

1.

Astronomy primarily involves the study of celestial, i.e., extra-terrestrial phenomena, as informed by physics (and often by geology).

2. (a)

Photon energy  $E$  is related to its wavelength  $\lambda$  as

$$E = \frac{hc}{\lambda},$$

where  $h$  is Planck's constant ( $\approx 7 \times 10^{-34} \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg s}^{-1}$ ) and  $c$  is the speed of light ( $\approx 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ).

We need to make sure we're using consistent units:  $13.6 \text{ eV} = 2 \times 10^{-18} \text{ J}$ .

So

$$\lambda = \frac{(7 \times 10^{-34} \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg s}^{-1}) (3 \times 10^8 \text{ m s}^{-1})}{2 \times 10^{-18} \text{ J}} = \boxed{90 \text{ nm}},$$

well into the UV.

2. (b)

We can use Wein's law (Eqn 1.16):

$$T \approx \frac{3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m K}}{\lambda_{\text{max}}} = \frac{3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m K}}{10^{-8} \text{ m}} = 3 \times 10^5 \text{ K}.$$

O-stars are this hot.

3.

Eqn (1.12) tell us that integrating the per-wavelength monochromatic brightness  $f_\lambda$  over wavelength  $\lambda$  gives us a bolometric flux, which itself has units of  $\text{W m}^{-2}$ . So

$$\text{W m}^{-2} = \{f_\lambda \lambda\} = \{f_\lambda\} \text{ m} \Rightarrow \boxed{\{f_\lambda\} = \text{W m}^{-3}}.$$

4.

From Eqn 1.13, we have

$$F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} f_\lambda d\lambda = \int_{\nu_2}^{\nu_1} f_\nu d\nu$$

with  $\nu\lambda = c$  (Eqn 1.2)

which gives

$$d\nu\lambda + \nu d\lambda = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{d\nu}{\nu} = -\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}$$

If we take  $\lambda_1 = \lambda$  and  $\lambda_2 = \lambda + d\lambda$ , that also means  $\nu_1 = \nu$  and  $\nu_2 = \nu + d\nu$ , and so  $d\nu = -\frac{\nu}{\lambda} d\lambda$ .

The integrals from Eqn 1.13 become

$$\int_{\lambda}^{\lambda+d\lambda} f_\lambda d\lambda = \int_{\nu+d\nu}^{\nu} f_\nu d\nu = - \int_{\nu(\lambda)+d\nu}^{\nu} f_\nu \left( \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \right) d\lambda = \int_{\nu}^{\nu(\lambda)+d\nu} f_\nu \left( \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \right) d\lambda.$$

In general,

$$\int_y^{y+dy} f(x) dx = f(y) dy$$

$$f_\lambda d\lambda = f_\nu \left( \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \right) d\lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{f_\lambda}{f_\nu} = \frac{\nu}{\lambda}}.$$

5. (a)

Stellar eclipses occur when surface area of one star occults (blocks) surface area of another star. Of course, the area doing the blocking also emits light, with a flux (energy per time per area) given by the Stefan-Boltzmann, which scales with the temperature of the emitting surface.

If the area doing the blocking is darker (i.e., cooler) than the area being blocked, then more total light is hidden from view than if the area doing the blocking is brighter (i.e., hotter). Thus, the primary eclipse must correspond to the occultation of the hotter star, no matter the relative radii.

**5. (b)**

Using the Stefan-Boltzmann law, we can calculate the total luminosity of each star  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  as

$$L_1 = \sigma T_1^4 4\pi R^2$$

$$L_2 = \sigma T_2^4 4\pi a^2.$$

Although the exact distance each star is from the Earth varies a little as they orbit one another, we can assume they are both always the same distance from Earth to a very high precision (typical orbital distances for stars are tens of astronomical units [ $1 \text{ AU} = 1.5 \times 10^8 \text{ km}$ ], while stars are lightyears from Earth [ $1 \text{ ly} = 10^{13} \text{ km}$ ]).

