

DeBridge - DLN EVM Bridge 1.3.0

Smart Contract Security Assessment

Prepared by: Halborn

Date of Engagement: October 16th, 2023 - November 1st, 2023

Visit: Halborn.com

DOCU	MENT REVISION HISTORY	5
CONT	ACTS	5
1	EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW	6
1.1	INTRODUCTION	7
1.2	ASSESSMENT SUMMARY	8
1.3	TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY	9
2	RISK METHODOLOGY	10
2.1	EXPLOITABILITY	11
2.2	IMPACT	12
2.3	SEVERITY COEFFICIENT	14
2.4	SCOPE	16
3	ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW	17
4	FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS	18
4.1	(HAL-01) MULTIPLE ORDERS COULD GET PERMANENTLY STUCK IN TO DLNSOURCE CONTRACT DUE TO THE CLAIMBATCHUNLOCK() FUNCTION LOW(3.1)	
	Description	20
	BVSS	24
	Recommendation	24
	Remediation Plan	24
4.2	(HAL-02) EXTERNALCALLEXECUTOR PROHIBITED SELECTORS ARE NOT VALUE TO THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRA	AL- 25
	Description	25
	Proof of Concept	27
	BVSS	28

	Recommendation	28
	Remediation Plan	29
4.3	(HAL-03) MAKERS COULD ABUSE THE EXTERNAL CALL FEATURE TO FOR TAKERS INTO FULFILLING EXTRA ORDERS ON THEIR BEHALF - LOW(2: 30	
	Description	30
	BVSS	32
	Recommendation	32
	Remediation Plan	32
4.4	(HAL-04) INCOMPATIBILITY WITH REVERT ON ZERO TRANSFER TOKENS THE CLAIMBATCHUNLOCK() FUNCTION - LOW(4.2)	IN 34
	Description	34
	BVSS	36
	Recommendation	36
	Remediation Plan	36
4.5	(HAL-05) TAKERS COULD BE GAS GRIEFED BY MAKERS IF THEY DO N SET AN ACCURATE GAS LIMIT UPON FULFILLING AN ORDER - LOW(2: 38	
	Description	38
	BVSS	39
	Recommendation	39
	Remediation Plan	39
4.6	(HAL-06) AFFILIATE FEE INCOMPATIBILITY WITH NON-STANDARD ERG TOKENS - LOW(2.5)	C20 40
	Description	40
	BVSS	42
	Recommendation	42
	Remediation Plan	42

4.7	(HAL-07) SOME MULTISIGNATURE WALLETS REQUIRE MORE THAN 2300 G TO RECEIVE A NATIVE ASSET TRANSFER - LOW(2.5)	SAS 44
	Description	44
	References	46
	BVSS	46
	Recommendation	46
	Remediation Plan	46
4.8	(HAL-08) CHECK EFFECTS INTERACTION PATTERN IS NOT RESPECTED THE EXECUTECALL() FUNCTION - INFORMATIONAL(0.0)	IN 47
	Description	47
	BVSS	48
	Recommendation	48
	Remediation Plan	48
4.9	(HAL-09) MAKER COULD TEMPORARY BLOCK ANY TAKER FROM FULFILLI HIS ORDERS - INFORMATIONAL(0.0)	NG 49
	Description	49
	BVSS	49
	Recommendation	49
	Remediation Plan	49
4.10	(HAL-10) STATE VARIABLES MISSING IMMUTABLE MODIFIER - INFORM TIONAL(0.0)	1A- 50
	Description	50
	BVSS	50
	Recommendation	50
	Remediation Plan	50
5	RECOMMENDATIONS OVERVIEW	51
6	UPGRADE TO 1.3.0 VERSION CHECKS	53

7	AUTOMATED TESTING	58
7.1	STATIC ANALYSIS REPORT	59
	Description	59
	Slither results	59

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

VERSION	MODIFICATION	DATE
0.1	Document Creation	10/16/2023
0.2	Document Updates	10/31/2023
0.3	Draft Review	11/01/2023
0.4	Draft Review	11/01/2023
1.0	Remediation Plan	11/24/2023
1.1	Remediation Plan Review	11/24/2023

CONTACTS

CONTACT	COMPANY	EMAIL	
Rob Behnke	Halborn	Rob.Behnke@halborn.com	
Steven Walbroehl	Halborn	Steven.Walbroehl@halborn.com	
Gabi Urrutia	Halborn	Gabi.Urrutia@halborn.com	

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

1.1 INTRODUCTION

DeBridge engaged Halborn to conduct a security assessment on their smart contracts beginning on October 16th, 2023 and ending on November 1st, 2023. The security assessment was scoped to the smart contracts provided in the following GitHub repositories:

• debridge-finance/dln-evm/.

1.2 ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

The team at Halborn was provided two weeks for the engagement and assigned a full-time security engineer to verify the security of the smart contracts. The security engineer is a blockchain and smart-contract security expert with advanced penetration testing, smart-contract hacking, and deep knowledge of multiple blockchain protocols.

The purpose of this assessment is to:

- Ensure that smart contract functions operate as intended.
- Identify potential security issues with the smart contracts.

In summary, Halborn identified some security risks that were mostly addressed by the DeBridge team.

1.3 TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

Halborn performed a combination of manual and automated security testing to balance efficiency, timeliness, practicality, and accuracy in regard to the scope of this assessment. While manual testing is recommended to uncover flaws in logic, process, and implementation; automated testing techniques help enhance coverage of the code and can quickly identify items that do not follow the security best practices. The following phases and associated tools were used during the assessment:

- Research into architecture and purpose.
- Smart contract manual code review and walkthrough.
- Graphing out functionality and contract logic/connectivity/functions. (solgraph)
- Manual assessment of use and safety for the critical Solidity variables and functions in scope to identify any arithmetic related vulnerability classes.
- Manual testing by custom scripts.
- Static Analysis of security for scoped contract, and imported functions. (Slither)
- Testnet deployment. (Foundry)

2. RISK METHODOLOGY

Every vulnerability and issue observed by Halborn is ranked based on **two sets** of **Metrics** and a **Severity Coefficient**. This system is inspired by the industry standard Common Vulnerability Scoring System.

The two Metric sets are: Exploitability and Impact. Exploitability captures the ease and technical means by which vulnerabilities can be exploited and Impact describes the consequences of a successful exploit.

The **Severity Coefficients** is designed to further refine the accuracy of the ranking with two factors: **Reversibility** and **Scope**. These capture the impact of the vulnerability on the environment as well as the number of users and smart contracts affected.

The final score is a value between 0-10 rounded up to 1 decimal place and 10 corresponding to the highest security risk. This provides an objective and accurate rating of the severity of security vulnerabilities in smart contracts.

The system is designed to assist in identifying and prioritizing vulnerabilities based on their level of risk to address the most critical issues in a timely manner.

2.1 EXPLOITABILITY

Attack Origin (AO):

Captures whether the attack requires compromising a specific account.

Attack Cost (AC):

Captures the cost of exploiting the vulnerability incurred by the attacker relative to sending a single transaction on the relevant blockchain. Includes but is not limited to financial and computational cost.

Attack Complexity (AX):

Describes the conditions beyond the attacker's control that must exist in order to exploit the vulnerability. Includes but is not limited to macro situation, available third-party liquidity and regulatory challenges.

