

deBridge -Multisignature

Solana Program Security Audit

Prepared by: Halborn

Date of Engagement: March 29th, 2022 - April 19th, 2022

Visit: Halborn.com

DOCU	MENT REVISION HISTORY	3
CONT	ACTS	3
1	EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW	4
1.1	INTRODUCTION	5
1.2	AUDIT SUMMARY	5
1.3	TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY	5
	RISK METHODOLOGY	6
1.4	SCOPE	8
2	ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW	9
3	FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS	10
3.1	(HAL-01) ARITHMETIC ERRORS - MEDIUM	12
	Description	12
	Code Location	12
	Risk Level	15
	Recommendation	15
	Remediation Plan	15
3.2	(HAL-02) TAUTOLOGY EXPRESSIONS - LOW	16
	Description	16
	Code Example Location	17
	Risk Level	17
	Recommendation	18
	Remediation Plan	18
3.3	(HAL-03) USAGE OF VULNERABLE CRATES - LOW	19
	Description	19

	Code Location	19
	Risk Level	19
	Recommendation	19
	Remediation Plan	19
4	AUTOMATED TESTING	20
4.1	VULNERABILITIES AUTOMATIC DETECTION	21
	Description	21
	cargo-audit results	21
4.2	AUTOMATED VULNERABILITY SCANNING	22
	Description	22
4.3	UNSAFE RUST CODE DETECTION	23
	Description	23
	Poculto	22

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

VERSION	MODIFICATION	DATE	AUTHOR
0.1	Document Creation	04/18/2022	Mateusz Garncarek
0.2	Document Edit	04/19/2022	Mateusz Garncarek
0.3	Draft Review	04/19/2022	Gabi Urrutia
1.0	Remediation Plan	05/12/2022	Mateusz Garncarek
1.1	Remediation Plan Review	05/19/2022	Gabi Urrutia

CONTACTS

CONTACT COMPANY		EMAIL
Rob Behnke	Halborn	Rob.Behnke@halborn.com
Steven Walbroehl	Halborn	Steven.Walbroehl@halborn.com
Gabi Urrutia	Halborn	Gabi.Urrutia@halborn.com
Mateusz Garncarek	Halborn	Mateusz.Garncarek@halborn.com

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

1.1 INTRODUCTION

deBridge is a cross-chain interoperability and liquidity transfer protocol that allows decentralized transfer of assets between various blockchains. The cross-chain intercommunication of deBridge programs is powered by the network of independent oracles/validators which are elected by deBridge governance.

deBridge engaged Halborn to conduct a security assessment on their Solana programs beginning on March 29th, 2022 and ending April 19th, 2022. The security assessment was scoped to the Solana programs provided in the debridge-finance/de-multi-signature GitHub repository.

1.2 AUDIT SUMMARY

The team at Halborn was provided two weeks for the engagement and assigned one full-time security engineer to audit the security of the Solana programs. The security engineer is a blockchain, smart contract and Solana program security expert with advanced penetration testing, smart-contract hacking, and deep knowledge of multiple blockchain protocols.

The purpose of this audit to achieve the following:

- Ensure that Solana programs functions are intended.
- Identify potential security issues with the Solana programs.

In summary, Halborn identified some security risks that were addressed and acknowledged by the deBridge team.

1.3 TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

Halborn performed a combination of manual review of the code and automated security testing to balance efficiency, timeliness, practicality, and

accuracy in regard to the scope of the Solana program audit. While manual testing is recommended to uncover flaws in logic, process, and implementation; automated testing techniques help enhance coverage of Solana programs and can quickly identify items that do not follow security best practices. The following phases and associated tools were used throughout the term of the audit:

- Research into architecture, purpose, and use of the platform.
- Manual code review and walkthrough.
- Manual assessment of use and safety for the critical Rust variables and functions in scope to identify any arithmetic related vulnerability classes.
- Manual assessment to determine access control issues such as missing ownership checks, missing signer checks, and Solana account confusions.
- Fuzz testing. (Halborn custom fuzzing tool).
- Scanning of Rust files for vulnerabilities (cargo audit).
- Detecting usage of unsafe Rust code (cargo-geiger).
- Scanning for common Solana vulnerabilities (soteria).

RISK METHODOLOGY:

Vulnerabilities or issues observed by Halborn are ranked based on the risk assessment methodology by measuring the LIKELIHOOD of a security incident and the IMPACT should an incident occur. This framework works for communicating the characteristics and impacts of technology vulnerabilities. The quantitative model ensures repeatable and accurate measurement while enabling users to see the underlying vulnerability characteristics that were used to generate the Risk scores. For every vulnerability, a risk level will be calculated on a scale of 5 to 1 with 5 being the highest likelihood or impact.