So we'll take the distance to each star to be  $d$ , which lets us calculate the flux from each star:

$$F_1 = \sigma T_1^4 \left( \frac{R}{d} \right)^2$$

$$F_2 = \sigma T_2^4 \left( \frac{a}{d} \right)^2$$

When both stars are visible, we see total flux  $F_{\text{max}} = F_1 + F_2 = \sigma T_1^4 \left( \frac{R}{d} \right)^2 + \sigma T_2^4 \left( \frac{a}{d} \right)^2$ .

When the smaller star (with radius  $a$  and temperature  $T_2$ ) is eclipsed, it disappears completely from view, meaning we only see star 1 and flux  $F_1$ , giving:

$$\frac{F_1}{F_{\text{max}}} = \frac{\sigma T_1^4 \left( \frac{R}{d} \right)^2}{\sigma T_1^4 \left( \frac{R}{d} \right)^2 + \sigma T_2^4 \left( \frac{a}{d} \right)^2} = \frac{1}{1 + \left( \frac{T_2}{T_1} \right)^4 \left( \frac{a}{R} \right)^2} = \boxed{\frac{1}{1 + b}}.$$

When the larger star (with radius  $R$  and temperature  $T_1$ ) is eclipsed, the portion of the larger star blocked out has area  $A_{\text{small}} = \pi a^2$ , i.e., the surface area of the smaller star. So the luminosity seen from that occulted area is

$$L_{\text{small}} = A_{\text{small}} \sigma T_2^4 = \pi a^2 \sigma T_2^4.$$

The rest of the larger star, however, remains unocculted, so it appears to shine with its original luminosity. This portion has an area  $A_{\text{big}} = \pi R^2 - \pi a^2$  and therefore shines with luminosity:

$$L_{\text{big}} = A_{\text{big}} \sigma T_1^4 = \pi (R^2 - a^2) \sigma T_1^4.$$

Scaling everything by distance to the system to convert to fluxes gives:

$$\frac{F_2}{F_{\max}} = \frac{a^2 T_2^4 + (R^2 - a^2) T_1^4}{T_1^4 R^2 + T_2^4 a^2} = \frac{1 + \left(\frac{a}{R}\right)^2 \left(\frac{T_2}{T_1}\right)^4 - \left(\frac{a}{R}\right)^2}{1 + \left(\frac{a}{R}\right)^2 \left(\frac{T_2}{T_1}\right)^4} = \boxed{1 - \frac{\left(\frac{a}{R}\right)^2}{1 + b}}.$$

The reason for casting these eclipse signals in terms of ratios is that we can more easily measure the signals themselves and not the absolute radii or temperatures.

6.

From p. 23,  $1 \text{ Jy} = 10^{-26} \text{ J s}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$

Using Eqn 1.4 relates photon energy  $E$  to frequency  $\nu$ :

$$E = h\nu,$$

where  $h$  is Planck's constant ( $7 \times 10^{-34} \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg s}^{-1}$ ). So we can convert to energy:

$$E = (7 \times 10^{-34} \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg s}^{-1}) (10^6 \text{ Hz}) = 7 \times 10^{-28} \text{ J}.$$

So 1 Jy at 1 MHz corresponds to  $10^{-26} \text{ J s}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ Hz}^{-1} / 7 \times 10^{-28} \text{ J} \approx 14$  photons per square meter per second per Hz.

7.

Eqn 1.22 says

$$m = -2.5 \log_{10}(F) + K,$$

where  $\log_{10}$  means log base 10.

Taking  $F = 2.65 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W m}^{-2}$  and  $m = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -2.5 \log_{10}(2.65 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W m}^{-2}) + K \\ \Rightarrow K &= 2.5 \log_{10}(2.65 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W m}^{-2}) = -18.9. \end{aligned}$$

### 8. (a)

We can use Eqn 1.23:

$$\Delta m = m_1 - m_2 = -2.5 \log_{10}\left(\frac{F_1}{F_2}\right) \Rightarrow F_2 = F_1 \times 10^{-\Delta m/2.5}$$

We have  $\Delta m = 22.5 - 4.71 = 17.79$ , and so:

$$F_2 = (375 \text{ Jy}) \times 10^{-17.79/2.5} = \boxed{2.87 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Jy}}.$$