Metrics:

Exploitability Metric (m_E)	Metric Value	Numerical Value
Attack Origin (AO)	Arbitrary (AO:A)	1
Actack Origin (AO)	Specific (AO:S)	0.2
	Low (AC:L)	1
Attack Cost (AC)	Medium (AC:M)	0.67
	High (AC:H)	0.33
	Low (AX:L)	1
Attack Complexity (AX)	Medium (AX:M)	0.67
	High (AX:H)	0.33

Exploitability ${\it E}$ is calculated using the following formula:

$$E = \prod m_e$$

2.2 IMPACT

Confidentiality (C):

Measures the impact to the confidentiality of the information resources managed by the contract due to a successfully exploited vulnerability. Confidentiality refers to limiting access to authorized users only.

Integrity (I):

Measures the impact to integrity of a successfully exploited vulnerability. Integrity refers to the trustworthiness and veracity of data stored and/or processed on-chain. Integrity impact directly affecting Deposit or Yield records is excluded.

Availability (A):

Measures the impact to the availability of the impacted component resulting from a successfully exploited vulnerability. This metric refers to smart contract features and functionality, not state. Availability impact directly affecting Deposit or Yield is excluded.

Deposit (D):

Measures the impact to the deposits made to the contract by either users or owners.

Yield (Y):

Measures the impact to the yield generated by the contract for either users or owners.

Metrics:

Impact Metric (m_I)	Metric Value	Numerical Value
	None (I:N)	0
	Low (I:L)	0.25
Confidentiality (C)	Medium (I:M)	0.5
	High (I:H)	0.75
	Critical (I:C)	1
	None (I:N)	0
	Low (I:L)	0.25
Integrity (I)	Medium (I:M)	0.5
	High (I:H)	0.75
	Critical (I:C)	1
	None (A:N)	0
	Low (A:L)	0.25
Availability (A)	Medium (A:M)	0.5
	High (A:H)	0.75
	Critical	1
	None (D:N)	0
	Low (D:L)	0.25
Deposit (D)	Medium (D:M)	0.5
	High (D:H)	0.75
	Critical (D:C)	1
	None (Y:N)	0
	Low (Y:L)	0.25
Yield (Y)	Medium: (Y:M)	0.5
	High: (Y:H)	0.75
	Critical (Y:H)	1

Impact ${\it I}$ is calculated using the following formula:

$$I = max(m_I) + \frac{\sum m_I - max(m_I)}{4}$$

2.3 SEVERITY COEFFICIENT

Reversibility (R):

Describes the share of the exploited vulnerability effects that can be reversed. For upgradeable contracts, assume the contract private key is available.

Scope (S):

Captures whether a vulnerability in one vulnerable contract impacts resources in other contracts.

Coefficient (C)	Coefficient Value	Numerical Value
	None (R:N)	1
Reversibility (r)	Partial (R:P)	0.5
	Full (R:F)	0.25
Scono (a)	Changed (S:C)	1.25
Scope (s)	Unchanged (S:U)	1

Severity Coefficient C is obtained by the following product:

C = rs

The Vulnerability Severity Score ${\cal S}$ is obtained by:

S = min(10, EIC * 10)

The score is rounded up to 1 decimal places.

Severity	Score Value Range
Critical	9 - 10
High	7 - 8.9
Medium	4.5 - 6.9
Low	2 - 4.4
Informational	0 - 1.9

2.4 SCOPE

1. IN-SCOPE TREE & COMMIT:

The security assessment was scoped to the following PR:

GitHub repository: debridge-finance/dln-evm/

Audited Commit ID: 96973018b442c21c6f0ece4c300d97abd01bdf9b **Fix Commit ID:** 649c00dd72bf19817d81a8c8320b0a558c304423

Smart contracts in scope:

- DlnBase.sol
- DlnDestination.sol
- DlnSource.sol
- DlnExternalCallAdapter.sol
- AAVECallExecutor.sol
- WidoCallExecutor.sol
- ExternalCallExecutor.sol
- ExternalCallExecutorBase.sol
- BytesLib.sol
- DlnExternalCallLib.sol
- DlnOrderLib.sol
- EncodeSolanaDlnMessage.sol
- SafeCast.sol

3. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW

CRITICAL	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
0	0	0	7	3

SECURITY ANALYSIS	RISK LEVEL	REMEDIATION DATE
(HAL-01) MULTIPLE ORDERS COULD GET PERMANENTLY STUCK IN THE DLNSOURCE CONTRACT DUE TO THE CLAIMBATCHUNLOCK() FUNCTION	Low (3.1)	RISK ACCEPTED
(HAL-02) EXTERNALCALLEXECUTOR PROHIBITED SELECTORS ARE NOT VALIDATED CORRECTLY	Low (2.5)	SOLVED - 11/24/2023
(HAL-03) MAKERS COULD ABUSE THE EXTERNAL CALL FEATURE TO FORCE TAKERS INTO FULFILLING EXTRA ORDERS ON THEIR BEHALF	Low (2.5)	RISK ACCEPTED
(HAL-04) INCOMPATIBILITY WITH REVERT ON ZERO TRANSFER TOKENS IN THE CLAIMBATCHUNLOCK() FUNCTION	Low (4.2)	SOLVED - 11/24/2023
(HAL-05) TAKERS COULD BE GAS GRIEFED BY MAKERS IF THEY DO NOT SET AN ACCURATE GAS LIMIT UPON FULFILLING AN ORDER	Low (2.5)	RISK ACCEPTED
(HAL-06) AFFILIATE FEE INCOMPATIBILITY WITH NON-STANDARD ERC20 TOKENS	Low (2.5)	RISK ACCEPTED
(HAL-07) SOME MULTISIGNATURE WALLETS REQUIRE MORE THAN 2300 GAS TO RECEIVE A NATIVE ASSET TRANSFER	Low (2.5)	SOLVED - 11/24/2023
(HAL-08) CHECK EFFECTS INTERACTION PATTERN IS NOT RESPECTED IN THE EXECUTECALL() FUNCTION	Informational (0.0)	SOLVED - 11/24/2023
(HAL-09) MAKER COULD TEMPORARY BLOCK ANY TAKER FROM FULFILLING HIS ORDERS	Informational (0.0)	ACKNOWLEDGED
(HAL-10) STATE VARIABLES MISSING IMMUTABLE MODIFIER	Informational (0.0)	ACKNOWLEDGED

FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS

4.1 (HAL-01) MULTIPLE ORDERS COULD GET PERMANENTLY STUCK IN THE DLNSOURCE CONTRACT DUE TO THE CLAIMBATCHUNLOCK() FUNCTION - LOW (3.1)

Commit IDs affected:

- 96973018b442c21c6f0ece4c300d97abd01bdf9b

Description:

In the DlnDestination contract, the function sendBatchEvmUnlock() is used to unlock multiple orders that have been filled on the EVM chain, but haven't been unlocked yet. This function sends a batch unlock request using the _orderIds provided:

```
Listing 1: DlnDestination.sol (Line 332)
311 function sendBatchEvmUnlock(
       bytes32[] memory _orderIds,
       address _beneficiary,
       uint256 _executionFee
315 ) external payable nonReentrant whenNotPaused {
       if (_orderIds.length == 0) revert UnexpectedBatchSize();
       if (_orderIds.length > maxOrderCountPerBatchEvmUnlock) revert
uint256 giveChainId;
       uint256 length = _orderIds.length;
       for (uint256 i; i < length; ++i) {
           uint256 currentGiveChainId = _prepareOrderStateForUnlock(
→ _orderIds[i], DlnOrderLib.ChainEngine.EVM);
           if (i == 0) {
               giveChainId = currentGiveChainId;
           }
           else {
```