RISK SCALE - LIKELIHOOD

- 5 Almost certain an incident will occur.
- 4 High probability of an incident occurring.
- 3 Potential of a security incident in the long term.

- 2 Low probability of an incident occurring.
- 1 Very unlikely issue will cause an incident.

RISK SCALE - IMPACT

- 5 May cause devastating and unrecoverable impact or loss.
- 4 May cause a significant level of impact or loss.
- 3 May cause a partial impact or loss to many.
- 2 May cause temporary impact or loss.
- 1 May cause minimal or un-noticeable impact.

The risk level is then calculated using a sum of these two values, creating a value of 10 to 1 with 10 being the highest level of security risk.

CRITICAL	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
----------	------	--------	-----	---------------

10 - CRITICAL

9 - 8 - HIGH

7 - 6 - MEDIUM

5 - 4 - LOW

3 - 1 - VERY LOW AND INFORMATIONAL

1.4 SCOPE

- 1. Repository: debridge-finance/de-multi-signature
- 2. Commits:
 - (a) Initial Commit: 21bef49fba944a791b771a8f53ab702ae1264263
 - (b) Final Commit: ccdb347082cfaace9ccb02aba0e1ab5dd1f84412
- 3. Programs in-scope:
 - (a) de-multi-signature
 - (b) de-program-updater

OUT-OF-SCOPE:

- Other Solana programs in the repository
- Economics attacks
- Third-party dependencies

2. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW

CRITICAL	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
0	0	1	2	0

LIKELIHOOD

(HAL-01)

(HAL-02)

(HAL-03)

SECURITY ANALYSIS	RISK LEVEL	REMEDIATION DATE
(HAL-01) ARITHMETIC ERRORS	Medium	SOLVED - 10/05/2022
(HAL-02) TAUTOLOGY EXPRESSIONS	Low	ACKNOWLEDGED
(HAL-03) USAGE OF VULNERABLE CRATES	Low	ACKNOWLEDGED

FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS

3.1 (HAL-01) ARITHMETIC ERRORS - MEDIUM

Description:

Serious arithmetic errors include integer overflow/underflow. In computer programming, integer overflow/underflow occurs when an arithmetic operation attempts to create a numeric value that is outside the range that can be represented by a given number of bits, either greater than the maximum or less than the minimum representable value. Although integer overflows and underflows do not cause Rust to panic in the release mode, the consequences could be dire if the result of those operations is used in financial calculations.

Code Location:

Integer Overflow/Underflow

```
Listing 1: programs/de-multi-signature/lib.rs (Line 1313)
305 impl<'info> RegisterChange for Account<'info, IntegrityChecker> {
       fn register_changes <S: TryBorrowProposalStorage >(
           &self,
           proposal_storage: &S,
           offset: usize,
           change_len: NonZeroUsize,
       ) -> Result < Hash > {
           let end_chunk = (offset + change_len.get()) /
 LEAF_CHUNK_SIZE;
           let storage = proposal_storage.try_borrow_proposal_storage
→ ()?;
           IntegrityChecker::update_hash_sum(
                self,
                IntegrityChecker::calculate_slot(&storage.as_ref(),

    begin_chunk)?,
                    None
```

```
Listing 3: programs/de-program-updater/lib.rs (Line 415)

408 impl<'info> CalculateSlot for AccountInfo<'info> {

409     fn calculate_slot(&self, index: usize) -> Result<Hash> {

410         let storage = self.try_borrow_data()?;

411         let offset =
```

Division

```
Listing 4: programs/de-multi-signature/lib.rs (Lines 1312,1313)
305 impl<'info> RegisterChange for Account<'info, IntegrityChecker> {
       fn register_changes <S: TryBorrowProposalStorage >(
            &self,
            proposal_storage: &S,
            offset: usize,
            change_len: NonZeroUsize,
       ) -> Result < Hash > {
            let end_chunk = (offset + change_len.get()) /

    LEAF_CHUNK_SIZE;

↳ ()?;
            IntegrityChecker::update_hash_sum(
                self,
                IntegrityChecker::calculate_slot(&storage.as_ref(),

    begin_chunk)?,
                } else {
                    Some(IntegrityChecker::calculate_slot()
                        &storage.as_ref(),
                    )?)
                },
            )?;
            self.try_get_proposal_hash()
       }
```

330 }

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 3 Impact - 3

Recommendation:

It is recommended to use safe and verified math libraries (such as checked_add, checked_div) for consistent arithmetic operations throughout the Solana program system. Consider using Rust safe arithmetic functions for primitives instead of standard arithmetic operators.

References

Safe arithmetic operations for primitives: u8, u32, u64.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The DeBridge team fixed this issue in commit ccdb347082cfaace9ccb02aba0e1ab5dd1f84412.