### 8. (b)

Eqn 1.13 reminds us that integrating the monochromatic over the B-band gives us an amount of energy crossing a unit area in a unit time. We turn that into a number of photons per area per time  $n$  if we divide through by the monochromatic photon energy  $E = h\nu$ . In other words,

$$n = \int_{\nu_1}^{\nu_2} \left( \frac{f_\nu}{h\nu} \right) d\nu.$$

Let's assume  $f_\nu = \text{const.}$

We need to convert 440 nm into frequency:  $\nu = c/(440 \text{ nm}) = 6.8 \times 10^{14} \text{ Hz}$ . The bandpass extends  $6.8 \times 10^{14} \text{ Hz} \pm 2.5 \times 10^{14} \text{ Hz}$ .

Let's do the integral:

$$\int_{\nu_1}^{\nu_2} \left( \frac{f_\nu}{h\nu} \right) d\nu = f_\nu \int_{\nu_1}^{\nu_2} \left( \frac{1}{h\nu} \right) d\nu = \frac{f_\nu}{h} \ln \left( \frac{\nu_2}{\nu_1} \right) = \frac{(2.87 \times 10^{-31} \text{ W m}^{-2} \text{ Hz}^{-1})}{6.6 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s}} \ln \left( \frac{9.3}{4.3} \right) \approx 335 \text{ photons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}.$$

Multiplying by 100 seconds and 5 square meters gives 168 thousand photons.

9.

We can use Eqn 1.23:

$$\Delta m = -2.5 \log_{10} \left( \frac{F_1}{F_2} \right).$$

With two stars of equal brightness  $F_2$ ,  $F_1 = 2 \times F_1$ , and

$$\Delta m = -2.5 \log_{10}(2) = -0.75,$$

giving a combined magnitude  $m_1 = m_2 + \Delta m = 8.34 - 0.75 = \boxed{7.59}$ .

10. (a)



As illustrated in problem 9, magnitudes don't add linearly; fluxes do. So we need to convert the apparent magnitude per solid angle  $b$  into an infinitesimal flux  $dF$  from each infinitesimal solid angle  $d\Omega$  using Eqn 1.23 (using an arbitrary normalizing flux  $F_0$ ):

$$\frac{dF}{F_0} = 10^{-b/2.5} d\Omega.$$

Integrating over the full solid angle  $\Omega$  gives the normalized flux from the whole nebula:

$$\frac{F}{F_0} = 10^{-b/2.5} \Omega.$$

Then we can apply Eqn 1.23 again to work out the total magnitude:

$$m = -2.5 \log_{10} \left( \frac{F}{F_0} \right) = -2.5 \log_{10} \left( 10^{-b/2.5} \Omega \right) = b - 2.5 \log_{10} \Omega = 17.77 \text{ arcsec}^{-2} - 2.5 \log_{10} (144 \text{ arcsec}^2) = \boxed{12.37}.$$

## 10. (b)

Take the original solid angle at distance  $r_0$  as  $\Omega_0$  and the new at  $r_1$  as  $\Omega_1$ . The physical area  $A$  is the same at both distances, so:

$$A = \Omega_0 r_0^2 = \Omega_1 r_1^2 \Rightarrow \Omega_1 = \Omega_0 (r_0/r_1)^2 = \boxed{\Omega_0/4}.$$

Reducing the solid angle, we can use our previous solution to calculate the new apparent magnitude:

$$17.77 \text{ arcsec}^{-2} - 2.5 \log_{10} (72 \text{ arcsec}^2) = \boxed{13.13}.$$

To estimate the surface brightness  $\sigma$ , we can use Eqn 1.9. The apparent flux  $F$  drops by a factor of four just as the solid angle. These factors of four cancel out, so  $\sigma$  remains the same, in spite of the change in distance.

## 11.

At 100 times its original distance, the actual solid angle drops by a factor of  $100^2 = 10000$ , down to  $144 \text{ arcsec}^2 = 0.0144 \text{ arcsec}^2$ , smaller than the resolving power of the telescope,  $(1.2 \text{ arcsec})^2 = \boxed{1.44 \text{ arcsec}^2}$ .