After this call is executed, the different validators will generate the signatures, which can be used by the taker/keepers to call the DeBridgeGate.claim() function in the receiving chain. This function, internally, calls the DlnSource.claimBatchUnlock() function:

```
Listing 2: DlnSource.sol (Lines 273,300)

268 /**

269 * @dev Processes a batch of unlock orders originating from the L, order's take chain.

270 * @param _orderIds An array containing the IDs of the orders to L, be unlocked.

271 * @param _beneficiary The address that will receive the assets L, from the unlocked orders.

272 * # Restrictions

273 * This function can only be called through the debridge's external call mechanism,

274 * ensuring it's invoked by a validated native sender.

275 */

276 function claimBatchUnlock(bytes32[] memory _orderIds, address L, _beneficiary)
```

```
external
       whenNotPaused
280 {
       uint256 submissionChainIdFrom = _onlyDlnDestinationAddress();
       address distinctGiveToken;
       uint256 distinctGiveTokenAmount;
       uint256 length = _orderIds.length;
       for (uint256 i; i < length; ++i) {
           bytes32 orderId = _orderIds[i];
           GiveOrderState storage orderState = giveOrders[orderId];
           if (i == 0) {
               distinctGiveToken = address(orderState.

    giveTokenAddress);
           uint256 amountToPay = _claimUnlock(orderId, _beneficiary,
if (amountToPay != 0) {
               if (distinctGiveToken != address(orderState.
   giveTokenAddress)) {
                   _safeTransferEthOrToken(distinctGiveToken,
                   distinctGiveToken = address(orderState.

    giveTokenAddress);
                   distinctGiveTokenAmount = amountToPay;
               } else {
                   distinctGiveTokenAmount += amountToPay;
               }
       if (distinctGiveTokenAmount != 0) {
           _safeTransferEthOrToken(distinctGiveToken, _beneficiary,

    distinctGiveTokenAmount);
311 }
```

Although, if one single transfer fails, the whole transaction would revert. As such, we can think of the following scenario:

1. Maker creates 2 orders on the Ethereum mainnet.

```
Order1:
    giveTokenAddress = address(contract_USDCETH);
    giveAmount = 100e6;
    takeTokenAddress = abi.encodePacked(contract_USDCARB);
    takeAmount = 100e6;
    takeChainId = 42161; (ARBITRUM)

Order2:
    giveTokenAddress = address(contract_USDTETH);
    giveAmount = 100e6;
    takeTokenAddress = abi.encodePacked(contract_USDTARB);
    takeAmount = 100e6;
    takeAmount = 100e6;
    takeChainId = 42161; (ARBITRUM)
```

- 2. Taker fulfills both orders in Arbitrum.
- 3. Taker calls DlnDestination.sendBatchEvmUnlock() in Arbitrum. Sent() event is emitted, and the signatures are created by the validators.
- 4. Taker calls in the Ethereum mainnet DeBridgeGateETH.claim(). It reverts with "Blacklistable: account is blacklisted" error as the Taker is blacklisted in the USDC contract.

Impact: Both order assets (in this case 100 USDC/USDT) are permanently stuck in the protocol. The taker has lost those funds.

Proof of Concept - Code Snippet

BVSS:

AO:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:L/A:N/D:L/Y:N/R:N/S:U (3.1)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to make use of a try/catch block to perform the token transfers. In case that one of the transfers fails, it will not block the others.

Another solution could be allowing the taker to initiate unlock batch again, excluding the problematic order from the batch.

Remediation Plan:

RISK ACCEPTED: The DeBridge team accepts this risk, stating that the taker has complete control over which tokens they execute, which includes the ability to conduct comprehensive checks before initiating the sendBatchEvmUnlock() process. Specifically, takers can verify the address of the unlock beneficiary against any blacklist or other security criteria. This preemptive checking mechanism significantly reduces the risk of assets getting stuck due to the unlocking of unverified or potentially problematic tokens.

In a future update, the DeBridge team will add functionality, so the taker can withdraw an unclaimed amount or initiate the unlock batch again.

4.2 (HAL-02) EXTERNALCALLEXECUTOR PROHIBITED SELECTORS ARE NOT VALIDATED CORRECTLY - LOW (2.5)

Commit IDs affected:

- 96973018b442c21c6f0ece4c300d97abd01bdf9b

Description:

In the ExternalCallExecutor contract, the function _isValideData() is used to validate that the callData passed in an external call does not include the following selectors:

```
Listing 3: ExternalCallExecutor.sol (Lines 118,121,122,123,124)
117 function _isValideData(bytes memory _data) internal returns (bool)
       bytes4 functionSelector = _toBytes4(_data, 0);
       bytes4[4] memory prohibitedSelectors = [
           bytes4(0 \times 095 = a7b3), // approve
           bytes4(0x23b872dd), // transferFrom
           bytes4(0xa9059cbb), // transfer
           bytes4(0x39509351) // increaseAllowance
       ];
       for (uint256 i; i < prohibitedSelectors.length; ++i) {</pre>
            if (prohibitedSelectors[i] == functionSelector) {
                emit ProhibitedFunctionSelector(functionSelector);
                return false:
           }
       return true;
134 }
```

The function selector is retrieved from the calldata using the _toBytes4() function:

Listing 4: ExternalCallExecutor.sol (Line 152) 144 function _toBytes4(bytes memory _bytes, uint256 _start 147) internal pure returns (bytes4) { require(_bytes.length >= _start + 4, "toBytes4_outOfBounds"); bytes4 tempUint; assembly { tempUint := mload(add(add(_bytes, 0x4), _start)) return tempUint; 156 }

This function, though, does not work as intended and does not correctly retrieve the function selector from the calldata. The _toBytes4() function will always return the 0x000000000 selector and, as such, the _isValideData will always return true. This occurs because the first 32 bytes of the _bytes parameter contains the length, and then the actual data follows. In order to read the actual selector, 0x4 should be changed for 0x20 (32).

The impact of this incorrect validation is that any user could cause a Denial of Service of the ExternalCallExecutor for tokens like USDT. See the Proof of Concept below.

Proof of Concept:

Denial Of Service to the Compound USDT Market on Ethereum Mainnet.

Code Snippet

1. Attacker creates the following order in Arbitrum:

```
Listing 5
 1 _orderCreation.giveTokenAddress = contract_USDTARB;
 2 _orderCreation.giveAmount = 0;
 3 _orderCreation.takeTokenAddress = abi.encodePacked(

    contract_USDTETH);
 4 _orderCreation.takeAmount = 0;
 5 _orderCreation.takeChainId = 1;
 6 _orderCreation.receiverDst = abi.encodePacked(user1);
 7 _orderCreation.givePatchAuthoritySrc = user1;
 8 _orderCreation.orderAuthorityAddressDst = abi.encodePacked(user1);
10 _orderCreation.externalCall = abi.encodePacked(uint8(1), abi.
13 DlnExternalCallLib.ExternalCallPayload memory _payload1;
14 _payload1.to = address(contract_USDTETH);
15 _payload1.txGas = uint32(0);
17 _payload1.callData = abi.encodePacked(abi.encodeWithSignature("
→ approve(address, uint256)", address(0)
19 DlnExternalCallLib.ExternalCallEnvelopV1 memory _dataEnvelope;
20 _dataEnvelope.fallbackAddress = user3;
21 _dataEnvelope.executorAddress = address(0);
22 _dataEnvelope.executionFee = uint160(0);
23 _dataEnvelope.allowDelayedExecution = false;
24 _dataEnvelope.requireSuccessfullExecution = true;
25 _dataEnvelope.payload = abi.encode(_payload1);
```

Notice that the _payload1.callData sets an allowance of 1 for the Compound

USDT Market address.

