Remy DeCausemaker (he/him) github.com/decause	2-for-1 Masquarade	Marginal Cost	Two Sided Market	One-way Mirror Pattern
@Remy_D   linkedin.com/in/decause	Open Source Code is <b>consumed like</b> <b>a public good</b> , because when viewed	"We tend to assume that content doesn't incur significant <b>Marginal</b>	Paying subscribers subsidize all content for non-paying readers	Anybody can consume content but interactions with the creator
RIT Center for Mulitidisciplinary Studies (SOIS) '08, '13	as a static commodity, the cost of additional users is nearly zero, but Open Source code is *produced*	Costs thanks to *platforms* that now absorb most of the Distribution Costs for creators.	under the assumption of sense of membership/identify v.s. direct content sale.	are limited.  Non-Fungible Contribution
RIT MAGIC Center '09-'15	like a commons, where a maintainer's attention is the limited	However, it is the <b>MAINTAINANCE</b>	e.g. Charging 100,000 readers \$.10 v.s	Specialized work that is not
HFOSS Instructor '13-'15	resource.	of content thatincurs <b>Hidden Costs</b> with time and use	Charge 1,000 Most dedicated \$10/yr	easily distributed.
Fedora Community Lead @Red Hat	Maintainers must avoid Extractive Contributions.		Freemium Model	Further Reading
Open Source Community Manager	<b>Curators</b> Help moderate the public spaces for a creator, filtering out		Creator gives away same content for free (gratis) but restricts other content to	1000 True Fans by Kevin Kelley Coase's Theory of the Firm Coase's Penguin, Benkler
Open Source Program Lead @Twitter	extractive requests and only surfacing the things that most		those with paid subscriptions. Helps creators grow reputation	Success of Open Source, Weber
Open Source Program Lead @Spotify	require a creator's attention		via <b>Public Network Effects.</b> Chapter F. Bg 221	
	Chapter 5	Chapter 5, pg 212	Chapter 5, Pg 221	Chapter 5, pg 225