Unlike the last problem, the apparent flux drops by a factor 10,000, but the apparent solid angle can't drop below the telescope's resolving power. In terms of the original surface flux  $F_0$ , the new surface flux  $F = F_0/10000$ . The new apparent solid angle  $\Omega$  is  $1.44 \text{ arcsec}^2/144 \text{ arcsec}^2 = 100$  times smaller. Thus, in terms of the original surface brightness  $s_0$ , the new surface brightness is

$$\frac{s}{s_0} = \left( \frac{F}{F_0} \right) \left( \frac{\Omega_0}{\Omega} \right) = \left( \frac{1}{10000} \right) (100) = \boxed{1/100}.$$

## 12. (a)

We can use Eqn 1.25:

$$m - M = 5 \log_{10} r - 5 \Rightarrow r = 10^{(m-M)/5+1} \text{ pc} = 10^{(13.25+19.60)/5+1} \text{ pc} = \boxed{37 \text{ Mpc}}.$$

## 12. (b)

If the dust obscures the nebula, that means it increases its observed apparent magnitude. That means the actual apparent magnitude should not be 13.25 but 11.75. With this new apparent magnitude, we can re-calculate the distance:

$$10^{(11.75+19.60)/5+1} \text{ pc} = \boxed{19 \text{ Mpc}}.$$

## 13.

Eqn 1.6:  $F = \frac{L}{4\pi r^2}$

Eqn 1.25:  $m - M = 5 \log_{10} r - 5$

The absolute magnitude is related to the flux that would be observed if the object were 10 pc from the observer:

$$F_{10 \text{ pc}} = \frac{L}{4\pi(10 \text{ pc})^2},$$

giving

$$M \propto -2.5 \log_{10} F_{10 \text{ pc}}$$

We can then calculate  $m - M$ :

$$m - M = -2.5 \log_{10} F + 2.5 \log_{10} F_{10 \text{ pc}} = -2.5 \log_{10} \left( \frac{L}{4\pi r^2} \right) + 2.5 \log_{10} \left( \frac{L}{4\pi(10 \text{ pc})^2} \right).$$

Recall the rules about adding and subtract logarithms:

$$\log a + \log b = \log(a * b)$$

$$\log a - \log b = \log\left(\frac{a}{b}\right).$$

So

$$m - M = -2.5 \log_{10} \left( \frac{(10 \text{ pc})^2}{r^2} \right).$$

Then recall the rule about taking the logarithm of something raised to a power:

$$\log b^p = p \log b.$$

So

$$m - M = -2.5 \log_{10} \left( \frac{(10 \text{ pc})^2}{r^2} \right) = -5 \log_{10} \left( \frac{10 \text{ pc}}{r} \right) = 5 \log_{10} \left( \frac{r}{10 \text{ pc}} \right) = 5 \log_{10} r(\text{in pc}) - 5 \log_{10}(10 \text{ pc}) = \boxed{5 \log_{10} r}$$

14.

Let's start with defining some variables:

$$\Delta m = 2.5 \log_{10} \left( \frac{F + \Delta F}{F} \right)$$

Using these variables and re-arranging the equation gives

$$\left( \frac{F + \Delta F}{F} \right) = 10^{\Delta m / 2.5}.$$

Recall how Maclaurin expansions work:

$$f(\Delta x) \approx f(0) + \left( \frac{df}{dx} \right)_0 \Delta x,$$

where  $(df/dx)|_0$  is the  $x$ -derivative of  $f$  evaluated at  $x = 0$ , and  $\Delta x$  is small.

Thinking about the derivative for our function:

$$\frac{d}{dx}(10^x) = \ln 10 \, 10^x,$$

where  $\ln 10$  is the natural log of 10 (as opposed to  $\log_{10}$  which is log base 10).

So

$$\begin{aligned} 10^{-\Delta m / 2.5} &\approx 10^0 + \ln 10 \left( \frac{\Delta m}{2.5} \right) \approx \frac{F + \Delta F}{F} \\ \Rightarrow \ln 10 \left( \frac{\Delta m}{2.5} \right) &\approx \frac{\Delta F}{F}. \end{aligned}$$

$\ln 10 \approx 2.3 \approx 2.5$ , so

$$\boxed{\Delta m \approx \frac{\Delta F}{F}}.$$

15.