- 2. The order is fulfilled and afterward the ExternalCallExecutor contract has a remaining allowance of 1 with the Compound USDT Market.
- 3. Future orders that will interact with the Compound USDT Market through an external call will revert, as USDT requires having a zero allowance before setting a new allowance.



Future order that external calls the mint() function of the Compound USDT Market by a legit user:

BVSS:

AO:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:L/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (2.5)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to update the _toBytes4() function as shown below:

```
Listing 6: ExternalCallExecutor.sol (Line 152)
144 function _toBytes4(
       bytes memory _bytes,
       uint256 _start
147 ) internal pure returns (bytes4) {
       bytes4 tempUint;
       assembly {
           tempUint := mload(add(add(_bytes, 0x20), _start))
       return tempUint;
156 }
```

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The DeBridge team solved the issue by implementing the recommended solution.

Commit ID: 826d21961da4f8bab83d52c8e7d81250694e7f5a.

4.3 (HAL-03) MAKERS COULD ABUSE THE EXTERNAL CALL FEATURE TO FORCE TAKERS INTO FULFILLING EXTRA ORDERS ON THEIR BEHALF - LOW (2.5)

Commit IDs affected:

- 96973018b442c21c6f0ece4c300d97abd01bdf9b

Description:

With the current external call implementation, the following scenario where makers could abuse takers to fulfill orders on their behalf is possible:

- 1. Order1 is created by a random maker. This order has no external call.
- 2. Malicious maker creates Order2. This order has allowDelayedExecution set to true and requireSuccessfullExecution also set to true and the following payload as the external call:

```
Listing 7

1 DlnExternalCallLib.ExternalCallPayload memory _payload;
2 _payload.to = address(contract_OrderFulfillerETH);
3 _payload.txGas = uint32(0);
4 _payload.callData = abi.encodeWithSignature("fulfillOrder()");
```

3. The contract_OrderFulfillerETH code can be found below:

```
Listing 8: (Lines 17,20)

1 pragma solidity ^0.8.17;
2
3 import {DlnOrderLib} from "@root/libraries/DlnOrderLib.sol";
```

```
4 import {DlnDestination} from "@root/DLN/DlnDestination.sol";
6 contract OrderFulfiller {
      DlnDestination public dlnDestinationContract;
      DlnOrderLib.Order public order:
      uint256 public fulFillAmount;
      bytes32 public orderId;
      event OrderFulfillerExecuted();
      constructor(){}
      function fulfillOrder() public {
          dlnDestinationContract.fulfillOrder(order, fulFillAmount,
  orderId, '', address(this), address(0));
          emit OrderFulfillerExecuted();
      function setParameters(address _dlnDestinationContract,
→ DlnOrderLib.Order memory _order, uint256 _fulFillAmount, bytes32
dlnDestinationContract = DlnDestination(

    _dlnDestinationContract);
          fulFillAmount = _fulFillAmount;
      }
29 }
```

- 4. The malicious maker calls contract_OrderFulfillerETH.setParameters
 () to set all the parameters needed to fulfill the order.
- Taker calls fulfillOrder().
- 6. Taker then calls executeCall() receiving the execution fee for Order2, although the malicious maker will receive the give/take token profit for all the orders executed in the external call.

USEX1 calls in MAINNET -> contract_DlnExternalCallAdapterETH.executeCall(orderID, contract_OrderCancellerETH, contract_USDTETH, 0, hex'externalCall>', user1)) [staticcall]
- [118261 DlnExternalCallAdapter::executeCall(0xc8f3015457b7b966d75fbf9489ecb12elac29a069ae4eea2ce5d14b29ecb3463, OrderFulfiller: [0xa0Cb889707d426A7A386870A03bc70d1b0697598], 0xdAC17F9
\$302e523a2867899959/L1881e7/, 0, 60718484848440000000000000000000000000000
0.00000000000000000000000000000000000
9000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
11a6eED3Cd80850eC06A02E9b90)
- [8835] θxdAC17F95802ee523a22062066994597Cl30831ec7::transfer(ExternalCallExecutor: [θx5615dEB798B83E4dFaθ139dFalb30433Cc23b72f], θ)
- emit Transfer(from: DlnExternalCallAdapter: [0x2e234DAe75C793f67A35089C9d99245E1C59470b], to: ExternalCallExecutor: [0x5615dE8798883E4dFa0139dFa1b3D433Cc23b72F], value: 0)
[97152] ExternalCallExecutor::onERC20Received(0xc8F3015457b7b966d75fbf9489ecb12e1ac29a069ae4eea2ce5d14b29ecb3463, 0xdAC17F958D2ee523a2206206994597C13D831ec7, 0, 0x7231C364597f3BfDB
72Cf52b19 [†] ce59111c71794, 0x:00000000000000000000000000000000000
9900000000000000000000000000000000000
900000000000000000000000000000000000000
- [1831] %xdMc17F95802ee523a2206306994597C130831ec7::balanceOf(ExternalCallExecutor: [0x5615dE8798883E4dFa0139dFa1b30433Cc23b72F]) [staticcall] - 0x000000000000000000000000000000000
[89772] OrderFulfiller::fullfillOrder()
[83642] 0xE7351Fd770A37282b91D153Ee69086357906dd7f::fulfillOrder((1, 0xe6b3367318c5e11a6eed3cd0d850ec06a02e9b90, 42161 [4.216e4], 0xff970a61a64b1ca14834a43f5de4533ebddb5cc8
, 0, 1, 0xa0b86991c6218b36c1d19d4a2e9eb0ce3606eb48, 0, 0xe6b3367318c5e11a6eed3cd0d850ec06a02e9b90, 0xe6b367318c5e11a6eed3cd0d850ec06a02e9b90, 0xe6b3367318c5e11a6eed3cd0d850ec06a02e9b90, 0xe6b3367318c5e11a6eed3cd0d850ec06a02e9b90, 0xe6b360ec06a02e9b90, 0xe6b360ec06
0x, 0x), 0, 0xcf454ccela75225b24e56b136f5764c82fded96a1f1009abdae68d5e7f8bbc35, 0x, OrderFulfiller: [0xa0Cb8897874426A7A386870403bc70d1b697598], 0x00000000000000000000000000000000000
36cld19d4a2e9eb0ce3606eb48, 0, 0xe6b3367318c5e11a6eed3cd00850ec06a02e9b90, 0xe6b367318c5e11a6e03cd00850ec06a02e9b90, 0xe6b367318c5e11a6e03cd00850ec06a02e9b90, 0xe6b367318c5e11a6e03cd00850ec06a02e9b90, 0xe6b367318c5e11a6e03cd00850ec06a02e9b90,
[9815] 0xA0b86991c6218b36c1d19D4a2e9Eb0cE3606eB48::balanceOf(0xE6b3367318C5e11a6eED3Cd0D850eC06A02E9b90) [staticcall]
[2529] 0xa2327a938Febf5FEC13baCFb16Ae10EcBc4cbDCF::balanceOf(0xE6b3367318C5e11a6eED3Cd0D850eC06A02E9b90) [delegatecall]
- [17992] @wdb86991c6218b36c1d1904a2e9Eb0cE3606eB48:transferFrom(OrderFulfiller: [0xa0Cb889707d426A7A386870A03bc70d1b0697598], 0xE6b3367318C5e11a6eED3Cd00850eC06A02 - [17197] @va2327a938febf5FEC13bacFb16cBc4cb0Cf::transferFrom(OrderFulfiller: [0xa0Cb899707d426A7A386870A03bc70d1b0697598], 0xE6b3367318C5e11a6eED3Cd00850eC0 - emit Transfer(from: OrderFulfiller: [0xa0Cb8930707d4368870A03bc70d1b0697598], to: 0xE6b3367318C5e11a6eED3Cd00850eC06A02E9b90, value: 0) - two000000000000000000000000000000000000
6.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
- [1315] 0x/d0x86991c6218b36c1d1904a2e9Eb0c23696eB48::balance0f(0x56b3367318C5e11a6eED3Cd00859eC066402E9b90) [staticcall]
emit fulfilledOrder(order: (1, 0xc6b3367318c5e1la6eed3cd0859ec06e02c9b90, 42161 [4.216e4], 0xff970a61a04b1ca14834a43f5de4533ebddb5cc8, 0, 1, 0xa0b86991c6218b36c1d1
ec06a02e0000, 0xe6b1367318c5e11abeed5c04030b0c0ba02e0000, 0xe6b1367318c5e11abeed5c04030bcc0ba02e0000, 0xe6b1367318c5e11abeed5c04030bcc0ba02e0000, 0xe6b1367318c5e11abeed5c04030bcc0ba02e0000, 0xe6b1367318c5e11abeed5c0403bcc0bc0ba02e00000, 0xe6b1367318c5e11abeed5c0403bcc0bc0ba02e000000, 0xe6b1367318c5e11abeed5c0403bcc0bc0bc0bc0bc0bc0bc0bc0bc0bc0bc0bc0bc0
C70d1b0697598], unlockAuthority: OrderFulfiller: [0xa0Cb889707d426A7A386870403bc70d1b6697598])