3.2 (HAL-02) TAUTOLOGY EXPRESSIONS - LOW

Description:

Some accounts initialized by several instruction handlers derive their addresses from user-supplied seeds and bumps. Anchor simplifies account initialization by providing a collection of #[account] attribute macro parameters, including seed and bump. Those two are used when the address of the account to be initialized is a PDA.

Anchor allows program developers to have users provide bumps that are used in PDA generation. In such case, the attribute might look like so:

```
Listing 5

1 #[ account (init , seeds = [seed1 , seed2 ], bump = bump )]
```

Alternatively, programs may calculate bumps automatically:

```
Listing 6

1 #[ account (init , seeds = [seed1 , seed2 ], bump )]
```

In either case, the bump should be equal to the one calculated with the Pubkey::find_program_address function.

According to official Solana documentation there's a 50/50 percent chance of generating a correct address given a collection of seeds and a u8 bump, which means there could be over 120 valid bumps for a given collection of seeds.

Considering all the above, having users to provide bumps is not only unnecessary but also misleading as valid bumps are rejected by the framework.

Code Example Location:

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 2 Impact - 2

Recommendation:

Use Anchor to find the bump and generate the derived address and change, for example in the ExecuteProposal struct like so:

This ensures the bump value is calculated with the Pubkey:: find_program_address function.

Remediation Plan:

ACKNOWLEDGED: The DeBridge team acknowledged this finding.

3.3 (HAL-03) USAGE OF VULNERABLE CRATES - LOW

Description:

It was observed that the project uses crates with known vulnerabilities.

Code Location:

ID	package	short description
RUSTSEC-2020-0159	chrono	potential segfault in localtimer invocations
RUSTSEC-2022-0013	regex	denial of service
RUSTSEC-2020-0071	time	potential-segfault in the time crate

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 4

Impact - 1

Recommendation:

Even if those vulnerable crates cannot impact the underlying application, it is advised to be aware of them. Also, it is necessary to set up dependency monitoring to always be alerted when a new vulnerability is disclosed in one of the project crates.

Remediation Plan:

ACKNOWLEDGED: The DeBridge team acknowledged this finding.

AUTOMATED TESTING

4.1 VULNERABILITIES AUTOMATIC DETECTION

Description:

Halborn used automated security scanners to assist with detection of well-known security issues and vulnerabilities. Among the tools used was cargo audit and Soteria. All vulnerabilities published in https://crates.io are stored in a repository named The RustSec Advisory Database. cargo audit is a human-readable version of the advisory database which performs a scanning on Cargo.lock. Security Detections are only in scope. All vulnerabilities shown here were already disclosed in the above report. However, to better assist the developers maintaining this code, the auditors are including the output with the dependencies tree, and this is included in the cargo audit output to better know the dependencies affected by unmaintained and vulnerable crates. Soteria performed a scan on all the programs and sent the compiled results to the analyzers to locate any vulnerabilities.

cargo-audit results:

ID	package	short description
RUSTSEC-2020-0159	chrono	potential segfault in localtimer invocations
RUSTSEC-2022-0013	regex	denial of service
RUSTSEC-2020-0071	time	potential-segfault in the time crate

4.2 AUTOMATED VULNERABILITY SCANNING

Description:

Halborn used automated security scanners to assist with detection of well-known security issues, and to identify low-hanging fruits on the targets for this engagement. Among the tools used was Soteria, a security analysis service for Solana programs. Soteria had scanned the programs in scope and sent the compiled results to the analyzers to look for vulnerabilities.

de-multi-signature

de-program-updater

```
Analyzing /workspace/programs/de-program-updater/.coderrect/build/bpfel-unknown-unknown/release/deps/de_program_pdater.ll ...

Cargo.toml: anchor_lang version: 0.24.2 anchorVersionTocOld: 0

- / [00m:00s] Loading IR From File

- # [00m:00s] Running Compiler Optimization Passes
EntryPoints:
entryPoints:
entrypoint

- / [00m:00s] Running Compiler Optimization Passes

- / [00m:00s] Running Pointer Analysis

- / [00m:00s] Building Static Happens-Before Graph

- / [00m:00s] Detecting Vulnerabilities
detected 0 untrustful accounts in total.
detected 0 unsafe math operations in total.

-------The summary of potential vulnerabilities in de_program_updater.ll-------

No vulnerabilities detected
```

4.3 UNSAFE RUST CODE DETECTION

Description:

Halborn used automated security scanners to assist with the detection of well-known security issues and vulnerabilities. Among the tools used was cargo-geiger, a security tool that lists statistics related to the usage of unsafe Rust code in a core Rust codebase and all its dependencies.

Results:

There are too many nested crates, therefore only crates 4 levels deep and above are shown.

de-multi-signature

de-program-updater

THANK YOU FOR CHOOSING

