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# Humanitarian Open Source Software

**Professor Shein**

**Peer Rating** – please complete one for each member of your team besides yourself. You want to attempt to be objective, but you also need to have an opinion that you can support with evidence. Part of your grade is going to be based not only on how your rate your peers, but how WELL you rate your peers i.e. are your ratings of your peers thoughtful and well justified. Take this seriously; you will be asked to do this in the professional world from time to time.

Project Name \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Rater (you) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name of Person being rated \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Did the person you are rating do an equitable share of the work on your project (please include the milestone list or link to the list)?

Did he/she complete his/her share of work?

Was it done on time?

Describe the nature of the work that the person being rated did:

Were you able to meet and communicate easily with the person being rated?

Are you satisfied with the work that the student being rated did? Explain why or why not.

Please rate this person’s contribution on a scale from 1 to 100. A 59 or below would represent a failing grade, 60-69 a “D,” 70-79 a “C,” 80-89 a “B,”90-100 an “A.”

Please include a brief explanation of why you think the person deserves his/her score, particularly if it might seem to contradict anything you have indicated above.