emit OrderFulfillerExecuted()

In this Proof of Concept a single order was fulfilled by the external call, but an "endless loop" could be achieved by creating an order with an external call that points to this contract function which:

- 1. Fulfills the rest of the orders of the protocol.
- 2. Creates a new order with an external call that points once again to this function.

BVSS:

AO:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:N/Y:L/R:N/S:U (2.5)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to add an extra check to the fulfillOrder() function. Any call to this function should revert if it is coming from an executeCall () from the DlnExternalCallAdapter contract.

Remediation Plan:

RISK ACCEPTED: The DeBridge team accepted this risk, stating that they disagree with the notion of risks associated with executing a different

order through a dln external call. In a dln ext call, any interaction can be encapsulated, whether it's a swap through 1inch or an NFT purchase. The DeBridge team is confident that such behavior poses no threat to our services. Our services process orders/dln-ext calls based on an evaluation that includes gas expenses of transaction execution.

On the other hand, Halborn believes that this scenario can be abused to "defeat" or "abuse" the incentive system provided to the takers for fulfilling orders.

4.4 (HAL-04) INCOMPATIBILITY WITH REVERT ON ZERO TRANSFER TOKENS IN THE CLAIMBATCHUNLOCK() FUNCTION - LOW (4.2)

Commit IDs affected:

- 96973018b442c21c6f0ece4c300d97abd01bdf9b

Description:

As already mentioned, in the issue MULTIPLE ORDERS COULD GET PERMANENTLY STUCK IN THE DLNSOURCE CONTRACT DUE TO THE CLAIMBATCHUNLOCK()FUNCTION if a single order claim fails, it will act as a blocker for the rest of the orders in the batch.

Another problem related to this is that the claimBatchUnlock() function does not prevent zero value transfers:


```
uint256 submissionChainIdFrom = _onlyDlnDestinationAddress();
       address distinctGiveToken;
       uint256 distinctGiveTokenAmount;
       uint256 length = _orderIds.length;
       for (uint256 i; i < length; ++i) {
           bytes32 orderId = _orderIds[i];
           GiveOrderState storage orderState = giveOrders[orderId];
           if (i == 0) {
               distinctGiveToken = address(orderState.

    giveTokenAddress);
           uint256 amountToPay = _claimUnlock(orderId, _beneficiary,

    submissionChainIdFrom, false);
           if (amountToPay != 0) {
               if (distinctGiveToken != address(orderState.
   giveTokenAddress)) {
   _beneficiary, distinctGiveTokenAmount);
                   distinctGiveToken = address(orderState.

    giveTokenAddress);
                    distinctGiveTokenAmount = amountToPay;
               } else {
                    distinctGiveTokenAmount += amountToPay;
               }
       }
       if (distinctGiveTokenAmount != 0) {
           _safeTransferEthOrToken(distinctGiveToken, _beneficiary,

    distinctGiveTokenAmount);
       }
311 }
```

In the line 300, it is not checked that distinctGiveTokenAmount is a non-zero value.

Moreover, this check is also missing here, within the createOrder() call which would cause the initial order creation to revert:

Although, as any token can be used on the bridge since there is no whitelist, it is recommended to enforce here also this non-zero transfer amount check.

BVSS:

AO:A/AC:L/AX:M/C:N/I:M/A:N/D:M/Y:N/R:N/S:U (4.2)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to only perform the _safeTransferEthOrToken() call if distinctGiveTokenAmount is a non-zero value in order to prevent any revert caused by tokens that do not support zero value transfers.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The DeBridge team solved the issue by implementing the recommended solution.

Commit ID: e91d1114d27d3bfb7a5f41507101fa90c3bbd111.

4.5 (HAL-05) TAKERS COULD BE GAS GRIEFED BY MAKERS IF THEY DO NOT SET AN ACCURATE GAS LIMIT UPON FULFILLING AN ORDER - LOW (2.5)

Commit IDs affected:

- 96973018b442c21c6f0ece4c300d97abd01bdf9b

Description:

With the current implementation, a maker could create an order with requireSuccessfullExecution set to true and an external call that points to a contract with the following code:

In this case, if the taker fulfills the external call without setting a proper gas limit, the maker could drain all the gas of the transaction.

Code Snippet

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:L/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (2.5)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to advise takers to set accurate gas limits when fulfilling orders with external calls.

Remediation Plan:

RISK ACCEPTED: The DeBridge team accepts this risk, stating that their service process orders/dln-ext calls based on an evaluation that includes gas expenses of transaction execution.

