

We will bring Ugly Things in favor of The following thesis: most of the methodological work in Modern Linguistics can be defined in such a way as to impose problems of phonemic and morphological analysis.

2in: Furthermore, a subset of English sentences interesting on quite



independent grounds is not quite equivalent to a stipulation to place the constructions into these <u>various</u> categories. We will bring **Ugly Things** in favor of The following thesis: most of the methodological work in Modern Linguistics can be defined in such a way as to impose problems of phonemic and <u>morphological</u> <del>analysis</del>.

Some Paragraph tests of <sup rise="pts" size="pts"...

```
This is a sup
This is a <sup>sup</sup>.
This is a <sup>sup</sup>rise=5.
This is a suprise=5.
This is a suprise=6.
This is a suprise=6.
This is a suprise=7.
This is a suprise=7.
This is a suprise=7.
This is a suprise=8.
This is a suprise=8.
This is a suprise=8.
This is a <sub>sup</sub>rise=9.
This is a
                        rise=9.
This is a <sup>sup</sup>size=7.
This is a <sup>sup</sup>size=7.
This is a suprise=5 size=7.

This is a suprise=5 size=7.

This is a suprise=6 size=7.

This is a suprise=6 size=7.

This is a suprise=6 size=7.

This is a suprise=7 size=7.

This is a suprise=7 size=7.
This is a sup rise=7 size=7.
This is a suprise=8 size=7.
This is a suprise=8 size=7.
This is a <sub>sup</sub>rise=9 size=7.
This is a suprise=9 size=7.
This is a suprise=90% size=70%.
This is a rise=-2 size=-2. This is a suprise=-4 size=-3.
```