I'll use python to do the photometry, as shown below.


In [21]: `%matplotlib inline`

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

filename = "Chromey_data.csv"
data = np.genfromtxt(filename, delimiter=',')

plt.imshow(data)
```

Out [2]: `<matplotlib.image.AxesImage at 0x7f8b39178a30>`




There are a variety of ways to conduct the photometric analysis, but for this analysis, we'll do things via eyeball. Later in the semester, we'll learn how to do things more accurately.

To start, we need to determine the center positions of the stars. By eye, the center of the dimmer star appears to be at about  $(x_1, y_1) = (2, 3)$ , and the brighter star at about  $(x_2, y_2) = (8, 4.5)$ .

```
In [3]: (x1_cen, y1_cen) = (2, 3)
        (x2_cen, y2_cen) = (8, 4.5)

        plt.imshow(data)
        plt.scatter([x1_cen, x1_cen], [y1_cen, y1_cen], color='red')
        plt.scatter([x2_cen, x2_cen], [y2_cen, y2_cen], color='red')
```

```
Out[3]: <matplotlib.collections.PathCollection at 0x7f8b394f90c0>
```




Again, by eye, the dimmer star appears to extend one pixel up-down and left-right from the center. So we'll take that to be the size of both stars. (Of course, the brighter star appears to extend farther, but we won't worry about that right now.)

```
In [4]: delta_x = 1
        delta_y = 1

        plt.imshow(data)
        plt.errorbar([x1_cen, x1_cen], [y1_cen, y1_cen], xerr=delta_x, yerr=delta_y, marker='o', color='red')
        plt.errorbar([x2_cen, x2_cen], [y2_cen, y2_cen], xerr=delta_x, yerr=delta_y, marker='o', color='red')
```

```
Out[4]: <ErrorbarContainer object of 3 artists>
```



So now we can estimate the signal from each star plus the background (what Chromey calls  $S_{xy}$  in Section 1.5). Since most of the pixels in the image are background pixels, we should be able to estimate the background using the mode.



```
In [11]: # find background -
# Cheat a little to calculate the uncertainty on the background by only taking a few columns of non-star p.
background_pixels = (data[:, 0], data[:, 5], data[:, -1])
n_background = len(background_pixels)
background = np.mean(background_pixels)
```

Now we'll sum up the signal from each star, subtracting the background estimate. Since the y-coordinate for the brighter star falls between pixels, we'll take the average of the two pixels along the boundary. Dividing the sum for the dimmer star by the sum for the brighter star should give us the ratio of the stellar fluxes  $F_{\text{star}}/F_{\text{std}}$  (Eqn 1.30). Then we'll divide through by the number of pixels to estimate the average pixel value for each star.

```
In [16]: nstar1 = 9 # number of pixels
star1 = np.sum(data[2:5, 1:4] - background)
star1 /= nstar1

star2 = 0.5*(data[3, 7] + data[4, 7]) - background
star2 += 0.5*(data[3, 8] + data[4, 8]) - background
star2 += 0.5*(data[3, 9] + data[4, 9]) - background

nstar2 = 9 # number of pixels
star2 += 0.5*(data[5, 7] + data[6, 7]) - background
star2 += 0.5*(data[5, 8] + data[6, 8]) - background
star2 += 0.5*(data[5, 9] + data[6, 9]) - background
star2 /= nstar2

print(star1, star2)
```

```
75.10000000000001 116.7888888888889
```

Now we can re-arrange Eqn 1.30 to compute the dimmer star's magnitude using the ratio of sums and the known magnitude of the brighter star ( $F_{\text{std}} = 9.000$ ), remembering to retain the right number of significant figures (four):

$$m_{\text{star}} = m_{\text{std}} - 2.5 \log_{10} \frac{F_{\text{star}}}{F_{\text{std}}}.$$

```
In [17]: m_std = 9.0
m_star = m_std - 2.5*np.log10(star1/star2)
print(np.round(m_star, 3))
```

```
9.479
```

So the dimmer star is 0.479 magnitudes dimmer in the observed band.