4.6 (HAL-06) AFFILIATE FEE INCOMPATIBILITY WITH NON-STANDARD ERC20 TOKENS - LOW (2.5)

Commit IDs affected:

- 96973018b442c21c6f0ece4c300d97abd01bdf9b

Description:

In the DlnSource contract, the function _claimUnlock() sends the affiliateFee trhough a standard ERC20.transfer():

```
Listing 12: DlnSource.sol (Lines 591-593)
560 function _claimUnlock(bytes32 _orderId, address _beneficiary,
 → uint256 _submissionChainIdFrom, bool _allowActualTransfer)

    internal returns (uint256 amountToPay) {
       GiveOrderState storage orderState = giveOrders[_orderId];
       if (orderState.status != OrderGiveStatus.Created) {
           unexpectedOrderStatusForClaim[_orderId] = _beneficiary;
           emit UnexpectedOrderStatusForClaim(_orderId, orderState.

    status, _beneficiary);
           return 0:
       if (orderState.takeChainId != _submissionChainIdFrom) {
           emit CriticalMismatchChainId(_orderId, _beneficiary,
→ orderState.takeChainId, _submissionChainIdFrom);
           return 0;
       amountToPay = orderState.giveAmount + givePatches[_orderId];
       orderState.status = OrderGiveStatus.ClaimedUnlock;
       address giveTokenAddress = address(orderState.

    giveTokenAddress);
       if (_allowActualTransfer) {
           _safeTransferEthOrToken(giveTokenAddress, _beneficiary,
→ amountToPay);
       }
```

```
if (orderState.affiliateAmount > 0) {
           bool success;
           if (giveTokenAddress == address(0)) {
               (success, ) = orderState.affiliateBeneficiary.call{
  value: orderState.affiliateAmount, gas: 2300}(new bytes(0));
               if (!success) {
                   unclaimedAffiliateETHFees[orderState.
  affiliateBeneficiary] += orderState.affiliateAmount;
                   emit UnclaimedAffiliateFees(_orderId, address(0),

    orderState.affiliateAmount);
               }
           else {
               try IERC20Upgradeable(giveTokenAddress).transfer(
                   orderState.affiliateAmount)
               catch (bytes memory /*lowLevelData*/)
                   unclaimedERC20AffiliateFees[giveTokenAddress][
  orderState.affiliateBeneficiary] += orderState.affiliateAmount;
                   emit UnclaimedAffiliateFees(_orderId,
  giveTokenAddress, orderState.affiliateAmount);
               }
               success = true;
           if (success) {
               emit AffiliateFeePaid(
                   _orderId,
               );
           }
       }
       emit ClaimedUnlock(
           _orderId,
           _beneficiary,
```

```
618          amountToPay,
619          giveTokenAddress
620    );
621          // Collected fee
622          collectedFee[giveTokenAddress] += orderState.percentFee;
623          collectedFee[address(0)] += orderState.nativeFixFee;
624 }
```

As such, this call will always revert with tokens that do not follow the ERC20 standard, like USDT (which does not return a boolean upon a transfer call).

Any affiliteFee that is paid with a token like USDT will fail. As this call is within a try catch block, this value will be stored in the unclaimedERC20AffiliateFees mapping. Although, even if this value is accounted in the smart contract, currently there is no functionality implemented to retrieve it.

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:L/Y:N/R:N/S:U (2.5)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to use safeTransfer() instead of transfer() in all the ERC20 transfer calls.

Remediation Plan:

RISK ACCEPTED: The DeBridge team accepts this risk, stating that safeTransfer() cannot be invoked in a try catch.

On the other hand, Halborn states that as safeTransfer() is an internal function it cannot be invoked directly in a try catch block, although it is possible to implement something like this in order to address this issue:

Listing 13: Example solution 1 try this.attemptTransfer(token, origin, beneficiary, amount) {} L, catch { 2 // TODO FAIL LOGIC 3 } 4 5 function attemptTransfer(IERC20 token, address origin, address L, beneficiary, uint256 amount) external { 6 require(msg.sender == address(this)); // this function should L, be called only by this contract 7 token.safeTransferFrom(origin, beneficiary, amount); 8 } 9

4.7 (HAL-07) SOME MULTISIGNATURE WALLETS REQUIRE MORE THAN 2300 GAS TO RECEIVE A NATIVE ASSET TRANSFER - LOW (2.5)

Commit IDs affected:

- 96973018b442c21c6f0ece4c300d97abd01bdf9b

Description:

In the _claimUnlock() function, a native asset transfer limited to 2300 gas is performed in order to transfer the affiliate fee:

```
Listing 14: DlnSource.sol (Line 584)
560 function _claimUnlock(bytes32 _orderId, address _beneficiary,
→ uint256 _submissionChainIdFrom, bool _allowActualTransfer)

    internal returns (uint256 amountToPay) {
       GiveOrderState storage orderState = giveOrders[_orderId];
       if (orderState.status != OrderGiveStatus.Created) {
           unexpectedOrderStatusForClaim[_orderId] = _beneficiary;
           emit UnexpectedOrderStatusForClaim(_orderId, orderState.

    status, _beneficiary);
           return 0;
       if (orderState.takeChainId != _submissionChainIdFrom) {
           emit CriticalMismatchChainId(_orderId, _beneficiary,
 → orderState.takeChainId, _submissionChainIdFrom);
           return 0;
       amountToPay = orderState.giveAmount + givePatches[_orderId];
       orderState.status = OrderGiveStatus.ClaimedUnlock;
       address giveTokenAddress = address(orderState.

    giveTokenAddress);
       if (_allowActualTransfer) {
```

```
_safeTransferEthOrToken(giveTokenAddress, _beneficiary,
→ amountToPay);
      if (orderState.affiliateAmount > 0) {
          if (giveTokenAddress == address(0)) {
               (success, ) = orderState.affiliateBeneficiary.call{
  value: orderState.affiliateAmount, gas: 2300}(new bytes(0));
              if (!success) {
                   unclaimedAffiliateETHFees[orderState.
  affiliateBeneficiary] += orderState.affiliateAmount;
                  emit UnclaimedAffiliateFees(_orderId, address(0),

    orderState.affiliateAmount);
          }
          else {
              try IERC20Upgradeable(giveTokenAddress).transfer(
                   orderState.affiliateAmount)
              catch (bytes memory /*lowLevelData*/)
                   unclaimedERC20AffiliateFees[giveTokenAddress][
  orderState.affiliateBeneficiary] += orderState.affiliateAmount;
                  emit UnclaimedAffiliateFees(_orderId,
  giveTokenAddress, orderState.affiliateAmount);
              }
              success = true;
          if (success) {
              emit AffiliateFeePaid(
                   _orderId,
              );
```

Some multi-signature wallets require more than 2300 gas to receive a transfer of the native asset. For example, Gnosis Safe supports forwarding via fallback. Using transfer with a Safe's multisig can lead to gas depletion and failed transfers.

References:

- Article: why cannot I transfer Ether from a contract into a safe
- Blog post: Stop using solidity's transfer now

BVSS:

AO:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:L/Y:N/R:N/S:U (2.5)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to increase the gas limit in the orderState. affiliateBeneficiary.call() in order to also support these kinds of wallets.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The DeBridge team solved the issue by adding functionality to withdraw unclaimed fees.

Commit ID: 8c7c6f3712c2e8b4d73f6a250d51dc298a8bf829.

4.8 (HAL-08) CHECK EFFECTS INTERACTION PATTERN IS NOT RESPECTED IN THE EXECUTECALL() FUNCTION - INFORMATIONAL (0.0)

Commit IDs affected:

- 96973018b442c21c6f0ece4c300d97abd01bdf9b

Description:

In the contract DlnExternalCallAdapter, the function does not follow the check, effects, interaction pattern as the externalCallStatus[callId] is updated after the actual _execute() call:

```
Listing 15: DlnExternalCallAdapter.sol (Line 194)
169 function executeCall(
       bytes32 _orderId,
       address _callAuthority,
       address _tokenAddress,
       bytes calldata _externalCall,
       address _rewardBeneficiary
176 ) external nonReentrant whenNotPaused {
       bytes32 callId = getCallId(
          _orderId,
          _callAuthority,
          _tokenAddress,
       );
       if (externalCallStatus[callId] != CallStatus.Created) revert
_execute(
          _orderId,
          _tokenAddress,
```

This does not entail any security risk, as all the functions of this contract contains the nonReentrant modifier. Although, it is still recommended to update the externalCallStatus[callId] mapping to CallStatus .Executed before the _execute() call.

BVSS:

AO:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (0.0)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to update the externalCallStatus[callId] mapping to CallStatus.Executed before the _execute() call in the DlnExternalCallAdapter.executeCall() function.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The DeBridge team solved the issue by implementing the recommended solution.

Commit ID: 649c00dd72bf19817d81a8c8320b0a558c304423.

4.9 (HAL-09) MAKER COULD TEMPORARY BLOCK ANY TAKER FROM FULFILLING HIS ORDERS - INFORMATIONAL (0.0)

Commit IDs affected:

- 96973018b442c21c6f0ece4c300d97abd01bdf9b

Description:

As per the current implementation, makers can control if the takers can actually fulfill an order by setting requireSuccessfullExecution to true and allowDelayedExecution to false and setting an external call that points to a deployed contract in the take chain that the maker had previously deployed. Then, the maker can decide whether it reverts or not by, for example, updating the value of a state variable within the deployed contract.

This does not suppose any security risk, but could represent an unintended protocol functionality.

BVSS:

AO:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (0.0)

Recommendation:

Consider if this functionality is intended in the DLN EVM Bridge protocol.

Remediation Plan:

ACKNOWLEDGED: The DeBridge team acknowledged this finding.

4.10 (HAL-10) STATE VARIABLES MISSING IMMUTABLE MODIFIER - INFORMATIONAL (0.0)

Commit IDs affected:

- 96973018b442c21c6f0ece4c300d97abd01bdf9b

Description:

The immutable keyword was added to Solidity in 0.6.5. State variables can be marked immutable which causes them to be read-only, but only assignable in the constructor. The following state variables are missing the immutable modifier:

WidoCallExecutor.sol

- Line 15: address public widoRouter;
- Line 16: address public widoTokenManager;

BVSS:

AO:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:C (0.0)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to add the immutable modifier to the state variables mentioned.

Remediation Plan:

ACKNOWLEDGED: The DeBridge team acknowledged this finding.

RECOMMENDATIONS OVERVIEW

- 1. It is recommended to make use of a try/catch block to perform the token transfers in the claimBatchUnlock() function. Another possible solution could be allowing the taker to initiate the unlock batch again, excluding the problematic order from the batch.
- 2. Update the ExternalCallExecutor._toBytes4() function as suggested.
- 3. Add an extra check to the fulfillOrder() function. Any call to this function should revert if it is coming from an executeCall() from the DlnExternalCallAdapter contract.
- 4. Only perform the _safeTransferEthOrToken() call if distinctGiveTokenAmount is a non-zero value in the claimBatchUnlock() function.
- 5. Advice takers to set accurate gas limits when fulfilling orders with external calls.
- Use safeTransfer() instead of transfer() in all the ERC20 transfer calls.
- 7. Increase the gas limit in the orderState.affiliateBeneficiary.call () in order to support all types of multisignature wallets that may need more than 2300 gas to receive a transfer of a native asset.
- 8. Update the externalCallStatus[callId] mapping to CallStatus.Executed before the _execute() call in the DlnExternalCallAdapter.executeCall () function.
- 9. Add the immutable modifier to the state variables mentioned in the WidoCallExecutor contract.

UPGRADE TO 1.3.0 VERSION CHECKS

1. Lack of any storage collision:

The following state variable was added to the DlnSource contract respecting the storage layout:

unclaimedERC20AffiliateFees

DlnSource storage layout, current version. It can be found here

DInSource store	age Layo	Visibility	rrent version. It can be	Value/Items
BPS_DENOMINATOR	constant	public	uint256	10000
DEFAULT_ADMIN_ROLE	constant	public	bytes32	020000000000000000000000000000000000000
EVM_ADDRESS_LENGTH	constant	public	uint256	20
GOVMONITORING_ROLE	constant	public	bytes32	0x2b36fa99e118fa8485d488becf749a974743fbeb6
MAX_ADDRESS_LENGTH	constant	public	uint256	255
SOLANA_ADDRESS_LENGTH	constant	public	uint256	32
_ENTERED	constant	private	uint256	2
_NOT_ENTERED	constant	private	uint256	1
_initialized	0×0000		uint8	255
_initializing	0x0000 (1)		bool	false
gap	0×0001		uint256[50]	
gap	0×0033		uint256[50]	
_roles	0×0065		mapping(bytes32 => struct AccessControlUpgradeable.RoleData)	
gap	0x0066		uint256[49]	
_paused	0×0097		bool	false
gap	0×0098		uint256[49]	
chainEngines	0x00c9		mapping(uint256 => enum DlnOrderLib.ChainEngine)	
deBridgeGate	0×00ca		contract IDeBridgeGate	0x000000000000000000000000000000000000
_status	0x00cb		uint256	θ
gap	0×00cc		uint256[49]	
globalFixedNativeFee	0x00fd		uint88	0
globalTransferFeeBps	0x00fd (11)		uinti6	0
dlnDestinationAddresses	0×00fe		mapping(uint256 => bytes)	
giveOrders	0×00ff		mapping(bytes32 => struct DlnSource.GiveOrderState)	
givePatches	0×0100		mapping(bytes32 => uint256)	
masterNonce	0×0101		mapping(address => uint256)	
collectedFee	0×0102		mapping(address => uint256)	
unexpectedOrderStatusForClaim	0×0103		mapping(bytes32 => address)	
unexpectedOrderStatusForCancel	0×0104		mapping(bytes32 => address)	
unclaimedAffiliateETHFees	0x0105		mapping(address => uint256)	

DlnSource storage layout, updated version

Name	Туре	Slot	Offset	Bytes	Contract
_initialized	uint8	0	0	1	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
_initializing	bool	0	1	1	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
gap	uint256[50]	1	0	1600	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
gap	uint256[50]	51	0	1600	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
_roles	<pre>mapping(bytes32 => struct AccessControlUpgradeable.RoleData)</pre>	101	0	32	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
gap	uint256[49]	102	0	1568	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
_paused	bool	151	0	1	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
gap	uint256[49]	152	0	1568	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
chainEngines	<pre>mapping(uint256 => enum DlnOrderLib.ChainEngine)</pre>	201	0	32	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
deBridgeGate	contract IDeBridgeGate	202	0	20	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
_status	uint256	203	0	32	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
gap	uint256[49]	204	0	1568	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
globalFixedNativeFee	uint88	253	0	11	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
globalTransferFeeBps	uint16	253	11	2	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
dlnDestinationAddresses	mapping(uint256 => bytes)	254	0	32	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
giveOrders	<pre>mapping(bytes32 => struct DlnSource.GiveOrderState)</pre>	255	0	32	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
givePatches	mapping(bytes32 => uint256)	256	0	32	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
masterNonce	mapping(address => uint256)	257	0	32	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
collectedFee	mapping(address => uint256)	258	0	32	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
unexpectedOrderStatusForClaim	mapping(bytes32 => address)	259	0	32	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
unexpectedOrderStatusForCancel	mapping(bytes32 => address)	260	0	32	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
unclaimedAffiliateETHFees	mapping(address => uint256)	261	0	32	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource
unclaimedERC20AffiliateFees	<pre>mapping(address => mapping(address => uint256))</pre>	262	0	32	contracts/DLN/DlnSource.sol:DlnSource

The following state variable was added to the DlnDestination contract respecting the storage layout:

- externalCallAdapter

DlnDestination storage layout, current version. It can be found here

DInDestination stor	Slot (Offset)	Visibility	current version. It can	Value/Items
BPS_DENOMINATOR	constant	public	uint256	10000
CANCEL_DESCRIMINATOR	constant	public	bytes8	0x000000000000000000000000000000000000
CLAIM_DESCRIMINATOR	constant	public	bytes8	0x000000000000000000000000000000000000
DEFAULT_ADMIN_ROLE	constant	public	bytes32	0x000000000000000000000000000000000000
EVM_ADDRESS_LENGTH	constant	public	uint256	20
GOVMONITORING_ROLE	constant	public	bytes32	0x2b36fa99e118fa8485d488becf749a974743fbet
MAX_ADDRESS_LENGTH	constant	public	uint256	255
NATIVE_AMOUNT_DIVIDER_FOR_TRANSFER_TO_SOLANA	constant	public	uint256	1000000000
PROXY_WITH_SENDER	constant	public	uint256	2
REVERT_IF_EXTERNAL_FAIL	constant	public	uint256	1
SOLANA_ADDRESS_LENGTH	constant	public	uint256	32
_ENTERED	constant	private	uint256	2
_NOT_ENTERED	constant	private	uint256	1
_initialized	0×00_00		uint8	255
_initializing	0x00_00 (1)		bool	false
gap	0×00_01		uint256[50]	
gap	0×00_33		uint256[50]	
_roles	0x00_65		mapping(bytes32 => struct AccessControlUpgradeable.RoleData)	
gap	0×00_66		uint256[49]	
_paused	0x00_97		bool	false
gap	0x00_98		uint256[49]	
chainEngines	0x00_c9		mapping(uint256 => enum DlnBase.ChainEngine)	
deBridgeGate	0x00_ca		contract IDeBridgeGate	0x000000000000000000000000000000000000
_status	0x00_cb		uint256	0
gap	0×00cc		uint256[49]	
dlnSourceAddresses	0x00_fd		mapping(uint256 => bytes)	
takeOrders	0x00_fe		mapping(bytes32 => struct DlnDestination.OrderTakeState)	
takePatches	0×00_ff		mapping(bytes32 => uint256)	
maxOrderCountPerBatchEvmUnlock	0×01_00		uint256	θ
maxOrderCountPerBatchSolanaUnlock	0×01_01		uint256	0

DlnDestination storage layout, updated version

Name	Туре	Slot	0ffset	Bytes	Contract
 initialized	uint8	 0	 0	 1	contracts/DLN/DlnDestination.sol
initializing	bool	1 0	1	1	contracts/DLN/DlnDestination.sol
gap	uint256[50]	1 1	10	1600	contracts/DLN/DlnDestination.sol
gap	uint256[50]	51	10	1600	contracts/DLN/DlnDestination.sol
	mapping(bytes32 => struct AccessControlUpgradeable.RoleData)	101	1 0	32	contracts/DLN/DlnDestination.sol
_roles					
gap	uint256[49]	102	0	1568	contracts/DLN/DlnDestination.sol
_paused	bool	151	0	1	contracts/DLN/DlnDestination.sol
gap	uint256[49]	152	0	1568	contracts/DLN/DlnDestination.sol
chainEngines	mapping(uint256 => enum DlnOrderLib.ChainEngine)	201	0	32	contracts/DLN/DlnDestination.sol
deBridgeGate	contract IDeBridgeGate	202	0	20	contracts/DLN/DlnDestination.sol
_status	uint256	203	0	32	contracts/DLN/DlnDestination.sol
gap	uint256[49]	204	0	1568	contracts/DLN/DlnDestination.sol
dlnSourceAddresses	mapping(uint256 => bytes)	253	0	32	contracts/DLN/DlnDestination.sol
takeOrders	<pre>mapping(bytes32 => struct DlnDestination.OrderTakeState)</pre>	254	0	32	contracts/DLN/DlnDestination.sol
takePatches	mapping(bytes32 => uint256)	255	0	32	contracts/DLN/DlnDestination.sol
maxOrderCountPerBatchEvmUnlock	uint256	256	0	32	contracts/DLN/DlnDestination.sol
maxOrderCountPerBatchSolanaUnlock	uint256	257	0	32	contracts/DLN/DlnDestination.sol
externalCallAdapter	address	258	0	20	contracts/DLN/DlnDestination.sol

2. Upgrade steps:

- Deploy new contract implementations of the DlnSource and DlnDestination.
- Call upgradeTo with the ProxyAdmin address in the DlnSource and DlnDestination contracts in order to point the proxies to their new implementations:

```
Listing 16

1 // Upgrade to 1.3.0
2 vm.startPrank(proxyAdminETH);
3 TransparentUpgradeableProxy(payable(address(contract_DlnSourceETH))
L )).upgradeTo(address(contract_DlnSourceETHImpl));
4 TransparentUpgradeableProxy(payable(address(
L contract_DlnDestinationETH))).upgradeTo(address(
L contract_DlnDestinationETHImpl));
5 vm.stopPrank();
```

 Call DlnDestination.setExternalCallAdapter() to set the External-CallAdapter in the DlnDestination contract.

Full upgrade steps can be found here.

3. All the contracts and parent contracts are correctly initialized.

AUTOMATED TESTING

7.1 STATIC ANALYSIS REPORT

Description:

Halborn used automated testing techniques to enhance the coverage of certain areas of the smart contracts in scope. Among the tools used was Slither, a Solidity static analysis framework. After Halborn verified the smart contracts in the repository and was able to compile them correctly into their ABIS and binary format, Slither was run against the contracts. This tool can statically verify mathematical relationships between Solidity variables to detect invalid or inconsistent usage of the contracts' APIs across the entire code-base.

Slither results:

```
Notice Control (1997) | April 1997 | April 1
```

```
DlnSource.sol
```

60

```
DlnExternalCallAdapter.sol
```

AAVECallExecutor.sol WidoCallExecutor.sol ExternalCallExecutor.sol INFO.Detectors: ExternalCallExecutor, toBytes5(bytes) (contracts/adapters/ExternalCallExecutor.solE170-170) is no References. https://github.com/crytic/sithue/wiki/Netector-BocomentationRead-code INFO.Detectors: Program verbion0.8.47 (contracts/adapters/ExternalCallExecutor.solE7) allows old werelors Program verbion0.8.47 (contracts/adapters/ExternalCallExecutor.solE7) allows old werelors Program verbion0.8.47 (contracts/idetersex/ExternalCallExecutor.solE7) allows old werelors Program verbion0.8.48 (contracts/idetersex/ExternalCallExecutor.solE8) allows old versions

```
| Interest | External Califector of the control of
```

- The unprotected initialize and strange setter issues were checked individually and are false positives.
- DLNExternalCallAdapter and ExternalCallExecutorBase send native assets to an arbitrary destination, although this is intended and implemented correctly.
- No major issues found by Slither.

THANK YOU FOR CHOOSING

